

## ON CONSERVATIVE AND COERCIVE SM-METHODS

Maria ZELTSER

Institute of Pure Mathematics, University of Tartu, Vanemuise 46, 51014 Tartu, Estonia;  
mzeltser@math.ut.ee

Received 12 September 2000, in revised form 12 February 2001

**Abstract.** We study the space  $\mathcal{C}_e$  of double sequences  $(x_{kl})$ , satisfying  $\lim_l \overline{\lim}_k |x_{kl} - a| = 0$  for some number  $a$ . In this note, using gliding hump arguments, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for a 3-dimensional matrix (i.e. SM-method) to transform every convergent or bounded sequence  $(x_k)$  into the space  $\mathcal{C}_e$  or  $\mathcal{C}_{be}$ , the space of elements in  $\mathcal{C}_e$  with bounded columns.

**Key words:** summability, SM-methods, gliding hump method, theorems of Toeplitz–Silverman type.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The best known and well-studied convergence notion for double sequence spaces is Pringsheim convergence. A double sequence  $(x_{kl})$  of complex (or real) numbers is said to *converge to the limit  $a$  in the sense of Pringsheim* if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : k, l > N \Rightarrow |x_{kl} - a| < \varepsilon.$$

In case of this convergence the row-index  $k$  and the column-index  $l$  tend independently to infinity.

Boos et al. [1] considered a more general notion of convergence, where, in contrast to Pringsheim's notion of convergence, the row-index  $k$  depends on the column-index  $l$  in tending to infinity. The space of all double sequences converging in this way is denoted by  $\mathcal{C}_e$ . More precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_e &:= \left\{ x \in \Omega \mid \exists a \in \mathbb{K} \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists l_0 \in \mathbb{N} \forall l \geq l_0 \exists k_l \in \mathbb{N} : \right. \\ &\quad \left. k \geq k_l \Rightarrow |x_{kl} - a| \leq \varepsilon \right\} \\ &= \left\{ x \in \Omega \mid \exists a \in \mathbb{K} : \lim_l \overline{\lim}_k |x_{kl} - a| = 0 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Omega$  denotes the linear space of all complex (or real) double sequences and  $\mathbb{K}$  is the field of all complex (or real) numbers. In more detail the paper [1] deals with the subspace

$$\mathcal{C}_{be} := \{x \in \mathcal{C}_e \mid \forall l \in \mathbb{N} : (x_{kl})_k \in \mathfrak{m}\}$$

of  $\mathcal{C}_e$ , where  $\mathfrak{m}$  is the space of all bounded sequences. Note that in [1] the notation  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  was used instead of  $\mathcal{C}_{be}$ .

We refer the reader to [2,3] for the basic terminology and notation concerning the theory of locally convex spaces and sequence spaces.

We call linear subspaces of  $\Omega$  *double sequence spaces*. Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a space of double sequences converging with respect to a linear notion of convergence  $\mathcal{V}\text{-lim} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ . The sum of a double series  $\sum_{k,l} u_{kl}$  with respect to this notion of convergence will be defined by  $\mathcal{V}\text{-}\sum_{k,l} u_{kl} := \mathcal{V}\text{-lim}_{m,n} \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^n u_{kl}$ . Generally  $\mathcal{V}$  will be omitted when no confusion may arise.

Let  $B = (b_{mnk})$  be a 3-dimensional matrix. The summability method  $B$  induced by the summability domain

$$\mathcal{V}_B := \left\{ z \in \omega \mid Bz := \left( \sum_k b_{mnk} z_k \right)_{m,n} \text{ exists and } Bz \in \mathcal{V} \right\}$$

and the limit functional

$$\mathcal{V}\text{-lim}_B : \mathcal{V}_B \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, \quad z \mapsto \mathcal{V}\text{-lim}_{m,n} \sum_k b_{mnk} z_k$$

is called a  $\mathcal{V}$ -SM-method (cf. [1]). Following [1], a sequence of numbers  $z = (z_k)$  is said to be *summable by a  $\mathcal{V}$ -SM-method  $B$  to a number  $s$*  if the limit  $\mathcal{V}\text{-lim}_B z$  exists and is equal to  $s$ .

