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Abstract. Interpolation with the rational splines of the form $c_{0}+c_{1} x+c_{2} x^{2} /\left(1+d_{1} x\right)$ on each subinterval $\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right]$ of the grid $a=x_{0}<x_{1}<\ldots<x_{n}=b$ is considered. The coefficients are determined from a nonlinear system which has a locally unique solution. The convergence of order $O\left(h^{4}\right)$ is proved.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

It is known that the cubic spline interpolant, although having good approximation properties, preserves monotonicity of data under certain conditions $\left[{ }^{1}\right]$. The problem of shape preserving interpolation has been studied by many authors, for example $\left[{ }^{2-5}\right]$. In our note we will discuss the interpolation by second-degree rational splines with free parameters. This problem leads to a nonlinear system for the determination of the first moments of interpolating splines. We see that these splines preserve strict monotonicity and strict convexity of the function to interpolate.

## 2. PROBLEM OF INTERPOLATION

Let $a=x_{0}<x_{1}<\ldots<x_{n}=b$ be an arbitrary fixed partition of the interval $[a, b]$ and let $h_{i}=x_{i}-x_{i-1}, i=1, \ldots, n$. Our object is the rational spline $S$ which is a function of the form

$$
S(x)=c_{0}+c_{1} x+\frac{c_{2} x^{2}}{1+d_{1} x}
$$

on each subinterval $\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right]$. For $x \in\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right]$, let $x=x_{i-1}+t h_{i}$, $t \in[0,1]$. Then we have a representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(x)=S_{i-1}+t h_{i} m_{i-1}+\frac{\frac{t^{2} h_{i}^{2}}{2} M_{i-1}}{1+t h_{i} p_{i}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{i-1}=S\left(x_{i-1}\right)=c_{0}+c_{1} x_{i-1}+\frac{c_{2} x_{i-1}^{2}}{1+d_{1} x_{i-1}} \\
m_{i-1}=S^{\prime}\left(x_{i-1}\right)=c_{1}+\frac{c_{2} x_{i-1}}{1+d_{1} x_{i-1}}+\frac{c_{2} x_{i-1}}{\left(1+d_{1} x_{i-1}\right)^{2}} \\
M_{i-1}=S^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{i-1}\right)=\frac{2 c_{2}}{\left(1+d_{1} x_{i-1}\right)^{3}}
\end{gathered}
$$

We seek a spline $S \in C^{2}[a, b]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(x_{i}\right)=f\left(x_{i}\right), \quad i=0, \ldots, n . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $C^{2}$ continuity of $S$ on $[a, b]$ involves $3(n-1)$ conditions, namely that $S, S^{\prime}$, and $S^{\prime \prime}$ must be continuous at all interior knots $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}$. Adding $n+1$ conditions from (2), we have them $4 n-2$ to determine $4 n$ free parameters $S_{0}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, m_{0}, \ldots, m_{n-1}, M_{0}, \ldots, M_{n-1}, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}$ of $S$, so we set also two end conditions, for example $m_{0}, m_{n}$ or $M_{0}, M_{n}$ might be given. We put

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{0}=f_{0}^{\prime}=f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right), \quad m_{n}=f_{n}^{\prime}=f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. CONSTRUCTION OF THE INTERPOLATING SPLINE

