EESTI NSV TEADUSTE AKADEEMIA TOIMETISED. FUOSIKA * MATEMAATIKA ИЗВЕСТИЯ АКАДЕМИИ НАУК ЭСТОНСКОЙ ССР. ФИЗИКА * МАТЕМАТИКА PROCEEDINGS OF THE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE ESTONIAN SSR. PHYSICS * MATHEMATICS

1988, 37, 4

https://doi.org/10.3176/phys.math.1988.4.11

УДК 514.75

Ü. LUMISTE and M. VÄLJAS

TOTALLY QUASIUMBILICAL SUBMANIFOLDS WITH NONFLAT NORMAL CONNECTION

C. LUMISTE, M. VALIAS. MITTETASASE NORMAALSEOSTUSEGA TÄIELIKULT KVAASIOMBI-LISED ALAMMUUTKONNAD

Ю. ЛУМИСТЕ, М. ВЯЛЬЯС. ВПОЛНЕ КВАЗИОМБИЛИЧЕСКИЕ ПОДМНОГООБРАЗИЯ С НЕ-ПЛОСКОЙ НОРМАЛЬНОЙ СВЯЗНОСТЬЮ

(Presented by H. Keres)

B.-Y. Chen and T. H. Teng have stated (see [1], Theorem 1) that a totally quasiumbilical submanifold in a conformally flat Riemannian space has always flat normal connection. This statement is used in [2], where Theorem 4 (the main theorem) says that an *n*-dimensional submanifold in an (n+m)-dimensional conformally flat space (m < n-2) is totally quasiumbilical if and only if it is a conformally flat submanifold with flat normal connection. Remark that Errata corrige of [2] doesn't concern this main theorem.

In this note we show that the Theorem 1 in [1] and consequently the corresponding part (given above in the spaced type) of the Theorem 4 in [2] are not true. We detect the mistake made in [1] and give a counter-example (see § 1 and the theorem in § 4.) § 1. In the «proof» of Theorem 1 in [1] a general formula concerning

§ 1. In the «proof» of Theorem 1 in [1] a general formula concerning the Weyl conformal curvature tensor $C_{jj,kl}$ of a submanifold in a conformally flat space is used. It is known (see [3] p. 150) that in this case $C_{ij,kl} = \sum_{\alpha} C^{\alpha}_{ij,kl}$ and thus $\sum_{i,j,k,l} h^{\beta}_{ik} h^{\beta}_{jl} C_{ij,kl} = \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{i,j,k,l} h^{\beta}_{ik} h^{\beta}_{jl} C^{\alpha}_{ij,kl}$. Straight-

forward computation gives that by $\alpha \neq \beta$ we have

$$(n-1) (n-2) \sum_{i,j,k,l} h_{ik}^{\beta} h_{jl}^{\beta} C_{ij,kl}^{\alpha} = (n-1) (n-2) \left[((H^{\beta}H^{\alpha})_{[1]})^{2} - \right]$$

$$- (H^{\beta}H^{\alpha})_{[2]}] + [H^{\beta}_{[2]} - (H^{\beta}_{[1]})^{2}] [H^{\alpha}_{[2]} - (H^{\alpha}_{[1]})^{2}] + + 2 (n-1) [H^{\alpha}_{[1]} (H^{\beta}H^{\beta}H^{\alpha})_{[1]} + H^{\beta}_{[1]} (H^{\beta}H^{\alpha}H^{\alpha})_{[1]} - - H^{\beta}_{[1]} H^{\alpha}_{[1]} (H^{\beta}H^{\alpha})_{[1]} - (H^{\beta}H^{\alpha}H^{\alpha}H^{\beta})_{[1]},$$

where $H_{[p]}$ =trace H^p for a matrix H and H^{α} = $||h_{ij}^{\alpha}||$. In [1] the last term is replaced by $(H^{\beta}H^{\alpha})_{[2]}$ and added to corresponding term in the first square bracket. Therefore in [1] the formulas (3.6) and (3.9) are not valid and the proof of the theorem 1 is not correct. Instead of the decision inequality we have an identity.

