Proc. Estonian Acad. Sci. Phys. Math., 2004, **53**, 4, 218–225
https://doi.org/10.3176/phys.math.2004.4.02

The topologization of sequence spaces defined by a matrix of moduli

Annemai Mölder

Institute of Pure Mathematics, University of Tartu, Liivi 2, 50409 Tartu, Estonia; annemai@math.ut.ee

Received 27 January 2003, in revised form 21 October 2003

Abstract. For a solid double sequence space Λ and a matrix of moduli $\mathcal{F} = (f_{ki})$ let $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}) = \{x = (x_k) : (f_{ki}(|x_k|)) \in \Lambda\}$. We characterize the F-seminormability of the sequence space $\Lambda(\mathcal{F})$. As concrete examples we consider the spaces of strongly $\mathfrak{B}$-summable and strongly $\mathfrak{B}$-bounded sequences with respect to $\mathcal{F}$. We also give a correction of the theorem of Esi (*Turkish J. Math.*, 1997, **21**, 61–68) about the topologization of $w_0[A, p, F]$.

Key words: sequence space, double sequence space, modulus function, F-seminorm, strong summability.

1. INTRODUCTION

We use the symbol $\mathbb{N}$ to denote the set of all positive integers, and $\mathbb{K}$ to denote $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{R}$, the set of all complex and real numbers, respectively. By s we denote the vector space of all number sequences, i.e.,

$$s = \{x = (x_k) : x_k \in \mathbb{K} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N})\},$$

where the vector space operations are defined coordinatewise. A subspace of the vector space s is called a *sequence space*. A sequence space λ is called *solid* if $(x_k) \in \lambda$ and $|y_k| \leq |x_k| \ \ (k \in \mathbb{N})$ imply $(y_k) \in \lambda$. Well-known solid sequence spaces are the space m of all bounded sequences and the space c_0 of all convergent to zero sequences.

Let S be the vector space of all real or complex double sequences with the vector space operations defined coordinatewise. Vector subspaces of S are called *double sequence spaces*. A double sequence space Λ is called *solid* if $(x_{ki}) \in \Lambda$ and $|y_{ki}| \leq |x_{ki}| \ \ (k, i \in \mathbb{N})$ yield $(y_{ki}) \in \Lambda$. For example, the double sequence spaces

$$W_\infty^p[\mathfrak{B}] = \left\{X = (x_{ki}) \in S : \sup_{n,i} |\sigma_{ni}(X)| < \infty\right\}$$

and

$$W_0^p[\mathfrak{B}] = \left\{X = (x_{ki}) \in W_\infty^p[\mathfrak{B}] : \lim_n \sigma_{ni}(X) = 0 \text{ uniformly in } i\right\}$$

are solid, where $\mathfrak{B} = (B_i)$ is a sequence of infinite scalar matrices $B_i = (b_{nk}(i))$ with $b_{nk}(i) \geq 0 \ (n, k, i \in \mathbb{N})$, $p > 0$ and

$$\sigma_{ni}(X) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{nk}(i)|x_{ki}|^p.$$

A function $f : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is called a *modulus function* (or simply a *modulus*) if

(i) $f(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$,

(ii) $f(t + u) \leq f(t) + f(u) \quad (t, u \geq 0)$,

(iii) f is nondecreasing,

(iv) f is continuous from the right at 0.

For a modulus f and a sequence space λ, Ruckle [1], Maddox [2], and some other authors defined a new sequence space $\lambda(f)$ by

$$\lambda(f) = \{x = (x_k) : f(|x|) = (f(|x_k|)) \in \lambda\}.$$

An extension of this definition was given by Kolk [3]. For a sequence space λ and a sequence of moduli $F = (f_k)$ he defined

$$\lambda(F) = \{x = (x_k) : F(|x|) = (f_k(|x_k|)) \in \lambda\}.$$

Analogously, for a double sequence space Λ and a matrix of moduli $\mathcal{F} = (f_{ki})$ we define

$$\Lambda(\mathcal{F}) = \{x = (x_k) : \mathcal{F}(|x|) = (f_{ki}(|x_k|)) \in \Lambda\}.$$

It is not difficult to see that $\Lambda(\mathcal{F})$ is a solid sequence space whenever the double sequence space Λ is solid.