In [1] the consistency and the structure of summability domains of  $\mathcal{C}_{be}$ -SM-methods are examined. Our aim is to give necessary and sufficient conditions for a  $\mathcal{C}_e$ -SM- ( $\mathcal{C}_{be}$ -SM-) method  $B = (b_{mnk})$  to be *conservative* (i.e. to sum every convergent sequence) or *coercive* (i.e. to sum every bounded sequence).

**Remark 1.1.** The summation in Volkov's sense (cf. [4]) can be considered as a special  $\mathcal{C}_e$ -SM-method. Given a matrix  $A = (a_{nk})$ , we put  $b_{mnk} := a_{nk}$  for  $k = 1, \dots, m$  and  $b_{mnk} := 0$  otherwise ( $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Then the summability domain  $\mathcal{C}_{eB}$  of the  $\mathcal{C}_e$ -SM-method  $B = (b_{mnk})$  coincides with the domain  $V_A$  of all sequences, summable by  $A$  in Volkov's sense, and  $\mathcal{C}_e\text{-lim}_B x$  equals  $V\text{-lim}_A x$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{C}_{eB}$ .

## 2. CONSERVATIVE SM-METHODS

In [1], Theorem 2.4, it was proved that  $\mathcal{C}_e$  is an LFH-space (i.e. it can be written as a union of countably many FH-spaces, [3]) with  $H = \Omega$ . More precisely,  $\mathcal{C}_e = \bigcup_n \mathcal{C}_e^n$ , where

$$\mathcal{C}_e^n := \left\{ x \in \Omega \mid \sup_{l \geq n} \overline{\lim}_k |x_{kl}| < \infty \text{ and } \exists a \in \mathbb{K} : \left( \overline{\lim}_k |x_{kl} - a| \right)_{l \geq n} \in c_0 \right\}$$

is an FH-space with  $H = \Omega$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Note that  $\mathcal{C}_e^1 = \mathcal{C}_{be}$ . We will verify that for every conservative  $\mathcal{C}_e$ -SM-method  $B$  there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $B$  maps  $c$  into  $\mathcal{C}_e^N$ . Here we will make use of the following result.

**Lemma 2.1** (cf. [3], Theorem 4.2.2). *Let  $Y$  be an FH-space,  $X$  an F-space, and  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  a linear map. If  $T : X \rightarrow H$  is continuous, then  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous.*

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $E$  be an FK-space and suppose that  $F = \bigcup_n F_n$  is an LFH-space with  $H = \Omega$  and  $F_n \subset F_{n+1}$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ). If a 3-dimensional matrix  $B = (b_{mnk})$  maps  $E$  into  $F$ , then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $B(E) \subset F_N$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.1 the matrix map  $B$  is continuous, hence (cf. [5], 19.5 (4)) there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $B(E) \subset F_N$ . ▼

**Theorem 2.3.** *A 3-dimensional matrix  $B = (b_{mnk})$  maps  $c$  into  $\mathcal{C}_e$  if and only if each of the following conditions holds:*

- (i) *for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  the limit  $b_k := \mathcal{C}_e\text{-}\lim_{m,n} b_{mnk}$  exists,*
- (ii)  *$\sum_k |b_{mnk}| < \infty$  for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*
- (iii) *the limit  $v := \mathcal{C}_e\text{-}\lim_{m,n} \sum_k b_{mnk}$  exists,*
- (iv) *there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k |b_{mnk}| < \infty$  for all  $n \geq N$ , and*
- (v) *for every index sequence  $(L_n)$  there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that*

$$M := \sup_{n \geq N} \overline{\lim}_m \sum_{k=1}^{L_n} |b_{mnk}| < \infty.$$

*Under these circumstances,  $(b_k) \in \ell$  and*

$$\lim_B x = \sum_k b_k x_k + \left( v - \sum_k b_k \right) \lim_k x_k \quad (x \in c).$$

*Proof.*

**Necessity.** The Necessity of (i)–(iii) is evident.

(iv) By Lemma 2.2 there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $B(c) \subset \mathcal{C}_e^N$ . For every  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  we consider the operator  $B_{mn} : c \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $B_{mn} : x \mapsto [Bx]_{mn}$ . Since the sequence of operators  $(B_{mn})_m$  is pointwise bounded for every  $n \geq N$ , (iv) follows from the Uniform Boundedness Principle.