§ 2. In fact this theorem is not true. In the following we give an example of a totally quasiumbilical submanifold V^n in E^{n+2} with nonflat normal connection. Note that a normal vector $\vec{\xi}$ is called quasiumbilical if $\sum_{\alpha} \xi^{\alpha} h_{ij}^{\alpha}$ has an (n-1)-fold eigenvalue and a V^n in E^{n+m} is called

totally quasiumbilical if it has m mutually orthogonal quasiumbilical unit normal vector fields (see [³], Chap. 5).

§ 3. Let us start with two lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let V^2 be a surface with nonflat normal connection in E^4 and $V^n = V^2 \times E^{n-2}$ its product by E^{n-2} in E^{n+2} , n > 2. Then the unit normal vector of V^n in the point $X \in V^n$, orthogonal to the tangent line from X to the normal curvature ellipse of the component V^2 in the plane of this ellipse, form a quasiumbilical unit vector field on V^n (real if every $X \in V^n$ is not inside this ellipse).

Proof. We take the orthonormal frame vectors in the point $X \in V^n$ so that $\vec{e_1}$ and $\vec{e_2}$ are tangent to the V^2 and $\vec{e_3}, \ldots, \vec{e_n}$ lie in E^{n-2} . Then (see [4]) $\vec{de_u} = \omega_u^v \vec{e_v}$; $u, v = 3, \ldots, n$ and in $\vec{de_1} = \omega_1^2 \vec{e_2} + \omega_1^\alpha \vec{e_\alpha}, \vec{de_2} =$ $= -\omega_1^2 \vec{e_1} + \omega_2^\alpha \vec{e_\alpha}; \alpha = n+1, n+2$, we have

 $\omega_1^{\alpha} = h_{11}^{\alpha} \omega^1 + h_{12}^{\alpha} \omega^2, \quad \omega_2^{\alpha} = h_{12}^{\alpha} \omega^1 + h_{22}^{\alpha} \omega^2.$

The normal curvature vector in the direction of the unit tangent vector $\vec{t} = t^{i}\vec{e}_{i}$; $i=1, \ldots, n$, is

$$\vec{h}_{ij}t^{i}t^{j} = \lambda \left(\vec{h}_{11} \cos^2 \varphi + 2\vec{h}_{12} \cos \varphi \sin \varphi + \vec{h}_{22} \cos^2 \varphi \right),$$

where $\vec{h}_{ij} = h_{ij}^{n+1} \vec{e}_{n+1} + h_{ij}^{n+1} \vec{e}_{n+2}$, $\vec{h}_{1u} = \vec{h}_{2v} = \vec{h}_{uv} = 0$, $t^1 = \lambda \cos \varphi$, $t^2 = \lambda \sin \varphi$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $\lambda^2 + (t^3)^2 + \ldots + (t^n)^2 = 1$. Thus the normal curvature indicatrix of the submanifold V^n in the point $X \equiv V^n$ (see [5], p. 109) is the convex hull of this point X and of the normal curvature ellipse, which corresponds to $\lambda = 1$ and whose point has the radius vector

$$\frac{1}{2}(\vec{h}_{11} + \vec{h}_{22}) + \frac{1}{2}(\vec{h}_{11} - \vec{h}_{22})\cos 2\varphi + \vec{h}_{12}\sin 2\varphi$$

from the origin X (see [6], p. 253). In the frame with origin in the endpoint of mean curvature vector and with basic vectors $\frac{1}{2}(\vec{h}_{11}-\vec{h}_{22})$ and \vec{h}_{12} (if normal curvature is nonflat, they are noncollinear) this ellipse has parametric equations