Recall that an *F-seminorm* g on a vector space V is a functional $g\colon V \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying, for all $x, y \in V$, the axioms

(N1) $g(0) = 0$,

(N2) $g(x + y) \leq g(x) + g(y)$,

(N3) $g(\alpha x) \leq g(x)$ for all scalars α with $|\alpha| \leq 1$,

(N4) $\lim_n g(\alpha_n x) = 0$ for every scalar sequence (α_n) with $\lim_n \alpha_n = 0$.

A *paranorm* on V is a functional $g\colon V \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying (N1), (N2), and

(N5) $g(-x) = g(x)$,

(N6) $\lim_n g(\alpha_n x_n - \alpha x) = 0$ for every scalar sequence (α_n) with $\lim_n \alpha_n = \alpha$ and every sequence (x_n) with $\lim_n g(x_n - x) = 0 \quad (x_n, x \in V)$.
A *seminorm* is a functional $g\colon V \to \mathbb{R}$ with the conditions (N1), (N2), and

(N7) $g(\alpha x) = |\alpha| g(x) \quad (\alpha \in \mathbb{K},\ x \in V)$.

An F-seminorm g on a solid sequence space λ is said to be *absolutely monotone* if $g(y) \leq g(x)$ for all $x = (x_k),\ y = (y_k) \in \lambda$ with $|y_k| \leq |x_k|\ (k \in \mathbb{N})$.

An essential problem in the theory of sequence spaces is the topologization of various vector spaces of sequences. For example, if $F = (f_k)$ is a sequence of moduli and λ is an F-seminormed (paranormed) solid sequence space, then the linear space $\lambda(F)$ may be topologized by an F-seminorm (paranorm) under some restrictions on the sequence $F = (f_k)$ or on the space (λ, g) (see [4–6]). Kolk ([4], Theorem 1) proved the following statement about the topologization of $\lambda(F)$.

Theorem 1.1. *If g is an absolutely monotone F-seminorm on a solid sequence space λ and the sequence of moduli $F = (f_k)$ satisfies the condition*

(M1) $\lim_{u\to 0+}\ \sup_{t>0}\ \sup_k \dfrac{f_k(ut)}{f_k(t)} = 0,$

then the functional g_F, where

$$g_F(x) = g(F(|x|)) \quad (x \in \lambda(F)),$$

is an absolutely monotone F-seminorm on $\lambda(F)$.

In this note we describe the topologization of the sequence space $\Lambda(\mathcal{F})$, generalizing in this way Theorem 1.1. As an application we consider the topologization of the spaces $w^p_\infty[\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{F}]$ and $w^p_0[\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{F}]$ and give a correction of the theorem of Esi [7] about the topologization of the space $w_0[A, p, F]$.

2. TOPOLOGIZATION OF $\Lambda(\mathcal{F})$

Let Λ be a double sequence space and let g be an F-seminorm on Λ.

Definition 2.1. *An F-seminorm g on a double sequence space Λ is said to be* absolutely monotone *if for all $X = (x_{ki})$ and $Y = (y_{ki})$ from Λ with $|y_{ki}| \leq |x_{ki}|$ $(k, i \in \mathbb{N})$ we have $g(Y) \leq g(X)$.*

Now we can describe the topology of the sequence space $\Lambda(\mathcal{F})$ defined by a matrix of moduli $\mathcal{F} = (f_{ki})$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let (Λ, g) be a solid F-seminormed double sequence space. If g is absolutely monotone and the matrix of moduli $\mathcal{F} = (f_{ki})$ satisfies the condition*

(M2) $\lim_{u\to 0+}\ \sup_{t>0}\ \sup_{k,i} \dfrac{f_{ki}(ut)}{f_{ki}(t)} = 0,$

then the functional $g_{\mathcal{F}}$ defined by

$$g_{\mathcal{F}}(x) = g(\mathcal{F}(|x|)) \quad (x \in \Lambda(\mathcal{F}))$$

is an absolutely monotone F-seminorm on $\Lambda(\mathcal{F})$.

Proof. Let g be an absolutely monotone F-seminorm on Λ and let $\mathcal{F} = (f_{ki})$ satisfy (M2).

First we prove that $g_{\mathcal{F}}$ is an F-seminorm, i.e., $g_{\mathcal{F}}$ satisfies the axioms (N1)–(N4). Since g is an F-seminorm, (N1) holds by (i). The axiom (N2) follows immediately from the subadditivity of g and f_{ki} $(k, i \in \mathbb{N})$ because g is an absolutely monotone F-seminorm and the functions f_{ki} $(k, i \in \mathbb{N})$ satisfy the property (iii).

