

V. VAATMANN

MINIMAX ESTIMATION OF RANDOM VECTORS

V. VAATMANN. JUHUSLIKE VEKTORITE MINIMAKSHINDAMINE

B. ВААТМАНН. МИНИМАКСНОЕ ОЦЕНИВАНИЕ СЛУЧАЙНЫХ ВЕКТОРОВ

(Presented by H. Aben)

1. Let $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)^T$ be an observable and $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_m)^T$ a non-observable real-valued random vector. Denote by E the operator of mathematical expectation.

Let

$$m_\xi = E\xi, \quad m_\eta = E\eta, \quad D_\xi = E[(\xi - m_\xi)(\xi - m_\xi)^T],$$

$$D_{\eta\xi} = E[(\eta - m_\eta)(\xi - m_\xi)^T], \quad D_\eta = E[(\eta - m_\eta)(\eta - m_\eta)^T].$$

Let $R_{m \times n}$ be the space of all $m \times n$ -matrices and R_m the space of all m -vectors with norms $\|A\| = [\text{tr}(AA^T)]^{1/2}$ and $\|a\| = (a^T a)^{1/2}$, respectively.

We shall consider the problem of the linear estimation of the vector η by ξ so that the mean square error will be minimized. If m_η , m_ξ , $D_{\eta\xi}$ and D_ξ are known, the result may be found, e. g. in [1].

In the present paper the case is considered when we do not know m_η and $D_{\eta\xi}$ exactly, but a set $K \subset R_{m \times n}$ and a set $S \subset R_m$ are given such that $D_{\eta\xi} \in K$, $m_\eta \in S$. In this case we determine the linear minimax estimate for η .

Definition. The linear estimate $\hat{\eta} = L_0\xi + c_0$ ($L_0 \in R_{m \times n}$, $c_0 \in R_m$) is called the minimax estimate with respect to the sets $K \subset R_{m \times n}$, $S \subset R_m$ if

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{D_{\eta\xi} \in K, m_\eta \in S} E[(L_0\xi + c - \eta)^T (L_0\xi + c - \eta)] |_{L=L_0, c=c_0} = \\ & = \min_{L \in R_{m \times n}, c \in R_m} \max_{D_{\eta\xi} \in K, m_\eta \in S} E[(L_0\xi + c - \eta)^T (L_0\xi + c - \eta)]. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

A set $S_a \subset R_m$ is called symmetrical with respect to $a \in R_m$ if $S_a = S + a$, where $S \subset R_m$ is a symmetrical set with respect to all co-ordinate axes.

In the following we shall suppose for simplicity that $m_\xi = 0$.

2. Let us formulate the main result of this paper.

Theorem. Let $K \subset R_{m \times n}$ and $S_a \subset R_m$ be closed convex bounded sets and let S_a be symmetrical with respect to $a \in R_m$. Then $\hat{\eta} = L_0\xi + c_0$ is the linear minimax estimate for η if $c_0 = a$ and $L_0 = D_{\eta\xi}^0 D_\xi^+$, where

$$\text{tr}(D_{\eta\xi}^0 D_{\xi}^+ D_{\xi\eta}^0) = \min_{D_{\eta\xi} \in K} \text{tr}(D_{\eta\xi} D_{\xi}^+ D_{\xi\eta})$$

and D_{ξ}^+ denotes the pseudo-inverse of D_{ξ} .

Proof. Transform the expression on the right side of (1).

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{L \in R_{m \times n}, c \in R_m} \max_{D_{\eta\xi} \in K, m_{\eta} \in S_a} \mathbf{E}[(L\xi + c - \eta)^T (L\xi + c - \eta)] = \\ &= \min_{L \in R_{m \times n}, c \in R_m} \max_{D_{\eta\xi} \in K, m_{\eta} \in S_a} \text{tr} \mathbf{E}[(L\xi + c - \eta)(L\xi + c - \eta)^T] = \\ &= \min_{L \in R_{m \times n}} \max_{D_{\eta\xi} \in K} \text{tr}(LD_{\xi}L^T - 2D_{\eta\xi}L^T + D_{\eta}) + \\ &+ \min_{c \in R_m} \max_{m_{\eta} \in S_a} [(c - m_{\eta})^T (c - m_{\eta})]. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

It is evident that the function on the right side of (2) is convex in $L \in R_{m \times n}$ and concave in $D_{\eta\xi} \in K$. Using Theorem 37.3 [2] and the fact that the rank of $D_{\eta\xi}$ cannot be greater than the rank of D_{ξ} , we can rewrite (2) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{L \in R_{m \times n}} \max_{D_{\eta\xi} \in K} \text{tr}(LD_{\xi}L^T - 2D_{\eta\xi}L^T + D_{\eta}) + \min_{c \in R_m} \max_{m_{\eta} \in S_a} [(c - m_{\eta})^T (c - m_{\eta})] = \\ &= \max_{D_{\eta\xi} \in K} \min_{L \in R_{m \times n}} \text{tr}(LD_{\xi}L^T - 2D_{\eta\xi}L^T + D_{\eta}) + \min_{c \in R_m} \max_{m_{\eta} \in S_a} [(c - m_{\eta})^T (c - m_{\eta})] = \\ &= \max_{D_{\eta\xi} \in K} \min_{L \in R_{m \times n}} \text{tr}[D_{\eta} - D_{\eta\xi}D_{\xi}^+D_{\xi\eta} + (LD_{\xi} - D_{\eta\xi})D_{\xi}^+(LD_{\xi} - D_{\eta\xi})^T] + \\ &+ \min_{c \in R_m} \max_{m_{\eta} \in S_a} [(c - m_{\eta})^T (c - m_{\eta})]. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

As $D_{\xi}^+ \geq 0$, it follows that the minimax matrix L_0 is equal to $D_{\eta\xi}^0 D_{\xi}^+$, where $D_{\eta\xi}^0$ minimizes $\text{tr}(D_{\eta\xi} D_{\xi}^+ D_{\xi\eta})$ subject to $D_{\eta\xi} \in K$. The corresponding minimum exists according to Theorem 2.1.2 [3].