(v) Since  $B$  is a continuous operator from  $c$  into  $\mathcal{C}_e^N$  (cf. Lemma 2.1), there exists  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\sup_{n \geq N} \overline{\lim}_m \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{mnk} x_k \right| \leq K \|x\|_{\infty} \text{ for every } x \in c.$$

Let  $(L_n)$  be an index sequence. By (iv)

$$\sup_m \sum_{k=1}^{L_n} |b_{mnk}| \leq \sup_m \sum_k |b_{mnk}| =: M_n < \infty \quad \text{for } n \geq N.$$

Let  $(m_{in})$  be a double sequence satisfying

$$\lim_i \sum_{k=1}^{L_n} |b_{m_{in}nk}| = \overline{\lim}_m \sum_{k=1}^{L_n} |b_{mnk}| \quad (n \geq N).$$

Passing to a subsequence of  $(m_{in})_i$  if necessary ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ), we may suppose that

$$\operatorname{sgn} \Re(b_{m_{i_1 n} nk}) = \operatorname{sgn} \Re(b_{m_{i_2 n} nk}) \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, L_n; i_1, i_2 \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq N.$$

For every fixed  $n \geq N$  we put  $y_k := \operatorname{sgn} \Re(b_{m_{1n} nk})$  for  $1 \leq k \leq L_n$  and  $y_k := 0$  otherwise. Then  $\|y\|_\infty \leq 1$  and

$$\overline{\lim}_m \sum_{k=1}^{L_n} |\Re(b_{mnk})| = \lim_i \left| \Re \left( \sum_k b_{m_{in} nk} y_k \right) \right| \leq K.$$

Analogously,  $\overline{\lim}_m \sum_{k=1}^{L_n} |\Im(b_{mnk})| \leq K$ . So  $\sup_{n \geq N} \overline{\lim}_m \sum_{k=1}^{L_n} |b_{mnk}| \leq 2K$ .

**Sufficiency.** Note that (i) and (v) imply  $(b_k) \in \ell$ . Really, by (i) for a fixed  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  we may find  $n \geq \max\{N, s\}$  such that  $\overline{\lim}_m \sum_{k=1}^s |b_{mnk} - b_k| \leq 1$ . Hence by (v) we get  $\sum_{k=1}^s |b_k| \leq 1 + \sup_{i \geq N} \overline{\lim}_j \sum_{k=1}^i |b_{ijk}| < \infty$ .

It is sufficient to verify that  $B$  maps  $c_0$  into  $C_e$ , since in this case by (iii) the limit

$$\lim_B x = \lim_i x_i \cdot C_e - \lim_{m,n} \sum_k b_{mnk} + C_e - \lim_{m,n} \sum_k b_{mnk} (x_k - \lim_i x_i)$$

exists for every  $x \in c$ .

So let  $x \in c_0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be arbitrarily fixed. By (iv) we may find  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $M_n := \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k |b_{mnk}| < \infty$  ( $n \geq N_1$ ). Now we choose an index sequence  $(L_n)$  such that  $|x_k| \leq \varepsilon/(4M_n)$  for  $k \geq L_n$ . By (v) there exist  $N_2 > N_1$ ,  $M > 0$  and an index sequence  $(m_n)$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^{L_n} |b_{mnk}| \leq M$  for all  $n \geq N_2$ ,  $m \geq m_n$ . Select  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\sum_{k=K}^\infty |b_k| \leq 1$  and  $|x_k| \leq \varepsilon/(4M)$  for  $k \geq K$ . By (i) we may find  $N_3 > N_2$  and an index sequence  $(m'_n)$  with  $m'_n > m_n$  ( $n \geq N_3$ ) such that  $\sum_{k=1}^K |b_{mnk} - b_k| |x_k| \leq \varepsilon/4$  for all  $n \geq N_3$  and  $m \geq m'_n$ . Now for every  $n \geq N_3$  and  $m \geq m'_n$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_k b_{mnk} x_k - \sum_k b_k x_k \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^K |b_{mnk} - b_k| |x_k| + \frac{\varepsilon}{4M} \sum_{k=K}^{L_n} |b_{mnk}| + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \sum_{k=K}^\infty |b_k| + \frac{\varepsilon}{4M_n} \sum_{k=L_n}^\infty |b_{mnk}| \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\lim_B x = \sum_k b_k x_k$ . ▼

Note that condition (ii) is independent of all others. The matrix  $B = (b_{mnk})$  with  $b_{11k} := (-1)^k/k$  and  $b_{mnk} := 0$  ( $m, n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ;  $(m, n) \neq (1, 1)$ ) satisfies all the hypotheses of Theorem 2.3 except (ii). At the same time it is possible to find  $x \in c$  such that the series  $\sum_k b_{11k}x_k$  diverges.

