

УДК 514.75

Ü. LUMISTE and M. VALJAS

TOTALLY QUASIUMBILICAL SUBMANIFOLDS WITH NONFLAT NORMAL CONNECTION

Ü. LUMISTE, M. VALJAS. MITTETASASE NORMAALSEOSTUSEGA TÄIELIKULT KVAASIOMBI-
 LISED ALAMMUUTKONNAD

Ю. ЛУМИСТЕ, М. ВЯЛЪЯС. ВПОЛНЕ КВАЗИОМБИЛИЧЕСКИЕ ПОДМНОГООБРАЗИЯ С НЕ-
 ПЛОСКОЙ НОРМАЛЬНОЙ СВЯЗНОСТЬЮ

(Presented by H. Keres)

B.-Y. Chen and T. H. Teng have stated (see [1], Theorem 1) that a totally quasisumbilical submanifold in a conformally flat Riemannian space has always flat normal connection. This statement is used in [2], where Theorem 4 (the main theorem) says that an n -dimensional submanifold in an $(n+m)$ -dimensional conformally flat space ($m < n-2$) is totally quasisumbilical if and only if it is a conformally flat submanifold with flat normal connection. Remark that Errata corripge of [2] doesn't concern this main theorem.

In this note we show that the Theorem 1 in [1] and consequently the corresponding part (given above in the spaced type) of the Theorem 4 in [2] are not true. We detect the mistake made in [1] and give a counter-example (see § 1 and the theorem in § 4.)

§ 1. In the «proof» of Theorem 1 in [1] a general formula concerning the Weyl conformal curvature tensor $C_{jj,kl}$ of a submanifold in a conformally flat space is used. It is known (see [3] p. 150) that in this case $C_{ij,kl} = \sum_{\alpha} C_{ij,kl}^{\alpha}$ and thus $\sum_{i,j,k,l} h_{ik}^{\beta} h_{jl}^{\beta} C_{ij,kl} = \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{i,j,k,l} h_{ik}^{\beta} h_{jl}^{\beta} C_{ij,kl}^{\alpha}$. Straight-forward computation gives that by $\alpha \neq \beta$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (n-1)(n-2) \sum_{i,j,k,l} h_{ik}^{\beta} h_{jl}^{\beta} C_{ij,kl}^{\alpha} &= (n-1)(n-2) [(H^{\beta} H^{\alpha})_{[1]}]^2 - \\ &- (H^{\beta} H^{\alpha})_{[2]}] + [H_{[2]}^{\beta} - (H_{[1]}^{\beta})^2] [H_{[2]}^{\alpha} - (H_{[1]}^{\alpha})^2] + \\ &+ 2(n-1) [H_{[1]}^{\alpha} (H^{\beta} H^{\beta} H^{\alpha})_{[1]} + H_{[1]}^{\beta} (H^{\beta} H^{\alpha} H^{\alpha})_{[1]} - \\ &- H_{[1]}^{\beta} H_{[1]}^{\alpha} (H^{\beta} H^{\alpha})_{[1]} - (H^{\beta} H^{\alpha} H^{\alpha} H^{\beta})_{[1]}], \end{aligned}$$

where $H_{[p]} = \text{trace } H^p$ for a matrix H and $H^{\alpha} = \|h_{ij}^{\alpha}\|$. In [1] the last term is replaced by $(H^{\beta} H^{\alpha})_{[2]}$ and added to corresponding term in the first square bracket. Therefore in [1] the formulas (3.6) and (3.9) are not valid and the proof of the theorem 1 is not correct. Instead of the decision inequality we have an identity.

§ 2. In fact this theorem is not true. In the following we give an example of a totally quasisumbilical submanifold V^n in E^{n+2} with nonflat normal connection. Note that a normal vector $\vec{\xi}$ is called quasisumbilical if $\sum_{\alpha} \xi^{\alpha} h_{ij}^{\alpha}$ has an $(n-1)$ -fold eigenvalue and a V^n in E^{n+m} is called

totally quasisumbilical if it has m mutually orthogonal quasisumbilical unit normal vector fields (see [3], Chap. 5).

§ 3. Let us start with two lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let V^2 be a surface with nonflat normal connection in E^4 and $V^n = V^2 \times E^{n-2}$ its product by E^{n-2} in E^{n+2} , $n > 2$. Then the unit normal vector of V^n in the point $X \in V^n$, orthogonal to the tangent line from X to the normal curvature ellipse of the component V^2 in the plane of this ellipse, form a quasisumbilical unit vector field on V^n (real if every $X \in V^n$ is not inside this ellipse).