If $|\alpha| \leq 1$ $(\alpha \in \mathbb{K})$, then $|\alpha x_k| \leq |x_k|$ $(k \in \mathbb{N})$ and by (iii) we may write

$$f_{ki}(|\alpha x_k|) \leq f_{ki}(|x_k|) \quad (k, i \in \mathbb{N}).$$

So, since g is absolutely monotone, we get

$$g_{\mathcal{F}}(\alpha x) = g(\mathcal{F}(|\alpha x|)) = g\left((f_{ki}(|\alpha x_k|))\right) \leq g\left((f_{ki}(|x_k|))\right) = g(\mathcal{F}(|x|)) = g_{\mathcal{F}}(x),$$

i.e., (N3) is valid.

To prove (N4), let $\lim_n \alpha_n = 0$ $(\alpha_n \in \mathbb{K})$ and $x = (x_k) \in \Lambda(\mathcal{F})$. Since $f_{ki}(t) > 0$ $(k, i \in \mathbb{N})$ for $t > 0$ and $f_{ki}(|\alpha_n x_k|) = 0$ for $k \in K_0 = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : x_k = 0\}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$f_{ki}(|\alpha_n x_k|) \leq h_n f_{ki}(|x_k|) \quad (k, i, n \in \mathbb{N}), \tag{1}$$

where

$$h_n = \sup_{k \notin K_0} \sup_i \frac{f_{ki}\ (|\alpha_n x_k|)}{f_{ki}(|x_k|)}.$$

While

$$h_n \leq \sup_{|x_k|>0} \sup_{k \notin K_0} \sup_i \frac{f_{ki}\ (|\alpha_n||x_k|)}{f_{ki}(|x_k|)},$$

by condition (M2) we see that $h_n \longrightarrow 0$, as $n \to \infty$. Since g is absolutely monotone, we get

$$g(\mathcal{F}(|\alpha_n x|)) = g\left((f_{ki}(|\alpha_n x_k|))\right) \leq g\left(h_n\left(f_{ki}(|x_k|)\right)\right) = g(h_n \mathcal{F}(|x|)) \tag{2}$$

by (1). Now, using that g satisfies (N4), we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} g(h_n \mathcal{F}(|x|)) = 0,$$

which, together with (2), gives

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} g_{\mathcal{F}}(\alpha_n x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} g(\mathcal{F}(|\alpha_n x|)) = 0.$$

Thus $g_{\mathcal{F}}$ is an F-seminorm on $\Lambda(\mathcal{F})$.

Finally, let $x = (x_k)$, $y = (y_k)$ be in $\Lambda(\mathcal{F})$ and $|y_k| \leq |x_k| \quad (k \in \mathbb{N})$. Then

$$f_{ki}(|y_k|) \leq f_{ki}(|x_k|) \quad (k, i \in \mathbb{N}),$$

and since g is an absolutely monotone F-seminorm,

$$g_{\mathcal{F}}(y) = g(\mathcal{F}(|y|)) = g\left((f_{ki}\left(|y_k|\right))\right) \leq g\left((f_{ki}\left(|x_k|\right))\right) = g(\mathcal{F}(|x|)) = g_{\mathcal{F}}(x).$$

Hence $g_{\mathcal{F}}$ is absolutely monotone and the proof is completed. □

3. SPACES OF STRONGLY $\mathfrak{B}$-SUMMABLE SEQUENCES

For a sequence $\mathfrak{B} = (B_i)$ of infinite scalar matrices $B_i = (b_{nk}(i))$ with $b_{nk}(i) \geq 0 \ \ (n, k, i \in \mathbb{N})$ we consider the spaces $W_\infty^p[\mathfrak{B}]$ and $W_0^p[\mathfrak{B}]$ of strongly $\mathfrak{B}$-bounded and strongly $\mathfrak{B}$-summable to zero double sequences, respectively, which were defined in Section 1.

It is easy to prove that for $p \geq 1$ the functional $g_{\mathfrak{B}}^p$, where

$$g_{\mathfrak{B}}^p(X) = \sup_{n,i} \left(\sigma_{ni}(X)\right)^{1/p},$$

is an absolutely monotone seminorm on $W_\infty^p[\mathfrak{B}]$ and $W_0^p[\mathfrak{B}]$.