It remains to prove $c_0 = a$. Using Theorem 32.3 [2], we have

$$\min_{c \in R_m} \max_{m_{\eta} \in S_a} [(c - m_{\eta})^T (c - m_{\eta})] = \min_{c \in R_m} [(c - m_{\eta}(c))^T (c - m_{\eta}(c))],$$

where $\text{sgn}(m_{\eta}(c) - a) = -\text{sgn}(c - a)$, $m_{\eta}(c)$ belongs to the boundary of S_a and $\text{sgn}(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m)^T = (\text{sgn } b_1, \text{sgn } b_2, \dots, \text{sgn } b_m)^T$. Therefore $c_0 = a$. This completes the proof.

Remarks:

1. It follows from the proof that the maximum mean square error of the linear minimax estimate $\hat{\eta} = L_0\xi + c_0$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{D_{\eta\xi} \in K, m_{\eta} \in S_a} \mathbf{E}[(L_0\xi + c_0 - \eta)^T (L_0\xi + c_0 - \eta)] = \\ &= \text{tr}(D_{\eta} - D_{\eta\xi}^0 D_{\xi}^+ D_{\xi\eta}) + \max_{m_{\eta} \in S_a} [(a - m_{\eta})^T (a - m_{\eta})]. \end{aligned}$$

2. If m_{ξ} is known and not zero, we estimate η by $\xi - m_{\xi}$ and the minimax linear estimate is equal to $D_{\eta\xi}D_{\xi}^+(\xi - m_{\xi}) + a$.

3. If D_{η} is known, the Cramer—Rao inequality yields $D_{\eta\xi} \in K_1$, where $K_1 = \{A \in R_{m \times n} : AD_{\xi}^+A^T \leq D_{\eta}\}$. In this case we can take $K \cap K_1$ instead of K .

4. If η and ξ are two jointly Gaussian random vectors, then the linear minimax estimate for η by ξ is also the minimax estimate and equals $E_m(\eta|\xi)$, where E_m is the conditional expectation with respect to the least favorable distribution of η and ξ , i. e.

$$\min_{g \in G} \max_{D_{\eta\xi} \in K, m_{\eta} \in S_a} E[(g(\xi) - \eta)^T (g(\xi) - \eta)] =$$

$$= \min_{g \in G} E_m[(g(\xi) - \eta)^T (g(\xi) - \eta)] = E_m[(L_0\xi + c_0 - \eta)^T (L_0\xi + c_0 - \eta)],$$

where G is the set of all measurable functions.

3. Now let us consider a simple example to illustrate the results given above.

We consider the problem of the linear estimation of the m -vector η in the model

$$\xi = A\eta + \varepsilon, \quad (4)$$

where ξ is an n -vector of observations, A is an $n \times m$ -matrix of known elements, ε is an n -vector of the noise, dependent on η .

Let m_{η} , D_{η} , D_{ε} be known and let $m_{\varepsilon} = 0$, $\|D_{\eta\varepsilon}\|^2 \leq c^2$, where c is a known scalar such that $c^2 < \|D_{\eta}A^T\|^2$.

We get

$$\|D_{\eta\xi} - D_{\eta}A^T\|^2 = \|D_{\eta\varepsilon}\|^2 \leq c^2.$$

It can be shown that the function $\text{tr}(D_{\eta\xi}D_{\xi}^+D_{\xi\eta})$ is minimized subject to $\|D_{\eta\xi} - D_{\eta}A^T\|^2 \leq c^2$ by

$$D_{\eta\xi}^0 = [\text{tr}(D_{\eta}A^TD_{\xi}^+AD_{\eta})]^{-1/2} \{[\text{tr}(D_{\eta}A^TD_{\xi}^+AD_{\eta})]^{1/2} - c[\text{tr}D_{\xi}^+]^{1/2}\} D_{\eta}A^T. \quad (5)$$

Therefore the linear minimax estimate for η by ξ in the model (4) is

$$\hat{\eta} = D_{\eta\xi}^0D_{\xi}^+\xi + (I - D_{\eta\xi}^0D_{\xi}^+A)m_{\eta}, \text{ where } D_{\eta\xi}^0 \text{ is determined by (5), } D_{\xi} = AD_{\eta}A^T + D_{\varepsilon} \text{ and } I \text{ is the identity matrix.}$$

If $c^2 \geq \|D_{\eta}A^T\|^2$, then $D_{\eta\xi}^0 = 0$ and $\hat{\eta} = m_{\eta}$.

REFERENCES

1. Липцер Р. Ш., Ширяев А. Н., Статистика случайных процессов, М., «Наука», 1974.
2. Рокафеллар Р., Выпуклый анализ, М., «Мир», 1973.
3. Лоран П.-Ж., Аппроксимация и оптимизация, М., «Мир», 1975.

Academy of Sciences of the Estonian SSR,
Institute of Cybernetics

Received
June 21, 1979