EESTI NSV TEADUSTE AKADEEMIA TOIMETISED. FÜÜSIKA * MATEMAATIKA

ИЗВЕСТИЯ АКАДЕМИИ НАУК ЭСТОНСКОЙ ССР. ФИЗИКА * МАТЕМАТИКА PROCEEDINGS OF THE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE ESTONIAN SSR. PHYSICS * MATHEMATICS

1984, 33, 4

Ülle KOTTA

УДК 681.51

RECURSIVE REALIZATION OF 2-D LINEAR SYSTEMS

(Presented by N. Alumäe)

1. Introduction

In recent years, several authors have discussed the two-dimensional (2-D) realization problems of the 2-D input-output description by different 2-D state space models in a variety of situations. The input-output description have been expressed in terms of 2-D Markov parameters $[^{1-3}]$, or in terms of 2-D transfer function $[^{4-10}]$. For a general 2-D system, at this moment there is no technique available for constructing minimal realizations $[^{11}]$. For the important special case of 2-D systems with separable denominator transfer function, T. Hinamoto $[^{1,2}]$ has presented the algorithms for complete and partial minimal realizations from the given set of 2-D Markov parameters; the Roesser's model is used to represent the system. T. Hinamoto and F. W. Fairman $[^{3}]$ have treated the canonical minimal partila realization problem in the same situation.

In this paper, we also consider the partial minimal realization problem for systems with separable denominator transfer function. Unlike $[^{1-3}]$ we do not look for a solution for some fixed N (N is the number of given 2-D Markov parameters), but look for a recursive solution where each extension of the sequence of 2-D Markov parameters can be met by calculating just a few new elements in the corresponding realization. Our approach here is an extension of the method proposed by J. Rissanen for the 1-D case $[^{12}]$.

2. Problem statement

Consider the Roesser's state space model of the 2-D system with separable denominator transfer function abbreviated by $\sum (n, m) = (A_1, A_3, A_4, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2)$ [¹]:

$$x^{h}(i+1,j) = A_{1}x^{h}(i,j) + b_{1}u(i,j),$$

$$x^{v}(i,j+1) = A_{3}x^{h}(i,j) + A_{4}x^{v}(i,j) + b_{2}u(i,j),$$

$$y(i,j) = c_{1}x^{h}(i,j) + c_{2}x^{v}(i,j), \quad i,j \ge 0,$$

(1)

where x^h (i, j) is an *n* dimensional horisontal state vector, $x^v(i, j)$ is an *m* dimensional vertical state vector, u(i, j) is a scalar input, y(i, j) is a scalar output, and A_1 , A_3 , A_4 , b_1 , b_2 , c_1 , c_2 are real matrices of appropriate dimensions. For the system (1), the set of boundary conditions consists of $x^h(0, j)$, for $j \ge 0$ and $x^v(i, 0)$ for $i \ge 0$.

If the boundary conditions are equal to zero and an impulse is applied as an input (u(0, 0) = 1, (u(i, j) = 0 in other cases), then the impulse response of the system (1) is [1]

 $y(i,0) = c_1 A_1^{i-1} b_1 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} w_{i0}, \quad y(0,j) = c_2 A_4^{j-1} b_2 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} w_{0j},$ $y(i,j) = c_2 A_4^{j-1} A_3 A_1^{i-1} b_1 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} w_{ij}, \quad i > 0, \quad j > 0.$

The real numbers w_{ij} are also called the 2-D Markov parameters of the system (1). Let us define an 2k+1 dimensional vector W_k as

 $W_k(w_{k0}, w_{k1}, \ldots, w_{kk}, w_{k-1,k}, \ldots, w_{1k}, w_{0k}), k=1, 2, \ldots$

The partial realization problem can be defined as follows [¹]. Given the finite sequence $\{W_k; k=1, 2, \ldots, N\}$, system (1) is said to be a partial realization of that sequence if and only if the Markov parameters formed from the realized system match the given set of Markov parameters contained in the sequence. Furthermore, the realization is said to be a minimal partial realization if and only if *n* and *m* are minimal among all other partial realizations.