 $x = \cos 2\varphi, \quad y = \sin 2\varphi$

and thus these basic vectors have conjugated directions with respect to the ellipse. If the point $X
otin V^n$ is not in the interior of this ellipse, then the frame vector $\vec{e_1}$ can be chosen so that the corresponding normal curvature vector $\vec{h_{11}}$ goes from X in the tangent direction to the ellipse and ends in the point of tangency. The normal curvature vector $\vec{h_{22}}$, corresponding to $\vec{e_2}$, goes from X to the diametrial point of the ellipse, so that $\frac{1}{2}(\vec{h_{11}}-\vec{h_{22}})$ has diametrial direction. Thus $\vec{h_{11}}$ and $\vec{h_{12}}$ are collinear. Taking $\vec{e_3}$ in their common direction we have $h_{11}^4 = h_{12}^4 = 0$ and so

diag $||h_{ij}^4|| = (0, h_{22}^4, 0, \dots, 0).$

The frame vector e_4 forms now a quasiumbilical unit vector field. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 2. There exist locally euclidean surfaces V^2 with nonflat normal connection in E^4 .

Proof. If V^2 in E^4 has Gaussian curvature K=0 and its normal connection is nonflat, then $X \in V^2$ lives on the orthooptical circle of the normal curvature ellipse (see [⁶], p. 257). We can take the orthonormal frame as in the proof of Lemma 1 and have then $h_{11}^4 = h_{12}^4 = 0$, $h_{22}^4 = \sigma \neq 0$. The well-known formula $K = \sum_{\alpha} [h_{11}^{\alpha} h_{22}^{\alpha} - (h_{12}^{\alpha})^2]$ gives now that

 $h_{11}^3 h_{22}^3 = (h_{12}^3)^2$, and there exist ϱ and Θ so that $0 < \Theta < \pi$ and

$$h_{11}^3 = \varrho \cos^2 \Theta, \quad h_{12}^3 = \varrho \sin \Theta \cos \Theta, \quad h_{22}^3 = \varrho \sin^2 \Theta.$$

For the surface V^2 we have now the next differential system:

$$\omega^{3} = \omega^{4} = 0,$$

$$\omega_{1}^{3} = \varrho \cos \Theta \cdot \omega_{\Theta}^{1}, \quad \omega_{2}^{3} = \varrho \sin \Theta \cdot \omega_{\Theta}^{1},$$

$$\omega_{1}^{4} = 0, \quad \omega_{2}^{4} = \sigma \omega^{2},$$

where

 $\omega_{\Theta}^{1} = \omega^{1} \cos \Theta + \omega^{2} \sin \Theta.$

By the exterior differentiation we get the covariant system

$$[d\varrho \cdot \cos \Theta - \varrho \sin \Theta \cdot (d\Theta + \omega_1^2)] \wedge \omega_{\Theta}^1 + \varrho \cos \Theta \cdot (d\Theta + \omega_1^2) \wedge \omega_{\Theta}^2 = 0,$$

$$[d\varrho \cdot \sin \Theta + \varrho \cos \Theta \cdot (d\Theta + \omega_1^2) + \sigma \sin \Theta \cdot \omega_3^4] \wedge \omega_{\Theta}^4 + \\ + [\varrho \sin \Theta \cdot (d\Theta + \omega_1^2) + \sigma \cos \Theta \cdot \omega_3^4] \wedge \omega_{\Theta}^2 = 0,$$

$$\left[\sigma\sin\Theta\cdot\omega_{1}^{2}-\varrho\cos\Theta\cdot\omega_{3}^{4}\right]\wedge\omega_{\Theta}^{4}+\sigma\cos\Theta\cdot\omega_{1}^{2}\wedge\omega_{\Theta}^{2}=0,$$

$$\left[d\sigma\sin\Theta - \sigma\cos\Theta\cdot\omega_{1}^{2} + \varrho\sin\Theta\cdot\omega_{3}^{4}\right] \wedge \omega_{\Theta}^{4} +$$