The $C^{2}$ continuity conditions of $S$ give the equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{i-1}+h_{i} m_{i-1}+\frac{\frac{h_{i}^{2}}{2} M_{i-1}}{1+h_{i} p_{i}}=S_{i}  \tag{4}\\
m_{i-1}+h_{i} M_{i-1} \frac{1+\frac{1}{2} h_{i} p_{i}}{\left(1+h_{i} p_{i}\right)^{2}}=m_{i}  \tag{5}\\
\frac{M_{i-1}}{\left(1+h_{i} p_{i}\right)^{3}}=M_{i} \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $i=1, \ldots, n-1$, but they hold also for $i=n$. According to (2) and (3), $S_{i}, i=0, \ldots, n$, and $m_{0}, m_{n}$ are known. Setting $\bar{f}_{i}^{\prime}=\left(f_{i}-f_{i-1}\right) / h_{i}$, we get from (4)-(6) the equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
m_{i-1}+\frac{h_{i} M_{i-1}}{2\left(1+h_{i} p_{i}\right)}=\bar{f}_{i}^{\prime}  \tag{7}\\
m_{i-1}+h_{i} M_{i-1} \frac{2+h_{i} p_{i}}{2\left(1+h_{i} p_{i}\right)^{2}}=m_{i} \\
\frac{M_{i-1}}{\left(1+h_{i} p_{i}\right)^{3}}=M_{i} \\
m_{i}+\frac{h_{i+1} M_{i}}{2\left(1+h_{i+1} p_{i+1}\right)}=\bar{f}_{i+1}^{\prime} \\
m_{i}+h_{i+1} M_{i} \frac{2+h_{i+1} p_{i+1}}{2\left(1+h_{i+1} p_{i+1}\right)^{2}}=m_{i+1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

for an index $i=1, \ldots, n-1$. We intend to eliminate here $M_{i-1}, M_{i}, p_{i}$, $p_{i+1}$ to get an equation for $m_{i-1}, m_{i}, m_{i+1}$.

The first two equations of (7) give

$$
m_{i-1}+\left(1+h_{i} p_{i}\right) m_{i}=\left(2+h_{i} p_{i}\right) \bar{f}_{i}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i}=\frac{2 \bar{f}_{i}^{\prime}-m_{i}-m_{i-1}}{h_{i}\left(m_{i}-\bar{f}_{i}^{\prime}\right)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i=1, \ldots, n$ as for $i=n$ we get it from the last two equations of (7). The second and third equations of (7) imply

$$
m_{i-1}+h_{i} \frac{\left(1+h_{i} p_{i}\right)\left(2+h_{i} p_{i}\right)}{2} M_{i}=m_{i}
$$

which gives with (8)

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i}\left(\bar{f}_{i}^{\prime}-m_{i-1}\right) M_{i}=2\left(m_{i}-\bar{f}_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{2} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last two equations of (7) give

$$
2\left(\bar{f}_{i+1}^{\prime}-m_{i}\right)^{2}=h_{i+1} M_{i}\left(m_{i+1}-f_{i+1}^{\prime}\right)
$$

and, taking into account (9), we obtain the equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
h_{i}\left(m_{i-1}-\bar{f}_{i}^{\prime}\right)\left(m_{i}-\bar{f}_{i+1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+h_{i+1}\left(m_{i}-\bar{f}_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(m_{i+1}-\bar{f}_{i+1}^{\prime}\right)=0, \\
i=1, \ldots, n-1 . \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equations (10) with the end conditions $m_{0}=f_{0}^{\prime}$ and $m_{n}=f_{n}^{\prime}$ form a system that we have to solve. This done, we calculate from (8) $p_{i}, i=$ $1, \ldots, n$, and then, for example, from (5) $M_{i}, i=0, \ldots, n-1$.

## 4. EXISTENCE OF THE SOLUTION

Assume that $h_{i}=h$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$. Then Eqs. (10) with end conditions give a system which we write in the following more suitable form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
h^{2}\left(m_{0}-f_{0}^{\prime}\right)=0 \\
\left(m_{i-1}-\bar{f}_{i}^{\prime}\right)\left(m_{i}-\bar{f}_{i+1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(m_{i}-\bar{f}_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(m_{i+1}-\bar{f}_{i+1}^{\prime}\right)=0 \\
i=1, \ldots, n-1,  \tag{11}\\
h^{2}\left(m_{n}-f_{n}^{\prime}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