3. Generalized Hankel matrices and their factorization

In this section we describe two matrices introduced in [1], which play, in the case of 2-D systems, a role similar to the one of the Hankel matrix in the 1-D realization problem. These matrices are given as follows:

$$H_{L,n+1}^{(s)} = \|h_{i+j-1}^{(s)}\|, \quad i=1, \ldots, L, \qquad j=1, \ldots, n+1,$$

$$V_{m+1,J}^{(t)} = \|v_{i+j-1}^{(t)}\|, \quad i=1, \ldots, m+1, \quad j=1, \ldots, J,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h_i^{(s)} &= (w_{i0}, w_{i1}, \ldots, w_{is})^{\mathsf{T}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, \\ v_i^{(t)} &= (w_{0i}, w_{1i}, \ldots, w_{ti}), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots. \end{aligned}$$

The former is called the horizontal Hankel matrix and the latter is called the vertical Hankel matrix.

The recursive realization algorithm, proposed in this paper, is based on the following types of factorizations of the horizontal and vertical Hankel matrices

$$H_{L,n+1}^{(s)} = S(L, n+1)R(n+1); \quad L \ge n+1, \quad \text{rank} \quad H_{L,n+1}^{(s)} \ge n$$
 (2)

and

$$V_{m+1,J}^{(t)} = P(m+1)Q(m+1,J), \quad J \ge m+1, \text{ rank } V_{m+1,J}^{(t)} \ge m.$$
 (3)

Here R(n+1) is upper triangular with 1's on the main diagonal and P(m+1) is lower triangular with 1's on the main diagonal. These factors are not unique. By setting certain elements of S(L, n+1) and Q(m+1, J) equal to zero, we shall be able to calculate the elements of factors one

격

by one and, moreover, an addition of rows and columns to $H_{L,n+1}^{(s)}$ and $V_{m+1,J}^{(t)}$ will not change the elements already calculated.

Algorithm 1. Factorization of horizontal Hankel matrix.

Step 1. Set the first column in S(L, n+1) equal to that of $H_{L, n+1}^{(s)}$.

Step 2. Recursively, at the k+1th step all the s_{ij} (elements of S(L, n+1)) and r_{ij} (elements of R(n+1)) for $j=1, \ldots, k$ have been determined. Suppose, first, that the kth column of S(L, n+1) is not zero. Let q(k)be the least integer such that $s_{q(k),h} \neq 0$. Set $s_{q(k)+i,p} = 0$ for $p > k, i=0, \ldots, s$. Equation (2) leads to a set of k equations one for each row $q(j), j=1, \ldots, k$. From these the unknowns $r_{1, k+1}, \ldots, r_{k, k+1}$ can be found one by one. These determine the k+1th column of S(L, n+1).

Suppose, next, that the kth column of S(L, n+1) is zero. In this case set the nondiagonal elements in the k+1th column of R(n+1) to arbitrary values, say, to zero.

Algorithm 2. Factorization of vertical Hankel matrix.

Step 1. Set the first row in Q(m+1, J) equal to that of $V_{m+1,J}^{(t)}$.

Step 2. Recursively, at the k+1th step all the q_{ij} (elements of Q(m+1, J)) and p_{ij} (elements of P(m+1)) for $i=1, \ldots, k$ have been determined. Suppose, first, that the kth row of Q(m+1, J) is not zero. Let s(k) be the least integer such that $q_{k,s(k)} \neq 0$. Set $q_{p,s(k)+i}=0$ for $p > k, i=0, 1, \ldots, s$. Equation (3) leads to a set of k equations one for each column $s(j), j=1, \ldots, k$. From these the unknowns $p_{k+1,1}, \ldots, p_{k+1,k}$ can be found one by one. These determine the k+1th row of Q(m+1, J).

Suppose, next, that the k-th row is zero. In this case set the nondiagonal elements of the k+1th row of P(m+1) to arbitrary values, say, to zero.