 $+ [d\sigma \cdot \cos \Theta + \sigma \sin \Theta \cdot \omega_1^2] \wedge \omega_{\Theta}^2 = 0,$

where

$$\omega_{\Theta}^2 = -\omega^1 \sin \Theta + \omega^2 \cos \Theta.$$

Here we have 5 basic secondary forms: $d\varrho$, $d\Theta + \omega_1^2$, ω_3^4 , ω_1^2 and $d\sigma$. The polar matrix has rank $s_1 = 4$ and $s_2 = 1$. Using Cartan lemma with basic primary forms ω_{Θ}^4 and ω_{Θ}^2 we get from the first pair of covariants 4 expressions with 6 new coefficients. Left sides of these expressions contain only 3 basic secondary forms and therefore 2 linear dependencies arise for those 6 coefficients. The next two covariants contain each a new basic secondary form and give 2 new coefficients. The general 2-dimensional integral element depends on $s_1+2s_2=6$ arbitrary parameters. By Cartan theory [4] the considered differential system is compatible and the lemma is proved.

Remark 1. The normal curvature 2-form of the V^2 in Lemma 2 is

$$\Omega_3^4 = -\varrho\sigma\cos\Theta\sin\Theta\omega^1 \wedge \omega^2.$$

Remark 2. The differential system in the proof of Lemma 2 gives the general locally euclidean V^2 with nonflat normal connection in E^4 . If we add to its equations the next two new equations:

$$d\varrho = 0, \quad d\Theta + \omega_1^2 = 0$$

we get a differential system for a special case of those V^2 in E^4 with covariant system

$$\omega_3^4 \wedge \omega^4 = 0,$$

 $\varrho \cos^2 \Theta \cdot \omega_2^4 \wedge \omega^1 + \sigma d\Theta \wedge \omega^2 = 0,$

 $\sigma d\Theta \omega^1 + d\sigma \wedge \omega^2 + \varrho \sin \Theta \cos \Theta \cdot \omega_2^4 \wedge \omega^1 = 0.$

This system has 3 basic secondary forms and its polar matrix has rank $s_1 = 3$. The compatibility of the differential system follows immediately from the corresponding Cartan theorem ([4], p. 94).

§ 4. Now we give a counter-example to Theorem 1 in [1], which shows that this theorem is false.

Theorem. There exist totally quasiumbilical submanifolds V^n with nonflat normal connection in E^{n+2} .

Proof. Let V^2 be a locally euclidean surface with nonflat normal connection in E^4 (see Lemma 2, Remarks 1 and 2). Its point $X \in V^2$ lies on the orthooptical circle of the normal curvature ellipse. Thus tangent lines from X to the ellipse are orthogonal. Due to the Lemma 1 unit vectors in directions of these two lines form two orthogonal quasiumbilical normal vector fields on the product $V^n = V^2 \times E^{n-2}$ in E^{n+2} . Therefore V^n is totally guasiumbilical and has nonflat normal connection. The theorem is proved.

REFERENCES

- Chen, B.-Y., Teng, T. H. // Soochow J. Math. and Natur. Sci., 1975, 1, № 1, 9—16.
 Chen, B.-Y., Verstraelen, L. // Boll. Unione math. ital., 1977, A14, № 1, 49—57; Errata corrige: Boll. Unione math. ital., 1977, A14, № 3, 634.
 Chen, B.-Y. Geometry of Submanifolds. New York, M. Dekker, 1973.
 Картан Э. Внешние дифференциальные системы и их геометрические приложения.

- М., МГУ, 1962. *Схоутен И. А., Стройк Д. Дж.* Введение в новые методы дифференциальной геометрии. М., ИЛ. 1948, 2. *Картан Э.* Риманова геометрия в ортогональном репере. М., МГУ, 1960.

Tartu State University

Received Feb. 23, 1988