**Theorem 2.4.** A 3-dimensional matrix  $B = (b_{mnk})$  maps  $c$  into  $\mathcal{C}_{be}$  if and only if each of the following conditions holds:

- (i) for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  the limit  $b_k := \mathcal{C}_{be}\text{-}\lim_{m,n} b_{mnk}$  exists,
  - (ii)  $\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k |b_{mnk}| < \infty$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
  - (iii) the limit  $v := \mathcal{C}_{be}\text{-}\lim_{m,n} \sum_k b_{mnk}$  exists, and
  - (iv)  $\sup_n \overline{\lim}_m \sum_{k=1}^{L_n} |b_{mnk}| < \infty$  for every index sequence  $(L_n)$ .
- Under these circumstances,  $(b_k) \in \ell$  and

$$\lim_B x = \sum_k b_k x_k + \left( v - \sum_k b_k \right) \lim_k x_k \quad (x \in c).$$

*Proof.*

**Necessity.** (i) and (iii) are evident; (ii) and (iv) follow from Theorem 2.3, since for every fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the matrix  $(b_{mnk})_{m,k}$  maps  $c$  into  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

**Sufficiency.** By Theorem 2.3 the limit  $\mathcal{C}_e\text{-}\lim_{m,n} [Bx]_{mn}$  exists for any fixed  $x \in c$ . Now (iv) implies that  $([Bx]_{mn})_m \in \mathfrak{m}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence for every  $x \in c$  the limit  $\mathcal{C}_{be}\text{-}\lim_{m,n} [Bx]_{mn}$  exists. ▼

### 3. COERCIVE SM-METHODS

**Theorem 3.1.** A 3-dimensional matrix  $B = (b_{mnk})$  maps  $\mathfrak{m}$  into  $\mathcal{C}_e$  if and only if each of the following conditions holds:

- (i) for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  the limit  $b_k := \mathcal{C}_e\text{-}\lim_{m,n} b_{mnk}$  exists,
  - (ii)  $\sum_k |b_{mnk}| < \infty$  for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
  - (iii) there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sup_{n \geq N} \overline{\lim}_m \sum_k |b_{mnk}| < \infty$ , and
  - (iv)  $\lim_n \overline{\lim}_m \sum_k |b_{mnk} - b_k| = 0$ .
- Under these circumstances,  $(b_k) \in \ell$  and

$$\lim_B x = \sum_k b_k x_k \quad (x \in \mathfrak{m}).$$

In proving this proposition we make use of two nonsummability lemmas involving gliding hump arguments.

Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be a bijection defined inductively by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi[(1, 1)] &= 1, & \varphi[(1, 2)] &= 2, & \varphi[(2, 1)] &= 3; \\ \varphi[(1, n)] &= \frac{(n-1)n}{2} + 1, & \varphi[(2, n-1)] &= \frac{(n-1)n}{2} + 2, & \dots, \\ \varphi[(n, 1)] &= \frac{n(n+1)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\pi_1 : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(a, b) \rightarrow a$  and  $\pi_2 : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(a, b) \rightarrow b$  be the projection maps. We put  $\lambda_i := \pi_i \varphi^{-1}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ).

We say that a double sequence  $(m_{ij})$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  is *increasing* if  $m_{i,j+1} > m_{ij}$  ( $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

In proving the lemmas mentioned above we will use the following

**Remark 3.2.** Let a 3-dimensional matrix  $B = (b_{mnk})$  and  $x \in \omega$  be fixed. If there exists an index sequence  $(n_i)$  and an increasing double sequence  $(m_{ij})$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $x \notin \mathcal{C}_{eD}$ , where  $D := (b_{m_{ij}n_i k})_{i,j,k}$ , then  $x \notin \mathcal{C}_{eB}$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $B = (b_{mnk})$  be a 3-dimensional matrix such that

$$\sup_m \sum_k |b_{mnk}| < \infty \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_n \lim_s \overline{\lim}_m \sum_{k=s}^{\infty} |b_{mnk}| \neq 0.$$

Then there exists an  $x \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathcal{C}_{eB}$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we may suppose that there exists an index sequence  $(n_r)$  such that

$$\lim_s \overline{\lim}_m \sum_{k=s}^{\infty} |\Re(b_{mn_r k})| > 5\gamma \quad (r \in \mathbb{N})$$

for some suitably chosen  $\gamma > 0$ .