4. Preliminary results

Let us define

$$A_1(n) = R^*(n) R^{-1}(n), \tag{4}$$

where $R^*(n)$ is formed from R(n+1) by omitting the first column and last row,

$$b_{4}^{T}(n) = [1 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0], \tag{5}$$

$$c_1(n) = [s_{11} \dots s_{1n}], \tag{6}$$

$$A_4(m) = P^{-1}(m) P^*(m), \tag{7}$$

where $P^*(m)$ is formed from P(m+1) by omitting the first row and the last column,

$$b_2^T(m) = [q_{11} \ldots q_{m1}],$$
 (8)

$$c_2(m) = [1 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0], \tag{9}$$

$$A_3(m,n) = \mathcal{O}^{-1}(m) W_{mm}^T C^{-1}(n), \qquad (10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{O}^{\prime}(m) &= \left[c_{2}^{T}(m), \ A_{4}^{T}(m) \ c_{2}^{T}(m), \dots, \ \left(A_{4}^{m-1}(m) \right)^{T} c_{2}^{T}(m) \right]^{\mathsf{T}}, \\ \mathfrak{C}(n) &= \left[b_{1}(n), \ A_{1}(n) b_{1}(n), \ \dots, \ A_{4}^{n-1}(n) b_{1}(n) \right], \\ W_{nm} &= \| w_{hl} \|, \quad k = 1, \ \dots, \ n, \quad l = 1, \ \dots, \ m. \end{aligned}$$

448

Now without proof being too long to present, we shall give a theorem on which the realization algorithm is based.

Theorem. Given W_k , k=1, 2, ..., let the rank of the matrix $H_{n,n}^{(2n-1)}$ be n and L any integer such that $H_{L,n+1}^{(L+n)}$ has rank n $(n+1 \le L \le L_1)$; let the rank of the matrix $V_{m,m}^{(2m-1)}$ be n and J any integer such that $V_{m+1,J}^{(m+J)}$ has rank m $(m+1 \le J \le J_1)$. Then $\sum (n,m) = [A_1(n), A_3(m,n), A_4(m), b_1(n), b_2(m), c_1(n), c_2(m)]$ is a minimal realization of the sequence $\{W_1, \ldots, W_T\}$, where $T \in [2n+1, L_1+n] \cap [2m+1, J_1+m]$.

Observe that the matrices (4), (7) and (10) can be computed recursively due to their special form. The following holds

$$A_{1}(n+1) = \begin{bmatrix} A_{1}(n) \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \dots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (11)$$

where

$$\delta_{n+1} = \begin{bmatrix} -r_{n+1}^{T} & A_{1}^{T}(n) & [r_{n,n+1}] + r_{n+2}^{T}, \\ r_{n+i}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} r_{1,n+i} & \dots & r_{n+i-1,n+i} \end{bmatrix}, \ i = 1, 2; \\ A_{4}(m+1) = \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ A_{4}(m) & \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ \dots & \ddots \\ \gamma_{m+1} \end{vmatrix},$$
(12)

where

$$\gamma_{m+1} = [-p_{m+1} A_4(m) \downarrow p_{m+1,m}] + p_{m+2},$$

$$p_{m+i} = [p_{m+i,1} \dots p_{m+i,m+i-1}], i = 1, 2;$$

$$A_3(m+1,n) = \begin{bmatrix} A_3(m,n) \\ (\alpha_{m+1} \ W_{mn} + w_{m+1}) C^{-1}(n) \end{bmatrix},$$
(13)

where

$$a_{m+1} = -c_2(m+1)A_4^m(m+1)I(m+1,m)O^{-1}(m),$$

$$w_{m+1} = w_{1,m+1} \dots w_{n,m+1},$$

and I(m+1, m) is the m+1-dimensional unit matrix where the last column is omitted;

$$A_{3}(m, n+1) = [A_{3}(m, n)] O^{-1}(m) (W_{mn}\beta_{n+1} + w_{n+1})], \qquad (14)$$

where

$$\beta_{n+1} = -\mathbb{C}^{-1}(n) I(n, n+1) A_1^n(n+1) b_1(n+1),$$

$$w_{n+1} = [w_{n+1,1}, \dots, w_{n+1,m}]^{\mathrm{T}}$$

and I(n, n+1) is the n+1-dimensional unit matrix where the last row is omitted.