We set $m=\left(m_{0}, \ldots, m_{n}\right)$ and consider (11) as

$$
\Phi(m)=0
$$

with $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $\Phi(m)=\left(\varphi_{0}(m), \ldots, \varphi_{n}(m)\right)$. It is clear that $\Phi$ is Fréchet differentiable. We have $\partial \varphi_{0} / \partial m_{0}=h^{2}, \partial \varphi_{n} / \partial m_{n}=h^{2}$ and for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \varphi_{i}}{\partial m_{i-1}}=\left(m_{i}-\bar{f}_{i+1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}, \\
& \frac{\partial \varphi_{i}}{\partial m_{i}}=2\left(m_{i-1}-\bar{f}_{i}^{\prime}\right)\left(m_{i}-\bar{f}_{i+1}^{\prime}\right)+2\left(m_{i}-\bar{f}_{i}^{\prime}\right)\left(m_{i+1}-\bar{f}_{i+1}^{\prime}\right), \\
& \frac{\partial \varphi_{i}}{\partial m_{i+1}}=\left(m_{i}-\bar{f}_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose that $f \in C^{2}[a, b]$ and $m_{i}=f_{i}^{\prime}+o(h), i=1, \ldots, n-1$. Using Taylor expansions, we get for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \varphi_{i}}{\partial m_{i-1}} & =\frac{h^{2}}{4}\left(f_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}+o\left(h^{2}\right) \\
\frac{\partial \varphi_{i}}{\partial m_{i}} & =h^{2}\left(f_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}+o\left(h^{2}\right) \\
\frac{\partial \varphi_{i}}{\partial m_{i+1}} & =\frac{h^{2}}{4}\left(f_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}+o\left(h^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We see that if $f^{\prime \prime}(x)>0$ or $f^{\prime \prime}(x)<0$ on $[a, b]$, which means the strict convexity or concavity of $f$, then, for small $h$, the matrix $\Phi^{\prime}(S)$ has the dominant main diagonal. Thereby the Newton's method

$$
\Phi^{\prime}\left(m^{k}\right) m^{k+1}=\Phi^{\prime}\left(m^{k}\right) m^{k}-\Phi\left(m^{k}\right)
$$

is applicable in the neighbourhood of the point $\left(f_{0}^{\prime}, f_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, f_{n}^{\prime}\right)$.

Our statement about the existence of a solution for (11) is based on a lemma which is proved in $\left[{ }^{6}\right], \mathrm{Ch} .4, \S 19$. We use it in the following special version.

Lemma. Let $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be differentiable in the ball $B\left(m^{*}, \delta\right)=$ $\left\{m:\left\|m-m^{*}\right\| \leq \delta\right\}$ and let there be $q \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\left(\Phi^{\prime}\left(m^{*}\right)\right)^{-1}\left(\Phi^{\prime}(m)-\Phi^{\prime}\left(m^{*}\right)\right)\right\| \leq q \text { for } m \in B\left(m^{*}, \delta\right),  \tag{12}\\
\left\|\left(\Phi^{\prime}\left(m^{*}\right)\right)^{-1} \Phi\left(m^{*}\right)\right\| \leq \delta(1-q) . \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then the equation $\Phi(m)=0$ has a unique solution in the ball $B\left(m^{*}, \delta\right)$.
Taking $m^{*}$ such that $m_{i}^{*}=f_{i}^{\prime}+o(h), i=1, \ldots, n-1$, then under the previous assumptions on $f$, we have $\left\|\Phi\left(m^{*}\right)\right\|=o\left(h^{3}\right)$. Choose $q \in(0,1)$. Then for some $\delta=o(h)>0$, condition (13) is satisfied because $\left\|\left(\Phi^{\prime}\left(m^{*}\right)\right)^{-1}\right\|=O\left(1 / h^{2}\right)$ for sufficiently small $h$. Further, $m \in$ $B\left(m^{*}, \delta\right)$ implies $m_{i}=f_{i}^{\prime}+o(h)$ and $\left\|\Phi^{\prime}(m)-\Phi^{\prime}\left(m^{*}\right)\right\|=o\left(h^{2}\right)$ as $\left(\partial \varphi_{i} / \partial m_{j}\right)(m)-\left(\partial \varphi_{i} / \partial m_{j}\right)\left(m^{*}\right)=o\left(h^{2}\right)$. Thus we have also (12) satisfied. By the lemma, system (11) has a unique solution in $B\left(m^{*}, \delta\right)$. We have proved the following

Theorem 1. Let $f \in C^{2}[a, b]$ be strictly convex or concave. Then for $h$ sufficiently small, system (11) has a unique solution in the $o(h)$ neighbourhood of $\left(f_{0}^{\prime}, f_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, f_{n}^{\prime}\right)$.

