

THE WITTEN AND THE NILSSON-KALLOSH CONSTRAINTS IN $N=1, D=10$ SUPERGRAVITY

PIRET KUUSK, WITTENI JA NILSSON-KALLOSHI SEOSD $N=1, D=10$ SUPERGRAVITATSIOONI-
 TEORIAS

Пирет КУУСК, СВЯЗИ ВИТЕНА И НИЛССОН-КАЛЛОШ В $N=1, D=10$ СУПЕРГРАВИ-
 ТАЦИИ

(Presented by H. Keres)

On-shell $N=1, D=10$ supergravity [1] can be presented in a geometrical form by means of a torsion two-form T^A of a 10-dimensional superspace* and a closed three-form G given in this superspace [2]. Since the torsion two-form contains much more superfields than are necessary for the physical supergravity theory, kinematic constraints can be imposed on some components of T^A . These constraints restrict the geometry of the superspace, but do not imply the equations of motion for the x -space fields. If, however, the constraints are imposed also on the three-form G , then from the Bianchi identities

$$DT^A = -E^B R_B^A, \quad dG = 0$$

the equations of motion will follow.

A possible form of the constraints was presented by E. Witten [3]

$$T_{\alpha\beta}{}^\alpha = 2\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}{}^\alpha, \quad T_{\alpha\beta}{}^\gamma = 0, \quad G_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0.$$

$$T_{\alpha\alpha}{}^b = 0, \quad T_{\alpha\alpha}{}^\beta = (\Gamma_a \cdot \psi) \alpha^\beta.$$

In [4] the corresponding solution of the Bianchi identities for T^A and G has been found:

$$T_{ab}{}^c = T_{abd} \eta^{dc}, \quad \psi^{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{24} T_{abc} (\Gamma^{abc})^{\alpha\beta},$$

$$T_{ab}{}^\alpha = J_{ab}{}^\alpha + 2J_{\beta[a} \Gamma_{b]}{}^{\beta\alpha},$$

$$J_{\gamma\alpha} = \frac{1}{56 \cdot 24} D_\beta [\Gamma_a{}^{\beta\tau} (\Gamma^{dbc})_{\tau\gamma} T_{dbc}],$$

$$J_{ab}{}^\delta = \frac{1}{16} D_\beta [T_{jh[a} (\Gamma_{b]}{}^{jk})^{\beta\delta}] + \frac{1}{8} D_\beta T_{abh} \Gamma^{h\beta\delta},$$

$$G_{d\alpha\beta} = 2e^{-\Phi} \Gamma_{d\alpha\beta}, \quad D_\beta (\Gamma^{abc})^{\beta\gamma} T_{abc} = 0,$$

$$G_{db\alpha} = -e^{-\Phi} (\Gamma_{db})_{\alpha\gamma} D_\gamma \Phi,$$

$$G_{abd} = -3e^{-\Phi} T_{abd}.$$

* The 10-dimensional curved superspace has coordinates $z^M = (x^m, \theta^\alpha)$, $m=1, \dots, 10$, $\alpha=1, \dots, 16$, the basis one-form E^A and the connection one-form $\omega_A{}^B$. The symmetric Dirac matrices $\Gamma_a{}^{\alpha\beta}$, $\Gamma_a{}^\alpha$ satisfy

$$\Gamma_{a\alpha\beta} \Gamma_b{}^{\beta\gamma} + \Gamma_{b\alpha\beta} \Gamma_a{}^{\beta\gamma} = -2\eta_{ab} \delta_\alpha^\gamma, \quad \eta_{ab} = \text{diag}(-1, +1, \dots, +1).$$

Here D_A denotes the covariant derivative, $T_{abc}(z)$ is an arbitrary antisymmetric superfield and $\Phi(z)$ is a scalar superfield (the dilaton field).

Another form of the constraints has been proposed by R. E. Kallosh and B. E. W. Nilsson [5]:

$$\begin{aligned} T'_{\alpha\beta}{}^a &= 2\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^a, & T'_{\alpha\beta}{}^\gamma &= \delta_{(\alpha}^{\gamma} D'_{\beta)} \tilde{\Phi}, & G'_{\alpha\beta\gamma} &= 0, \\ T'_{\alpha a}{}^b &= \delta_a^b D'_{\alpha} \tilde{\Phi}, & T'_{ab}{}^d &= 0; \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding solution of the Bianchi identities has been given in [6]:

$$\begin{aligned} T'_{a\beta}{}^\gamma &= -\frac{1}{2} (\Gamma^b \cdot \Gamma_a)_{\beta}{}^\gamma D'_{\beta} \tilde{\Phi} + (\Gamma_a{}^{bcd} - 6\delta_a^b \Gamma^{cd})_{\beta}{}^\gamma Y_{bcd}, \\ T'_{ab}{}^\gamma &= H^\gamma{}_{ab} + 2H_{\delta[a} \Gamma_{b]}{}^{\delta\gamma}, \\ H_{\delta a} &= -\frac{3}{28} (\hat{D}'_{\beta} Y_{abc}) (\Gamma^{bc})^{\beta\delta}, \\ H^\delta{}_{ab} &= 3 \left[(\hat{D}'_{\beta} Y_{abc}) \Gamma^{c\beta\delta} + \frac{1}{2} (\hat{D}'_{\beta} Y_{cd[a}) (\Gamma_{b]}{}^{cd})^{\beta\delta} \right], \\ G'_{d\alpha\beta} &= 2\Gamma_{d\alpha\beta}, & \hat{D}'_{\beta} &= D'_{\beta} + D'_{\beta} \tilde{\Phi}, \\ G'_{db\alpha} &= 2(\Gamma_{db})_{\alpha}{}^{\gamma} D'_{\gamma} \tilde{\Phi}, \\ G'_{abd} &= 7Y_{abd}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $Y_{abd}(z)$ is an arbitrary antisymmetric superfield and $\tilde{\Phi}(z)$ is the dilaton superfield.