Setting  $s_{r1} := 0$  ( $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ), we choose inductively increasing double sequences  $(\mu_{rj})$  and  $(s_{rj})$  of indexes such that

$$\sum_{k=s_{rj}+1}^{\infty} |\Re(b_{\mu_{rj} n_r k})| > 4\gamma, \quad \sum_{k=s_{r,j+1}+1}^{\infty} |b_{\mu_{rj} n_r k}| < \gamma \quad (r, j \in \mathbb{N}).$$

So

$$\sum_{k=s_{r,j+1}}^{s_{r,j+1}} |\Re(b_{\mu_{rj} n_r k})| > 3\gamma \quad (r, j \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Setting  $t_1 := s_{11}$  and putting  $t_r := s_{\lambda_1(r)j_r}$ ,  $m_{\lambda_1(r)\lambda_2(r)} := \mu_{\lambda_1(r)j_r}$  for  $r > 1$ , where  $j_r \in \mathbb{N}$  is chosen such that  $s_{\lambda_1(r)j_r} > s_{\lambda_1(r-1),j_{r-1}+1}$ , we obtain an index sequence  $(t_i)$  and an increasing double sequence  $(m_{ij})$  such that  $(m_{ij})_j$  is a subsequence of  $(\mu_{ij})_j$ ,  $\sum_{k=t_i+1}^{t_{i+1}} |b_{m_{\lambda_1(i)\lambda_2(i)} n_{\lambda_1(i)} k}| > 3\gamma$  and  $\sum_{k=t_{i+1}+1}^{\infty} |b_{m_{\lambda_1(i)\lambda_2(i)} n_{\lambda_1(i)} k}| < \gamma$  ( $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

Fixing  $x_k := 0$  for  $k \leq t_1$ , for  $k = t_i + 1, \dots, t_{i+1}$  we put

$$x_k := \begin{cases} \operatorname{sgn} \Re(b_{m_{\varphi^{-1}(i)} n_{\lambda_1(i)} k}) & \text{if } \lambda_2(i) = 1 \\ \text{or } \sum_{l=1}^{t_i} \Re(b_{m_{\lambda_1(i), \lambda_2(i)-1} n_{\lambda_1(i)} l} x_l) < \sum_{l=1}^{t_i} \Re(b_{m_{\lambda_1(i), \lambda_2(i)} n_{\lambda_1(i)} l} x_l), & \\ -\operatorname{sgn} \Re(b_{m_{\varphi^{-1}(i)} n_{\lambda_1(i)} k}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{k=1}^{t_i} \Re(b_{m_{\lambda_1(i), \lambda_2(i)-1} n_{\lambda_1(i)} k} x_k) - \sum_{k=1}^{t_{i+1}} \Re(b_{m_{\lambda_1(i), \lambda_2(i)} n_{\lambda_1(i)} k} x_k) \right| \\ & \geq \sum_{k=t_i+1}^{t_{i+1}} |\Re(b_{m_{\lambda_1(i), \lambda_2(i)} n_{\lambda_1(i)} k})| > 3\gamma \quad (i \in \mathbb{N} : \lambda_2(i) > 1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re\left([Bx]_{m_{\lambda_1(i), \lambda_2(i)-1} n_{\lambda_1(i)}} - [Bx]_{m_{\lambda_1(i), \lambda_2(i)} n_{\lambda_1(i)}}\right) \\ & = \left| \Re\left(\sum_k b_{m_{\lambda_1(i), \lambda_2(i)-1} n_{\lambda_1(i)} k} x_k\right) - \Re\left(\sum_k b_{m_{\lambda_1(i), \lambda_2(i)} n_{\lambda_1(i)} k} x_k\right) \right| \\ & \geq \sum_{k=t_i+1}^{t_{i+1}} |\Re(b_{m_{\lambda_1(i), \lambda_2(i)} n_{\lambda_1(i)} k})| - \sum_{k=t_i+1}^{\infty} |b_{m_{\lambda_1(i), \lambda_2(i)-1} n_{\lambda_1(i)} k}| \\ & \quad - \sum_{k=t_{i+1}+1}^{\infty} |b_{m_{\lambda_1(i), \lambda_2(i)} n_{\lambda_1(i)} k}| \\ & \geq 3\gamma - \gamma - \gamma = \gamma \end{aligned}$$