## 5. ERROR BOUNDS

Let us assume more than in Theorem 1, namely let $f^{\prime \prime \prime} \in \operatorname{Lip} 1$. This allows the Taylor expansions of $f$ up to the third derivative with the rest of $O\left(h^{4}\right)$. For $m^{*}=\left(f_{0}^{\prime}, f_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, f_{n}^{\prime}\right)$, we obtain with straightforward calculations $\left\|\Phi\left(m^{*}\right)\right\|=O\left(h^{5}\right)$. Thus we can take $\delta=O\left(h^{3}\right)$ to satisfy (13), and (12) is satisfied also for small $h$. This means that the solution $m$ of (11) is such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{i}=f_{i}^{\prime}+O\left(h^{3}\right) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, using this in formula (8), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i}=\frac{-f_{i-1}^{\prime \prime \prime}+O(h)}{3 f_{i-1}^{\prime \prime}+2 h f_{i-1}^{\prime \prime \prime}+O\left(h^{2}\right)} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first two equations of (7) give

$$
M_{i-1}=\frac{2\left(\bar{f}_{i}^{\prime}-m_{i-1}\right)^{2}}{h\left(m_{i}-\bar{f}_{i}^{\prime}\right)}
$$

from which according to (14) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{i-1}=\frac{\left(f_{i-1}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{h}{3} f_{i-1}^{\prime \prime \prime}+O\left(h^{2}\right)\right)^{2}}{f_{i-1}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{2}{3} h f_{i-1}^{\prime \prime \prime}+O\left(h^{2}\right)} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting (2) and (14) into representation (1), we see that

$$
S(x)=f_{i-1}+t h f_{i-1}^{\prime}+\frac{t^{2} h^{2}}{2} \frac{M_{i-1}}{1+t h p_{i}}+O\left(h^{4}\right) .
$$

Then with (15) and (16) we verify that

$$
\frac{M_{i-1}}{1+t h p_{i}}-\left(f_{i-1}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{t h}{3} f_{i-1}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)=O\left(h^{2}\right),
$$

hence for $x \in\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right]$,

$$
S(x)=f_{i-1}+t h f_{i-1}^{\prime}+\frac{t^{2} h^{2}}{2} f_{i-1}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{t^{3} h^{3}}{6} f_{i-1}^{\prime \prime \prime}+O\left(h^{4}\right)
$$

and therefore $\|S-f\|_{\infty}=O\left(h^{4}\right)$. We have proved the following

Theorem 2. Let $f^{\prime \prime \prime} \in \operatorname{Lip} 1$ and $f$ be strictly convex or concave on $[a, b]$. Let $S$ be the second-degree rational spline of the continuity class $C^{2}$ satisfing (2) and (3). Then $\|S-f\|_{\infty}=O\left(h^{4}\right)$.

## 6. REMARKS

According to (1) on $\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right]$

$$
\begin{gather*}
S^{\prime}(x)=m_{i-1}+\frac{\left(2+t h p_{i}\right) t h}{2\left(1+t h p_{i}\right)^{2}} M_{i-1}  \tag{17}\\
S^{\prime \prime}(x)=\frac{M_{i-1}}{\left(1+t h p_{i}\right)^{3}} \tag{18}
\end{gather*}
$$

The strict convexity or concavity of $f \in C^{2}$ on $[a, b]$ yields $1+t h p_{i}>0$ for small $h$ and that all $M_{i}$ be positive or negative. Thus, according to (18), $S$ is also strictly convex or concave on $[a, b]$. Furthermore, the strict monotonicity of $f$ gives that all $m_{i}$ are positive or negative. Then from (17) we see that $S^{\prime}(x)$ is increasing or decreasing on each subinterval and, consequently, on $[a, b]$ too. This means that the spline $S$ preserves the strict convexity or concavity of $f$ and with one of them the strict monotonicity of $f$.