From the very beginning it was conjectured that both sets of constraints are equivalent up to some transformations and field redefinitions. A conformal transformation connecting the constraints on the torsion components was indicated in [7]. Here we present the full set of transformations/redefinitions by means of which the full solution of the Bianchi identities for T^A and G with the Nilsson-Kallosh constraints can be obtained from the solution with the Witten constraints.

1. A conformal transformation

$$E'^A = E^B \Lambda_B{}^A,$$

$$T'_{BC}{}^D = (-1)^{b(c+a)} \tilde{\Lambda}_C{}^A \tilde{\Lambda}_B{}^E [T_{EA}{}^F \Lambda_F{}^D + \partial_E \Lambda_A{}^D - (-1)^{ae} \partial_A \Lambda_E{}^D],$$

$$\Lambda_A{}^B \tilde{\Lambda}_B{}^D = \delta_A{}^D, \quad \partial_E = E_E{}^M \partial_M$$

with

$$\Lambda_b{}^a = \exp\left(-\frac{\Phi}{2}\right) \delta_b^a, \quad \Lambda_\beta{}^\alpha = 0.$$

$$\Lambda_\beta{}^\alpha = -\exp\left(-\frac{\Phi}{4}\right) \delta_\beta^\alpha, \quad \Lambda_\beta{}^\alpha = 0.$$

2. A redefinition that connects superfields T_{abc} , Φ and Y_{abc} , $\tilde{\Phi}$:

$$\Phi = -2\tilde{\Phi}, \quad T_{abc} = -24e^{\tilde{\Phi}} Y_{abc}.$$

3. A redefinition of the connection $\omega_{AB}{}^D$ of the superspace:

$$\omega'_{AB}{}^D = \omega_{AB}{}^D + \Delta_{AB}{}^D,$$

$$T'_{AB}{}^D = T_{AB}{}^D - (\Delta_{AB}{}^D - (-1)^{ab} \Delta_{BA}{}^D),$$

$$\Delta_{ab}{}^d = \left(\frac{1}{2} e^{-\tilde{\Phi}} T_{abc} + \partial'_c \tilde{\Phi} \eta_{ab} - \partial'_b \tilde{\Phi} \eta_{ac} \right) \eta^{cd}, \quad \Delta_{ab}{}^d = 0.$$

Here we have assumed that the connection one-form $\omega_A{}^B$ and the three-form G do not transform under the conformal transformation. This implies the covariant transformation rules for the components $\omega_{EA}{}^B$, G_{ABD} .

These direct calculations confirm once more that if the Maurer-Cartan structure equations hold, then the redefinition of the connection of the superspace converts a solution of the Bianchi identities for T^A and G into a new solution [8]. They also confirm another result that can be obtained by a direct substitution — any conformal transformation $E'^A = E^B \Lambda_B{}^A$, $\Lambda_B{}^A \sim \delta_B^A$ transforms a solution of the Bianchi identities for T^A and G into a new solution.

The most general transformations preserving the constraint $T_{\alpha\beta}{}^a = 2\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^a$ were recently investigated in detail in [9]. These transformations also contain the above-considered conformal transformations and redefinitions of the connection of the superspace. The analysis given in [9] clarifies the general structure of possible constraints on the torsion components $T_{AB}{}^D$ and the corresponding solutions of the Bianchi identities for T^A .

The author is grateful to R. E. Kallosh for bringing her attention to [9].

REFERENCES

1. Chamseddine, A. H. // Nucl. Phys., 1981, **B185**, № 2, 403—415; Bergshoeff, E., de Roo, M., de Wit, B., Nieuwenhuizen, P. van. // Nucl. Phys., 1982, **B195**, 97; Chapline, G. F., Manton, N. S. // Phys. Lett., 1983, **120B**, № 1—3, 105—109.
2. Nilsson, B. E. W. // Nucl. Phys., 1981, **B188**, № 2, 176—192.
3. Witten, E. // Nucl. Phys., 1986, **B266**, № 2, 245—264.
4. Atick, J. J., Dhar, A., Ratra, B. // Phys. Rev., 1986, **D33**, № 10, 2824—2832.
5. Kallosh, R. E., Nilsson, B. E. W. // Phys. Lett., 1986, **167B**, № 1, 46—50.
6. Nilsson, B. E. W., Tollsten, A. K. // Phys. Lett., 1986, **169B**, № 4, 369—373; 1986, **171B**, № 2—3, 212—216.
7. Howe, P., Umerski, A. // Phys. Lett., 1986, **177B**, № 2, 163—166.
8. Kuusk, P. // Phys. Lett., 1986, **181B**, № 1—2, 57—62; *Кыуск П.* // Тр. ИФ АН ЭССР, 1987, **62**, 47—62.
9. Bonora, L., Bregola, M., Lechner, K. // Preprint CERN-TH.4890/87. Oct. 1987.

Academy of Sciences of the Estonian SSR,
Institute of Physics

Received
Feb. 17, 1988