Proof. We take the orthonormal frame vectors in the point $X \in V^n$ so that \vec{e}_1 and \vec{e}_2 are tangent to the V^2 and $\vec{e}_3, \dots, \vec{e}_n$ lie in E^{n-2} . Then (see [4]) $d\vec{e}_u = \omega_u^v \vec{e}_v$; $u, v = 3, \dots, n$ and in $d\vec{e}_1 = \omega_1^2 \vec{e}_2 + \omega_1^\alpha \vec{e}_\alpha$, $d\vec{e}_2 = -\omega_1^2 \vec{e}_1 + \omega_2^\alpha \vec{e}_\alpha$; $\alpha = n+1, n+2$, we have

$$\omega_1^\alpha = h_{11}^\alpha \omega^1 + h_{12}^\alpha \omega^2, \quad \omega_2^\alpha = h_{12}^\alpha \omega^1 + h_{22}^\alpha \omega^2.$$

The normal curvature vector in the direction of the unit tangent vector $\vec{t} = t^i \vec{e}_i$; $i = 1, \dots, n$, is

$$\vec{h}_{ij} t^i t^j = \lambda (\vec{h}_{11} \cos^2 \varphi + 2\vec{h}_{12} \cos \varphi \sin \varphi + \vec{h}_{22} \sin^2 \varphi),$$

where $\vec{h}_{ij} = h_{ij}^{n+1} \vec{e}_{n+1} + h_{ij}^{n+2} \vec{e}_{n+2}$, $\vec{h}_{1u} = \vec{h}_{2v} = \vec{h}_{uv} = 0$, $t^1 = \lambda \cos \varphi$, $t^2 = \lambda \sin \varphi$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $\lambda^2 + (t^3)^2 + \dots + (t^n)^2 = 1$. Thus the normal curvature indicatrix of the submanifold V^n in the point $X \in V^n$ (see [5], p. 109) is the convex hull of this point X and of the normal curvature ellipse, which corresponds to $\lambda = 1$ and whose point has the radius vector

$$\frac{1}{2} (\vec{h}_{11} + \vec{h}_{22}) + \frac{1}{2} (\vec{h}_{11} - \vec{h}_{22}) \cos 2\varphi + \vec{h}_{12} \sin 2\varphi$$

from the origin X (see [6], p. 253). In the frame with origin in the end-point of mean curvature vector and with basic vectors $\frac{1}{2} (\vec{h}_{11} - \vec{h}_{22})$ and \vec{h}_{12} (if normal curvature is nonflat, they are noncollinear) this ellipse has parametric equations

$$x = \cos 2\varphi, \quad y = \sin 2\varphi$$

and thus these basic vectors have conjugated directions with respect to the ellipse. If the point $X \in V^n$ is not in the interior of this ellipse, then the frame vector \vec{e}_1 can be chosen so that the corresponding normal curvature vector \vec{h}_{11} goes from X in the tangent direction to the ellipse and ends in the point of tangency. The normal curvature vector \vec{h}_{22} , corresponding to \vec{e}_2 , goes from X to the diametrical point of the ellipse, so that $\frac{1}{2} (\vec{h}_{11} - \vec{h}_{22})$ has diametrical direction. Thus \vec{h}_{11} and \vec{h}_{12} are collinear. Taking \vec{e}_3 in their common direction we have $h_{11}^4 = h_{12}^4 = 0$ and so

$$\text{diag } \|h_{ij}^4\| = (0, h_{22}^4, 0, \dots, 0).$$

The frame vector \vec{e}_4 forms now a quasiunbital unit vector field. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 2. *There exist locally euclidean surfaces V^2 with nonflat normal connection in E^4 .*

Proof. If V^2 in E^4 has Gaussian curvature $K=0$ and its normal connection is nonflat, then $X \in V^2$ lives on the orthoptical circle of the normal curvature ellipse (see [6], p. 257). We can take the orthonormal frame as in the proof of Lemma 1 and have then $h_{11}^4 = h_{12}^4 = 0$, $h_{22}^4 = \sigma \neq 0$. The well-known formula $K = \sum_{\alpha} [h_{11}^{\alpha} h_{22}^{\alpha} - (h_{12}^{\alpha})^2]$ gives now that

$$h_{11}^3 h_{22}^3 = (h_{12}^3)^2, \text{ and there exist } \varrho \text{ and } \Theta \text{ so that } 0 < \Theta < \pi \text{ and}$$

$$h_{11}^3 = \varrho \cos^2 \Theta, \quad h_{12}^3 = \varrho \sin \Theta \cos \Theta, \quad h_{22}^3 = \varrho \sin^2 \Theta.$$

For the surface V^2 we have now the next differential system:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^3 &= \omega^4 = 0, \\ \omega_1^3 &= \varrho \cos \Theta \cdot \omega_{\Theta}^1, \quad \omega_2^3 = \varrho \sin \Theta \cdot \omega_{\Theta}^1, \\ \omega_1^4 &= 0, \quad \omega_2^4 = \sigma \omega^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\omega_{\Theta}^1 = \omega^1 \cos \Theta + \omega^2 \sin \Theta.$$