Our other remark concerns the uniqueness of the solution of (12). Eliminate $m_{0}$ and $m_{n}$ from (12). Then, as $\bar{f}_{i}^{\prime}=f^{\prime}\left(\xi_{i}\right)$ where $x_{i-1}<\xi_{i}<$ $x_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$, setting

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha_{0}=f^{\prime}\left(\xi_{1}\right)-f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) \\
\alpha_{i}=f^{\prime}\left(\xi_{i+1}\right)-f^{\prime}\left(\xi_{i}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, n-1 \\
\alpha_{n}=f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)-f^{\prime}\left(\xi_{n}\right) \\
y_{i}=m_{i}-f^{\prime}\left(\xi_{i}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, n-1
\end{gathered}
$$

we get the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\alpha_{0}\left(y_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)^{2}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2}=0  \tag{19}\\
\left(y_{i-1}-\alpha_{i-1}\right)\left(y_{i}-\alpha_{i}\right)^{2}+y_{i}^{2} y_{i+1}=0, \quad i=2, \ldots, n-2 \\
\left(y_{n-2}-\alpha_{n-2}\right)\left(y_{n-1}-\alpha_{n-1}\right)^{2}+y_{n-1}^{2} \alpha_{n}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Assume $f$ be strictly convex, then $\alpha_{i}>0, i=0, \ldots, n$. Let at first $n$ be even, then $n-1$ is odd. System (19) has a solution: $y_{1}=\alpha_{1}$, $y_{2}=0, y_{3}=\alpha_{3}, y_{4}=0, \ldots, y_{n-2}=0, y_{n-1}$ satisfy the equation $-\alpha_{n-2}\left(y_{n-1}-\alpha_{n-1}\right)^{2}+\alpha_{n} y_{n-1}^{2}=0$ whose solutions are real and nonzero. But (19) has also a solution $y_{n-1}=0, y_{n-2}=\alpha_{n-2}, \ldots, y_{2}=\alpha_{2}$, $y_{1}:-\alpha_{0}\left(y_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)^{2}+\alpha_{2} y_{1}^{2}=0$. Let now $n$ be odd, then $n-1$ is even. A solution of (19) is $y_{1}=\alpha_{1}, y_{2}=0, y_{3}=\alpha_{3}, y_{4}=0, \ldots, y_{n-1}=0$. But (19) has another solution: $y_{2}=\alpha_{2}, y_{3}=0, y_{4}=\alpha_{4}, \ldots, y_{n-2}=0$, $y_{1}$ is determined by $-\alpha_{0}\left(y_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)^{2}+\alpha_{2} y_{1}^{2}=0, y_{n-1}$ is determined by $-\alpha_{n-2}\left(y_{n-1}-\alpha_{n-1}\right)^{2}+\alpha_{n} y_{n-1}^{2}=0$. Thus we can conclude that system (19), and therefore system (11), normally has more than one solution.
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## TEIST JÄRKU RATSIONAALSPLAINIDEGA INTERPOLEERIMINE

## Peeter OJA

On vaadeldud ratsionaalsplaine, mis võrgu $a=x_{0}<x_{1}<$ $\ldots<x_{n}=b$ igal osalõigul $\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right]$ on kujul $c_{0}+c_{1} x+c_{2} x^{2} /(1+$ $\left.d_{1} x\right)$, ning nendega interpoleerimist. Interpoleeriva splaini kordajad on määratud mittelineaarsest süsteemist ning uuritud viimase lahenduvust. Selgub, et seesugused splainid säilitavad interpoleeritava funktsiooni range monotoonsuse ja range kumeruse. On tõestatud $O\left(h^{4}\right)$ järku koonduvus.

