

EESTI NSV TEADUSTE AKADEEMIA TOIMETISED. 31. KOIDE  
FÜSIKA \* MATEMAATIKA. 1982, NR. 3

ИЗВЕСТИЯ АКАДЕМИИ НАУК ЭСТОНСКОЙ ССР. ТОМ 31  
ФИЗИКА \* МАТЕМАТИКА. 1982, № 3

УДК 681.51

Ulle KOTTA

## ON THE TRANSFORMATION OF TWO-DIMENSIONAL SYSTEM TO CANONICAL FORM

Ulle KOTTA. KAHEMOOTMELISE SÜSTEEMI TEISENDAMINE KANONILISELE KUJULE  
Юлле Котта. О ПРЕОБРАЗОВАНИИ ДВУМЕРНОЙ СИСТЕМЫ В КАНОНИЧЕСКУЮ ФОРМУ

(Presented by N. Alumäe)

1. Introduction. During recent years, several authors have proposed different state-space models for two-dimensional causal systems [1-4]. It is shown that Roesser's model is the most general since the others can be imbedded in this model [5]. In [6] a canonical form is presented for a class of Roesser's model such that the denominator of the transfer function can be expressed by the product of two polynomials with a variable; the model is assumed to be separately locally controllable. We consider another canonical form obtained by duality. This is for the system which is separately locally observable. This canonical form has certain practical advantages when applied to identification.

2. System description and its equivalent system. Consider the Roesser's model of the two-dimensional system

$$\begin{bmatrix} x^h(i+1, j) \\ x^v(i, j+1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F_1 & F_2 \\ F_3 & F_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x^h(i, j) \\ x^v(i, j) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \end{bmatrix} u(i, j),$$

$$y(i, j) = [h_1 \ h_2] \begin{bmatrix} x^h(i, j) \\ x^v(i, j) \end{bmatrix}, \quad i, j \geq 0, \quad (1)$$

where  $x^h(i, j)$  is an  $n \times 1$  horizontal state vector,  $x^v(i, j)$  is an  $m \times 1$  vertical state vector,  $u(i, j)$  is a scalar input,  $y(i, j)$  is a scalar output, and  $F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4, g_1, g_2, h_1, h_2$  are real matrices of proper dimensions. Let us define the state variable  $x(i, j)$  at the point  $(i, j)$  by

$$x(i, j) = \begin{bmatrix} x^h(i, j) \\ x^v(i, j) \end{bmatrix}.$$

In [1] Roesser introduced the following class of similarity transformations

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x}^h(i, j) \\ \tilde{x}^v(i, j) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & 0 \\ 0 & T_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x^h(i, j) \\ x^v(i, j) \end{bmatrix},$$



where  $T_1$  is an  $n \times n$  constant nonsingular matrix,  $T_4$  is an  $m \times m$  constant nonsingular matrix, and  $0$  is a null matrix of appropriate dimensions. Performing the coordinate transformation from the state vector  $x(i, j)$  to a new state vector  $\tilde{x}(i, j)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x}^h(i+1, j) \\ \tilde{x}^v(i, j+1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ A_3 & A_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x}^h(i, j) \\ \tilde{x}^v(i, j) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{bmatrix} u(i, j),$$

$$y(i, j) = [c_1 \ c_2] \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x}^h(i, j) \\ \tilde{x}^v(i, j) \end{bmatrix}, \quad i, j \geq 0. \quad (2)$$

The systems (1) and (2) are related by

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= T_1 F_1 T_1^{-1}, & b_1 &= T_1 g_1, \\ A_2 &= T_1 F_2 T_4^{-1}, & b_2 &= T_4 g_2, \\ A_3 &= T_4 F_3 T_1^{-1}, & c_1 &= h_1 T_1^{-1}, \\ A_4 &= T_4 F_4 T_4^{-1}, & c_2 &= h_2 T_4^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The system (2) is equivalent to the system (1) in the sense that their transfer functions are equal.

3. A canonical form for a class of Roesser's model. In this section, a canonical form is presented for the system (1) with  $F_2=0$ . For such a system the denominator of the transfer function can be expressed by the product of two polynomials with a variable [6]. We assume here that the system (1) with  $F_2=0$  is separately locally observable. The canonical form is constructed by transforming the state variable into a new coordinate system in which the system equation takes a particular form.

Definition. The system (1) is separately locally observable if, for  $x^h(0, j)$ ,  $j \geq 1$ , and  $x^v(i, 0)$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , there are no nonzero initial horizontal state  $x^h(0, 0)$  and vertical state  $x^v(0, 0)$  such that for zero input  $u(i, j) = 0$ ,  $i, j \geq 0$ , the output is also identically zero,  $y(i, j) = 0$ ,  $i, j \geq 0$ .