Let $\mathcal{F} = (f_{ki})$ be a matrix of moduli and $p \geq 1$. We define the sequence spaces

$$w_\infty^p[\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{F}] = \{x = (x_k) : \mathcal{F}(|x|) \in W_\infty^p[\mathfrak{B}]\}$$

and

$$w_0^p[\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{F}] = \{x = (x_k) \in w_\infty^p[\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{F}] : \mathcal{F}(|x|) \in W_0^p[\mathfrak{B}]\}.$$

A sequence $x = (x_k)$ from $w_\infty^p[\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{F}] \ \ (w_0^p[\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{F}])$ is called *strongly $\mathfrak{B}$-bounded* (*strongly $\mathfrak{B}$-summable to zero*) with respect to the matrix of moduli $\mathcal{F}$.

Our purpose is to characterize the F-seminormability of $w_\infty^p[\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{F}]$ and $w_0^p[\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{F}]$ by Theorem 2.2.

For the topologization of $w_\infty^p[\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{F}]$ and $w_0^p[\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{F}]$ we introduce the functional $g_{\mathfrak{B},\mathcal{F}}^p$ defined by

$$g_{\mathfrak{B},\mathcal{F}}^p(x) = \sup_{n,i} \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty b_{nk}(i) (f_{ki}(|x_k|))^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

The sequence spaces $w_\infty^p[\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{F}]$ and $w_0^p[\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{F}]$ are the spaces of type $\Lambda(\mathcal{F})$ with $\Lambda = W_\infty^p[\mathfrak{B}]$ and $\Lambda = W_0^p[\mathfrak{B}]$, respectively. In addition, $g_{\mathfrak{B},\mathcal{F}}^p = (g_{\mathfrak{B}}^p)_{\mathcal{F}}$. Since every seminorm is also an F-seminorm, from Theorem 2.2 we immediately get

Corollary 3.1. *Let $p \geq 1$. If the matrix of moduli $\mathcal{F} = (f_{ki})$ satisfies the condition* (M2), *then $g_{\mathfrak{B},\mathcal{F}}^p$ is an absolutely monotone F-seminorm on $w_\infty^p[\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{F}]$ and $w_0^p[\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{F}]$.*

Let $A = (a_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix of non-negative numbers, $p = (p_k)$ a bounded sequence of positive numbers and $r = \max\{1, \sup_k p_k\}$. For a sequence of moduli $F = (f_k)$, following Esi [7], we consider the sequence spaces

$$w_\infty[A, p, F] = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \sup_{n,i} s_{ni}(x) < \infty \right\}$$

and

$$w_0[A, p, F] = \left\{ x \in w_\infty[A, p, F] : \lim_n s_{ni}(x) = 0 \text{ uniformly in } i \right\},$$

where

$$s_{ni}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left(f_k(|x_{k+i-1}|) \right)^{p_k} = \sum_{k=i}^{\infty} a_{n,k-i+1} \left(f_{k-i+1}(|x_k|) \right)^{p_{k-i+1}} .$$

Nanda [8] examined similar to $w_\infty[A, p, F]$ and $w_0[A, p, F]$ sequence spaces. Theorem 3 of Esi [7] asserts that the functional $g_{A,p,F}$, where

$$g_{A,p,F}(x) = \sup_{n,i} (s_{ni}(x))^{1/r},$$

is a paranorm on $w_0[A, p, F]$ for an arbitrary sequence of moduli $F = (f_k)$. But it seems that this is not true in general. In fact, if $A = C_1$, the matrix of arithmetical means, $F = (f_k)$ is a constant sequence of moduli, i.e., $f_k = f \ \ (k \in \mathbb{N})$ and $p_k = 1 \ \ (k \in \mathbb{N})$, then Corollary 2 of [5] shows that the functional $g_{A,p,F}$ is not a paranorm on $w_0[A, p, F]$ whenever f is bounded. Consequently, the theorem of Esi cannot be true without restrictions on the sequence of moduli $F = (f_k)$.