for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\lambda_2(i) > 1$ . Therefore, by Remark 3.2,  $x \notin \mathcal{C}_{eB}$ .  $\blacktriangledown$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $B = (b_{mnk})$  be a 3-dimensional matrix such that*

$$\mathcal{C}_e\text{-}\lim_{m,n} b_{mnk} = 0 \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_n \lim_s \overline{\lim}_m \sum_{k=s}^{\infty} |b_{mnk}| = 0.$$

*If  $\lim_n \overline{\lim}_m \sum_k |b_{mnk}| \neq 0$ , then there exists an  $x \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathcal{C}_{eB}$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we may assume that there exist a  $\gamma > 0$  and an index sequence  $(n^{(i)})$  such that

$$\overline{\lim}_m \sum_k |\Re(b_{mn^{(i)} k})| > 5\gamma \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Fixing  $k_1 := 1$ , we construct inductively two index sequences  $(k_i)$  and  $(n_i)$  choosing the second sequence as a subsequence of  $(n^{(i)})$ .

Suppose that  $k_1, \dots, k_r$  and  $n_1, \dots, n_{r-1}$  are fixed. Then we may choose  $n_r$  from  $(n^{(j)})$  such that  $n_r > n_{r-1}$  and

$$\overline{\lim}_m \sum_{k=1}^{k_r} |b_{mn_r k}| < \gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_s \overline{\lim}_m \sum_{k=s}^{\infty} |b_{mn_r k}| < \gamma.$$

Now we take  $k_{r+1}$  with  $k_{r+1} > k_r$  such that  $\overline{\lim}_m \sum_{k=k_{r+1}+1}^{\infty} |b_{mn_r k}| < \gamma$ . Hence

$$\overline{\lim}_m \sum_{k=k_r+1}^{k_{r+1}} |\Re(b_{mn_r k})| > 3\gamma \quad (r \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Then we find an increasing double sequence  $(m_{rj})$  such that

$$\operatorname{sgn} \Re(b_{m_{rj} n_r k}) = \operatorname{sgn} \Re(b_{m_{rj} n_r k}) \quad \text{for } k = k_r + 1, \dots, k_{r+1},$$

$$\sum_{k=k_{r+1}+1}^{\infty} |b_{m_{rj} n_r k}| < \gamma, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{k_r} |b_{m_{rj} n_r k}| < \gamma, \quad \sum_{k=k_r+1}^{k_{r+1}} |\Re(b_{m_{rj} n_r k})| > 3\gamma$$

for all  $r, i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . We put  $x_k := 0$  for  $k \leq k_1$  and  $x_k := (-1)^r \operatorname{sgn} \Re(b_{m_{rj} n_r k})$  for  $k_r < k \leq k_{r+1}$  ( $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Then  $x \in \mathfrak{m}$  and for all  $r, i, j \in \mathbb{N}$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re([Bx]_{m_{rj} n_r} - [Bx]_{m_{r+1,i} n_{r+1}}) \\ &= \left| \sum_k \Re(b_{m_{rj} n_r k} x_k) - \sum_k \Re(b_{m_{r+1,i} n_{r+1} k} x_k) \right| \\ &\geq \sum_{k=k_r+1}^{k_{r+1}} |\Re(b_{m_{rj} n_r k})| + \sum_{k=k_{r+1}+1}^{k_{r+2}} |\Re(b_{m_{r+1,i} n_{r+1} k})| - \sum_{k=1}^{k_r} |b_{m_{rj} n_r k}| \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=1}^{k_{r+1}} |b_{m_{r+1,i} n_{r+1} k}| - \sum_{k=k_{r+1}+1}^{\infty} |b_{m_{rj} n_r k}| - \sum_{k=k_{r+2}+1}^{\infty} |b_{m_{r+1,i} n_{r+1} k}| \\ &> 3\gamma + 3\gamma - 4\gamma = 2\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Remark 3.2,  $x \notin \mathcal{C}_{eB}$ .  $\blacktriangledown$