4 ENSV TA Toimetised. F * M-4 1984

5. Realization algorithm

Step 1. Pick the least K such that $h_{K}^{(2K+1)} \neq 0$. Set N = 2K - 1, IH = JH = K. Pick the least L such that $v_{L}^{(2L+1)} \neq 0$. Set M = 2L - 1, IV = JV = L, IND = 1.

Step 2. JH = JH + 1, N = N + 1.

Step 3. If $JH \leq IH$, go to Step 4; otherwise IH = IH + 1, N = N + 1, go to Step 4.

Step 4. Apply the factorization algorithm 1 on $H_{IH,IH}^{(N)}$.

Step 5. If the last column of S(IH, JH) is nonzero, go to Step 2; otherwise calculate $A_1(JH-1)$, $b_1(JH-1)$; $c_1(JH-1)$.

Step 6. If IND=1, go to Step 7; otherwise go to Step 11.

Step 7. IV = IV + 1, M = M + 1.

Step 8. If $IV \leq JV$, go to Step 9; otherwise JV = JV+1, M = M+1, go to Step 9.

Step 9. Apply the factorization algorithm 2 on $V_{IV,JV}^{(M)}$.

Step 10. If the last row of Q(IV, JV) is nonzero, go Step 7; otherwise calculate $A_4(IV-1)$, $b_2(IV-1)$, $c_2(IV-1)$.

Step 11. If N < M, then IH = IH + 1, N = N + 1, apply the factorization algorithm 1 on $H_{IH,JH}^{(N)}$, go to Step 12; If N > M, then JV = JV + 1, M = = M + 1, apply the factorization algorithm 2 on $V_{IV,JV}^{(M)}$, go to Step 13; If N = M, then calculate $A_3(IV - 1, JH - 1)$, go to Step 14.

Step 12. If the last column of S(IH, JH) is zero, go to Step 11; otherwise IND=0, go to Step 2.

Step 13. If the last row of Q(IV, JV) is zero, go to Step 11; otherwise go to Step 7.

Step 14. Print N (=M), A_1 , A_3 , A_4 , b_1 , b_2 , c_1 , c_2 . If you want to continue, then IH=IH+1, N=N+1, apply the factorization algorithm 1 on $H_{IH,JH}^{(N)}$, go to Step 15; otherwise go to Step 17.

Step 15. If the last column of S(IH, JH) is nonzero, then IND=0 go to Step 2; otherwise JV=JV+1, M=M+1, apply the factorization algorithm 2 on $V_{IV,JV}^{(M)}$.

Step 16. If the last row of Q(IV, JV) is nonzero, go to Step 7; otherwise go to Step 14.

Step 17. Stop.

Remark 1. In this algorithm each time the factorization algorithm 1(2) is called, only one additional column (row) is calculated.

Remark 2. The matrices A_1 , A_3 , A_4 are calculated from the formulas (4), (10), (7) or once calculated, are recursiverly updated by formulas (11)-(14).

6. Numerical example

Suppose the given sequence $\{W_k; k=1, 2, \dots, 5\}$ is specified as $W_1 = (8 \ 4 \ 4);$ $W_2 = (4 \ 2 \ 1 \ 2 \ 2 \);$ $W_3 = (4 \ 2 \ 1 \ 0.5 \ 0.5 \ 1 \ 1);$ $W_4 = (3 \ 1.5 \ 0.75 \ 0.375 \ 0.1875 \ 0.25 \ 0.25 \ 0.5 \ 0.5);$ $W_5 = (2.5 \ 1.25 \ 0.625 \ 0.3125 \ 0.15625 \ 0.078125 \ 0.09375 \ 0.125 \ 0.125 \ 0.5);$ $Step \ 1. K=1, N=1, IH=JH=1, L=1, M=1, IV=JV=1, IND=1.$ $Step \ 2. JH=2, N=2.$ Step 3. As JH > 1H, IH = 2, N = 3.