The sequence space $w_0[A, p, F]$ can be considered as a space of type $\Lambda(\mathcal{F})$. Indeed, defining the matrix of moduli $\mathcal{F}^p = (f^p_{ki})$ by

$$f^p_{ki}(t) = \begin{cases} (f_{k-i+1}(t))^{(p_{k-i+1})/r} & \text{if } k \geq i, \\ t & \text{if } k < i, \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

we can write

$$w_0[A, p, F] = \left(W^r_0[\mathfrak{B}] \right) (\mathcal{F}^p),$$

where B_i are matrices with the elements

$$b_{nk}(i) = \begin{cases} a_{n,k-i+1} & \text{if } k \geq i, \\ 0 & \text{if } k < i. \end{cases}$$

Since, moreover, $g_{A,p,F} = (g^r_A)_{\mathcal{F}^p}$, from Theorem 2.2 we get

Corollary 3.2. *If the sequence of moduli $F = (f_k)$ satisfies the condition*

(M3) $\lim_{u\to 0+} \sup_{t>0} \sup_k \left(\frac{f_k(ut)}{f_k(t)}\right)^{p_k} = 0,$

then $g_{A,p,F}$ is an absolutely monotone F-seminorm on $w_0[A,p,F]$.

Our Corollary 3.2 shows that $w_0[A,p,F]$ can be topologized by the F-seminorm $g_{A,p,F}$ if the sequence of moduli $F = (f_k)$ satisfies the restriction (M3). Since every F-seminorm is also a paranorm, Corollary 3.2 can be considered as a correction of Theorem 3 of Esi [7].

Example. Let (Λ, g) be a solid F-seminormed double sequence space. Defining $p_k = \frac{1}{3}\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)$ and $f_k(t) = t$ $(k \in \mathbb{N})$, we get $r = \max\{1, \sup_k p_k\} = 1$. By (3) we have the matrix of moduli $\mathcal{F}^p = (f^p_{ki})$ with the elements

$$f^p_{ki}(t) = \begin{cases} t^{1/3(1+1/(k-i+1))} & \text{if } k \geq i, \\ t & \text{if } k < i. \end{cases}$$

Since

$$\sup_{t>0} \sup_{k,i} \frac{f^p_{ki}(ut)}{f^p_{ki}(t)} = \max\{u^{2/3}, u\},$$

the condition (M2) is fulfilled. Therefore, the functional $g_{\mathcal{F}^p}$ is an absolutely monotone F-seminorm on the sequence space $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}^p)$ by Theorem 2.2.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is grateful to the referee for valuable comments and suggestions. This research was supported by the Estonian Science Foundation (grant No. 3991).

REFERENCES

1. Ruckle, W. H. FK spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vectors is bounded. *Canad. J. Math.*, 1973, **25**, 973–978.
2. Maddox, I. J. Sequence spaces defined by a modulus. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 1986, **100**, 161–166.
3. Kolk, E. Inclusion theorems for some sequence spaces defined by a sequence of moduli. *Tartu Ülik. Toimetised*, 1994, 970, 65–72.
4. Kolk, E. F-seminormed sequence spaces defined by a sequence of modulus functions and strong summability. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 1997, **28**, 1547–1566.
5. Kolk, E. Counterexamples concerning topologization of spaces of strongly almost convergent sequences. *Acta Comment. Univ. Tartuensis Math.*, 1999, 3, 63–72.
6. Soomer, V. On the sequence space defined by a sequence of moduli and on the rate-spaces. *Acta Comment. Univ. Tartuensis Math.*, 1996, 1, 71–74.

7. Esi, A. Some new sequence spaces defined by a sequence of moduli. *Turkish J. Math.*, 1997, **21**, 61–68.
8. Nanda, S. Strongly almost summable and strongly almost convergent sequences. *Acta Math. Hung.*, 1987, **49**, 71–76.

Moodulite maatriksi abil defineeritud jadaruumide topologiseerimine

Annemai Mölder

Olgu Λ soliidne topeltjadade ruum. Artiklis kirjeldatakse moodulite maatriksiga $\mathcal{F} = (f_{ki})$ määratud jadaruumi $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}) = \{x = (x_k) : (f_{ki}(|x_k|)) \in \Lambda\}$ F-poolnormeeritavust. Näidetena vaadeldakse moodulite maatriksi abil defineeritud tugevalt $\mathfrak{B}$-summeeruvate ja tugevalt $\mathfrak{B}$-tõkestatud jadade ruumide topologiseerimist. Lisaks uuritakse jadaruumi $w_0[A, p, F]$ F-poolnormeeritavust, näidates ära ühe võimaluse A. Esi analoogilise teoreemi parandamiseks.