*Proof of Theorem 3.1.*

**Necessity.** (i) and (ii) are evident.

(iii) By Lemma 2.2 there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $B(\mathfrak{m}) \subset \mathcal{C}_e^N$ . Applying Lemma 3.3 to the matrix  $(b_{m,n+N,k})$ , we get  $\lim_n \lim_s \overline{\lim}_m \sum_{k=s}^{\infty} |b_{mnk}| = 0$ . Hence there exists an index sequence  $(L_n)$  such that

$$\sup_{n \geq N} \lim_s \overline{\lim}_m \sum_{k=L_n+1}^{\infty} |b_{mnk}| < \infty.$$

By Theorem 2.3 (v)  $\sup_{n \geq N} \overline{\lim}_m \sum_{k=1}^{L_n} |b_{mnk}| < \infty$ . Hence (iii) follows.

(iv) By (i) and (iii) we get  $(b_k) \in \ell$ . We may assume that  $b_k = 0$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ). So (iv) follows by Lemma 3.4.

**Sufficiency.** From (i) and (iii) it follows that the series  $\sum_k |b_k x_k|$  converges for every  $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ . Let  $\gamma_{mn} := \sum_k |b_{mnk} - b_k|$  ( $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ). By (iv)  $\lim_n \overline{\lim}_m |\gamma_{mn}| = 0$ . For every  $x \in \mathfrak{m}$  we get

$$\left| \sum_k b_{mnk} x_k - \sum_k b_k x_k \right| \leq \gamma_{mn} \|x\|_{\infty} \quad (m, n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Hence  $\mathcal{C}_e$ - $\lim_{m,n} \sum_k b_{mnk} x_k = \sum_k b_k x_k$ . So  $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathcal{C}_{eB}$ .  $\blacktriangledown$

**Theorem 3.5.** A 3-dimensional matrix  $B = (b_{mnk})$  maps  $\mathfrak{m}$  into  $\mathcal{C}_{be}$  if and only if  $B$  satisfies (iv) of Theorem 3.1 and

(i') for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  the limit  $b_k := \mathcal{C}_{be}$ - $\lim_{m,n} b_{mnk}$  exists,

(ii')  $\sup_n \overline{\lim}_m \sum_k |b_{mnk}| < \infty$ .

Under these circumstances,  $(b_k) \in \ell$  and

$$\lim_B x = \sum_k b_k x_k \quad (x \in \mathfrak{m}).$$

*Proof.* It may be obtained in the same way as the proof of Theorem 3.1.  $\blacktriangledown$

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author expresses gratitude to T. Leiger for supervising this work and to the referees for useful suggestions. This work was partially supported by the Estonian Science Foundation (grant No. 3991).

## REFERENCES

1. Boos, J., Leiger, T. and Zeller, K. Consistency theory for SM-methods. *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 1997, **76**, 83–116.

2. Wilansky, A. *Modern Methods in Topological Vector Spaces*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1978.
3. Wilansky, A. *Summability through Functional Analysis*. North Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.
4. Volkov, I. Some problems of linear matrix transformations. *Mat. Sb. Nov. Ser.*, 1959, **44**, 85–112 (in Russian).
5. Köthe, G. *Topological Vector Spaces I*. Springer, Berlin, 1969.

## KOONDUVUST SÄILITAVAD JA TEKITAVAD SM-MENETLUSED

Maria ZELTSER

On vaadeldud topeltjadade ruumi

$$C_e := \{x = (x_{kl}) \mid \exists a \in \mathbb{K} : \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty}} |x_{kl} - a| = 0\}.$$

Libiseva kääru meetodi abil on leitud tarvilikud ja piisavad tingimused selleks, et kolmemõõtmeline maatriks (ehk SM-menetlus) teisendaks iga koonduva või tõkestatud jada  $(x_k)$  ruumi  $C_e$  või tema alamruumi

$$C_{be} := \{x \in C_e \mid \forall l \in \mathbb{N} : (x_{kl})_k \in \mathfrak{m}\}.$$