Step 4. Applying the factorization algorithm 1 on $H_{2,2}^{(3)}$ gives

		0		4	8
		0		. 2	4
		0		1	2
1	0.5	0		0,5	1
			 =		
		2		4	4
		i		2	2
		0,5	69.5	1	1
	0,5	2 ! 0,5	 -	0,5 4 2 1	4 2 1

Step 5. As the last column of S(2, 2) is nonzero, we go to Step 2. Step 2. JH=3, N=4.

Step 3. As JH > IH, IH = 3, N = 5.

Step 4. Applying the factorization algorithm 1 on $H_{3,3}^{(5)}$ gives

1	8	4	4	1.15	8	0	0			
1	4	2	2		4	0 .	0			
1	2	1	1	1000	2	0	0			
1	1	0,5	0,5		1	0	0			
1	0,5	0,25	0,25	=	0,5	0	0	11	0,5	0,51
1	0,25	0,125	0,125	1	0,25	0 '	0		1	0,5
	4	4	3	2.7	4	2 .	0			1
1	2	2	1,5		2 .	1	0			
1	1	1	0,75	18	1 baa	0,5	0			· · · · · · ·
1	0,5	0,5	0,375		0,5	0,25	0			
	0,25	0,25	0,1875		0,25	0,125	0			
	0,125	0,125	0,09375		0,125	0,0625	0			
	4	3	2,5	310.0	1	1	0			
1	2	1,5.	1,25	1	2	0,5.	0			
	1	0,75	0,625	1	1	0,25	0			
ł	0,5	0,375	0,3125		0,5	0,125	0			
	0,25	0,1875	0,15625		0,25	0,0625	0			
	0.125	0.09375	0.078125		0.125	0.03125	0			

Step 5. As the last column of S(3, 3) is zero, we calculate

 $A_1(2) = \begin{bmatrix} 0, 5 & 0, 25 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b_1^T(2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad c_1(2) = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$

Step 6. As IND=1, we go to Step 7.

Step 7. IV = 2, M = 2.

4.

Step 8. As IV > JV, JV = 2, M = 3.

Step 9. Applying the factorization algorithm 2 on $V^{(3)}_{22}$ gives

 $\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0,5 & 0,5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0,5 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$

Step 10. As the last row of Q(2, 2) is zero, we calculate $A_4(1) = 0.5$, $b_2(1) = 4$, $c_2(1) = 1$. Step 11. As N > M, JV = 3, M = 4. Applying the factorization algorithm 2 on $V_{2,3}^{(4)}$ gives

 $\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 1,5 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0,75 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0,75 \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0,5 & 0,5 & 0,375 \\ 0,5 & 0,5 & 0,25 & 0,25 & 0,1875 \\ 0,5 & 0,5 & 0,5 & 0,25 \\ 0,5 & 0,5 & 0,25 & 0,25 \\ 0,5 & 0,5 \\ 0,5 & 0,5 \\ 0,5 &$

4 2 2 1,5 2 2 1 1 0,75 1 1 0,5 0,5 0,375 0 0

Step 13. As the last row of Q(2, 3) is zero, we go to Step 11. Step 11. As N > M, JV = 4, M = 5. Applying the factorization algorithm 2 on V(5) gives

> 1,5 1,25 2 0.75 0,625 Γ4 4 2 2 2 1 1 0.5 0.5 1 1 0,75 0,625 1 1 0.375 0,3125

0,5 0,375 0,3125 | 0,5 0,5 0,25 0,1875 0,15625 1 1 0,5 0,25 0.5 0.5 0,25 0,25 0,1875 0,15625 0,25 0,25 0,125 0,125 0,09375 0.078125

> 2 2 2 4 2 1,5 1,25 1 1 0,75 0,625 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

1 0,5 0,5 0,375 1 0,3125 0,5 0,5 0,25 0.25 0,1875 0,15625 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

Step 13. As the last row of Q(2, 4) is zero, we go to Step 11.