As shown in [7], the system (1) with  $F_2=0$  is separately locally observable if and only if

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} H_m^T, & (H_m F_1)^T, & \dots, & (H_m F_1^{n-1})^T \end{bmatrix}^T = n, \quad (3a)$$

$n(m+1) \times n$

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} h_2^T, & (h_2 F_4)^T, & \dots, & (h_2 F_4^{m-1})^T \end{bmatrix}^T = m, \quad (3b)$$

$m \times n$

where

$$H_m = [h_1^T, (h_2 F_3)^T, (h_2 F_4 F_3)^T, \dots, (h_2 F_4^{m-1} F_3)^T]^T. \quad (4)$$

$n \times (m+1)$

We define  $T_1$  as

$$T_1 = [H_m^T e_1, \dots, (F_1^T)^{n-1} H_m^T e_1, \dots, (F_1^T)^{n-1} H_m^T e_r]^T,$$

where  $e_i$ ,  $i=1, \dots, m+1$ , denotes an  $(m+1) \times 1$  unit vector whose  $i$ -th element is equal to 1 and the vectors of  $T_1$  are selected in the following order:

$$H_m^T e_1, H_m^T e_2, \dots, H_m^T e_{m+1}, F_1^T H_m^T e_1, \dots, F_1^T H_m^T e_{m+1}, \dots \quad (5)$$

The essential constraint is to retain a vector  $(F_1^T)^s H_m^T e_v$  if and only if it



is linearly independent from all previously selected vectors. Since (3a) holds, it is always possible to select  $n$  linearly independent vectors from the sequence (5).

Then we obtain

$$A_1 = T_1 F_1 T_1^{-1} = [A_{ij}], \quad i, j = 1, \dots, r,$$

$$c_1 = h_1 T_1^{-1} = [1 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0],$$

where

$$A_{ii} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_{n_i-1} \\ a_1^{ii} & \dots & a_{n_i}^{ii} \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & \\ a_1^{ij} & \dots & a_{n_{ij}}^{ij} & 0 \dots 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$n_{ij} = \begin{cases} \min(n_i+1, n_j), & j < i; \\ \min(n_i, n_j), & j \geq i. \end{cases}$$

By letting

$$T_4 = [h_2^T, (h_2 F_4)^T, \dots, (h_2 F_4^{m-1})^T]^T \quad (6)$$

we obtain

$$A_4 = T_4 F_4 T_4^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_{m-1} \\ a_1^4 & \dots & a_m^4 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$c_2 = h_2 T_4^{-1} = [1 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0].$$

Next we define the rows of the matrix  $A_3$  as  $a_k^T$ ,  $k=1, \dots, m$ . Substituting (6) into  $A_3 = T_4 F_3 T_4^{-1}$  and using (4) we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1^T \\ \vdots \\ a_m^T \end{bmatrix} T_1 = \begin{bmatrix} e_2^T \\ \vdots \\ e_{m+1}^T \end{bmatrix} H_m.$$

Therefore, if  $e_k^T H_m$ ,  $k=2, \dots, m+1$ , is in the rows of  $T_1$ ,  $a_{k-1}$  becomes a unit vector whose  $(n_1 + \dots + n_{k-1} + 1)$ -th element is equal to 1, otherwise  $a_k^T = (a_1^k \dots a_n^k)$ . Note that vectors  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  can not be given by simple forms.

Substituting  $A_1$ ,  $A_3$ ,  $A_4$ ,  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$ ,  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  found above and  $A_2=0$  into (2), we obtain a canonical form for the system (1) with  $F_2=0$ .

## REFERENCES

1. Roesser, R. P., IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr., **20**, № 1, 1—10 (1975).
2. Givone, D., Roesser, R. P., IEEE Trans. Comput., **22**, № 7, 673—678 (1976).
3. Fornasini, E., Marchesini, G., IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr., **21**, № 4, 484—492 (1976).
4. Attasi, S., In: System Identification. Advances and Case Studies, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
5. Kung, S.-Y., Lévy, B. C., Morf, M., Kailath, T., Proc. IEEE, **65**, № 6, 945—961 (1977).
6. Hinamoto, T., IEEE Trans. Circuits and Syst., **27**, № 8, 710—712 (1980).
7. Hinamoto, T., IEEE Trans. Circuits and Syst., **27**, № 1, 36—44 (1980).

Academy of Sciences of the Estonian SSR,  
Institute of Cybernetics

Received  
Nov. 19, 1981