By the exterior differentiation we get the covariant system

$$\begin{aligned} [d\varrho \cdot \cos \Theta - \varrho \sin \Theta \cdot (d\Theta + \omega_{\Theta}^2)] \wedge \omega_{\Theta}^1 + \varrho \cos \Theta \cdot (d\Theta + \omega_{\Theta}^2) \wedge \omega_{\Theta}^2 &= 0, \\ [d\varrho \cdot \sin \Theta + \varrho \cos \Theta \cdot (d\Theta + \omega_{\Theta}^2) + \sigma \sin \Theta \cdot \omega_3^4] \wedge \omega_{\Theta}^1 + \\ + [\varrho \sin \Theta \cdot (d\Theta + \omega_{\Theta}^2) + \sigma \cos \Theta \cdot \omega_3^4] \wedge \omega_{\Theta}^2 &= 0, \\ [\sigma \sin \Theta \cdot \omega_1^2 - \varrho \cos \Theta \cdot \omega_3^4] \wedge \omega_{\Theta}^1 + \sigma \cos \Theta \cdot \omega_1^2 \wedge \omega_{\Theta}^2 &= 0, \\ [d\sigma \sin \Theta - \sigma \cos \Theta \cdot \omega_1^2 + \varrho \sin \Theta \cdot \omega_3^4] \wedge \omega_{\Theta}^1 + \\ + [d\sigma \cdot \cos \Theta + \sigma \sin \Theta \cdot \omega_1^2] \wedge \omega_{\Theta}^2 &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\omega_{\Theta}^2 = -\omega^1 \sin \Theta + \omega^2 \cos \Theta.$$

Here we have 5 basic secondary forms: $d\varrho$, $d\Theta + \omega_{\Theta}^2$, ω_3^4 , ω_{Θ}^2 and $d\sigma$. The polar matrix has rank $s_1=4$ and $s_2=1$. Using Cartan lemma with basic primary forms ω_{Θ}^1 and ω_{Θ}^2 we get from the first pair of covariants 4 expressions with 6 new coefficients. Left sides of these expressions contain only 3 basic secondary forms and therefore 2 linear dependencies arise for those 6 coefficients. The next two covariants contain each a new basic secondary form and give 2 new coefficients. The general 2-dimensional integral element depends on $s_1+2s_2=6$ arbitrary parameters. By Cartan theory [4] the considered differential system is compatible and the lemma is proved.

Remark 1. The normal curvature 2-form of the V^2 in Lemma 2 is

$$\Omega_3^4 = -\varrho \sigma \cos \Theta \sin \Theta \omega^1 \wedge \omega^2.$$

Remark 2. The differential system in the proof of Lemma 2 gives the general locally euclidean V^2 with nonflat normal connection in E^4 . If we add to its equations the next two new equations:

$$d\varrho=0, \quad d\Theta + \omega_{\Theta}^2=0$$

we get a differential system for a special case of those V^2 in E^4 with covariant system

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_3^4 \wedge \omega^4 &= 0, \\ \varrho \cos^2 \Theta \cdot \omega_3^4 \wedge \omega^1 + \sigma d\Theta \wedge \omega^2 &= 0, \\ \sigma d\Theta \omega^1 + d\sigma \wedge \omega^2 + \varrho \sin \Theta \cos \Theta \cdot \omega_3^4 \wedge \omega^1 &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

This system has 3 basic secondary forms and its polar matrix has rank $s_1=3$. The compatibility of the differential system follows immediately from the corresponding Cartan theorem ([4], p. 94).

§ 4. Now we give a counter-example to Theorem 1 in [1], which shows that this theorem is false.

Theorem. There exist totally quasiumbilical submanifolds V^n with nonflat normal connection in E^{n+2} .

Proof. Let V^2 be a locally euclidean surface with nonflat normal connection in E^4 (see Lemma 2, Remarks 1 and 2). Its point $X \in V^2$ lies on the ortho-optical circle of the normal curvature ellipse. Thus tangent lines from X to the ellipse are orthogonal. Due to the Lemma 1 unit vectors in directions of these two lines form two orthogonal quasiumbilical normal vector fields on the product $V^n = V^2 \times E^{n-2}$ in E^{n+2} . Therefore V^n is totally quasiumbilical and has nonflat normal connection. The theorem is proved.

REFERENCES

1. Chen, B.-Y., Teng, T. H. // Soochow J. Math. and Natur. Sci., 1975, 1, № 1, 9—16.
2. Chen, B.-Y., Verstraeten, L. // Boll. Unione math. ital., 1977, A14, № 1, 49—57; Errata corrige: Boll. Unione math. ital., 1977, A14, № 3, 634.
3. Chen, B.-Y. Geometry of Submanifolds. New York, M. Dekker, 1973.
4. Картан Э. Внешние дифференциальные системы и их геометрические приложения. М., МГУ, 1962.
5. Схоутен И. А., Стройк Д. Дж. Введение в новые методы дифференциальной геометрии. М., ИЛ, 1948, 2.
6. Картан Э. Риманова геометрия в ортогональном репере. М., МГУ, 1960.

Tartu State University

Received
Feb. 23, 1988