Step 11. As N = M, we calculate $A_3(1, 2) = [4 \ 0]$.

Step. 14. Let us suppose we do not want to continue. The calculated realization is a minimal realization of the sequence $\{W_1, \ldots, W_5\}$.

REFERENCES

- Hinamoto, T. IEEE Trans. Circuits and Syst., 27, № 1, 36-44 (1980).
 Hinamoto, T. IEEE Trans. Circuits and Syst., 28, № 4, 345-347 (1981).
 Hinamoto, T., Fairman, F. W. IEEE Trans. Acoust., Speech, and Signal Process, 29, № 4, 846-853 (1981).
 Mitra, S. K., Sagar, A. D., Pendergrass, N. A. IEEE Trans. Circuits and Syst., 22, № 3, 177-184, (1975).
 Formasini E. Marchasini G. IEEE Trans. Advantage of the Statistical Systematics of the Marchasini G. IEEE Trans. Advantage of the Statistical Systematics of the Statistical Syst
- 5. Fornasini, E., Marchesini, G. IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr., 21, Nº 4, 484-492 (1976)
- Kung, S.-Y., Lévy, B. C., Morf, M., Kailath, T. Proc. IEEE, 65, № 6, 945-961 (1977). 6.

- (1977).
 Eising, R. IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr., 23, № 5, 793-799 (1978).
 Fornasini, E. IEEE Trans. Circuits and Syst., 25, № 5, 290-299 (1978).
 Fornasini, E., Marchesini, G. IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. and Machine Intelligence, 2, № 2. 172-176 (1980).
 Котта Ю. Р. В кн.: Тез. докл. Всесоюзной науч.-техн. конф. «Адаптивные роботы 82». М., 1982, 80-81.
 Fornasini, E. Marchesini, G. In: Propr. of IEAC 8th Congress. Kyoto. 11, 1981.
- 11. Fornasini, E., Marchesini, G. In: Prepr. of IFAC 8th Congress. Kyoto, 11, 1981, 147-153.

12. Rissanen, J. SIAM J. Contr., 9, № 3, 420-430 (1971).

Academy of Sciences of the Estonian SSR, Institute of Cybernetics

Received June 9, 1983

Ülle KOTTA

REKURSIIVNE ALGORITM KAHEDIMENSIOONILISTE LINEAARSETE SÜSTEEMIDE REALISEERIMISEKS

Artiklis on vaadeldud kahedimensioonilise lineaarse eraldatava ülekandefunktsiooniga dünaamilise süsteemi olekumudeli leidmist süsteemi välise kirjelduse põhjal ning esi-tatud ja põhjendatud algoritm minimaalse osalise realisatsiooni saamiseks. Nimetatud algoritm kujutab endast rekursiivset protsessi, mis uute vaatlustulemuste saamisel täpsustab realisatsiooni.

Юлле КОТТА

РЕКУРСИВНЫЙ АЛГОРИТМ РЕАЛИЗАЦИИ ДВУМЕРНЫХ линейных систем

Рассматривается задача идентификации внутреннего описания двумерной (2-D) линейной динамической системы с отделимым знаменателем передаточной функции по ее внешнему поведению. Внешнее описание задано с помощью двумерных марковских параметров. Внутреннее описание ищется в виде уравнений состояния (модель Роессера). Предлагается и обосновывается алгоритм минимальной частичной реализации. Он представляет собой рекуррентный процесс, в котором реализация уточняется по мере удлинения последовательности двумерных марковских параметров, в то время как в существующих алгоритмах решение получается лишь для фиксированного конечного набора значений параметров. В основе алгоритма лежит специального вида раз-ложение горизонтальной и вертикальной ганкелевых матриц. Предложенный алгоритм является обобщением алгоритма рекурсивной реализации одномерных (1-D) систем.

100