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1. Introduction. Let $M$ be an $m$-dimensional real smooth manifold and $P^{n}$ the $n$-dimensional real projective space (i.e. the manifold of 1-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ with the projective group $G P(n, \mathbf{R})=$ $=G L(n+1, \mathbf{R}) / Z$ acting on it). Let $f: M \rightarrow P^{n}$ be a smooth immersion. Identifying $M$ with its image by $f$ we say that a submanifold $M$ in $P^{n}$ is given.

The geometry of such submanifold $M$, invariant with respect to $G P(n, \mathbf{R})$ by arbitrary $n$, is the subject of many investigations (see e.g., $\left[{ }^{1-4}\right]$ and references in [5]). In the present paper an important role is played by the results of Laptev [ ${ }^{2}$ ] and Ostianu [4] generalizing the classical Darboux tensor and showing that its vanishing is a necessary and sufficient condition for a hypersurface to be a hyperquadric in $P^{n}$.

A special field is the geometry of normalized submanifolds $M$ in the projective space $P^{n}$. A submanifold $M$ in $P^{n}$ is said to be normalized (by Norden, [ $\left.{ }^{6}\right]$ ), if to every point $x \in M \subset P^{n}$ a pair of $(n-m)$ - and $(m-1)$-dimensional planes in $P^{n}$ is associated so that 1) the $(n-m)$ dimensional plane (the «normal of the first species» at $x$ ) intersects the corresponding tangent plane of $M$ only at the point of tangency $x, 2$ ) the ( $m-1$ )-plane (the «normal of the second species» at $x$ ) lies in this tangent plane and does not go through $x, 3$ ) these «normals» being elements of the corresponding Grassmann manifolds depend smoothly on $x$.

It is well known that such a normalization induces on $M$ an affine connection $\nabla$ without torsion (see [ $\left.{ }^{6}\right]$ ). This $\nabla$ is the connection in the tangent bundle of $M$, where the normals of the 2 nd species play the role of infinitive planes of tangent planes. In the centroprojective bundle on $M$ with normals of the 1 st species as fibres a centroprojective connection $\nabla^{\perp}$ is induced (see $\left[^{6}\right]$, 2nd edit.; [ $\left.{ }^{7}\right]$ ). A third connection is obtained if we consider a projective bundle on $M$, the fibres of which are the ( $n-m-1$ )-dimensional projective spaces of directions (i.e. of straight lines going through $x$ ) in the normals of the 1st species. In this bundle a projective connection $\stackrel{*}{\nabla}^{\perp}$ is induced (see $\left[{ }^{7}\right], \S 4$; about projective bundles and connections in it see $\left.\left[{ }^{8}\right]\right)$. The pair of connections $\nabla$ and $\stackrel{*}{\nabla} \perp$ is the nearest generalization of the van der Waerden-Bortolotti connection (see $\left[{ }^{9}\right]$, Ch. $2, \S 6$ ); we denote this generalization in the usual way by $\bar{\nabla}=\nabla \oplus \stackrel{*}{ }^{\perp}$.

The connection $\bar{\nabla}$ gives a possibility to define the operation of covariant derivation, especially the covariant derivative $\bar{\nabla} h$ of the second

[^0]fundamental form $h$ of a submanifold $M$ in $P^{n}$. Note that $h$ can be introduced without any normalization (see e.g. [4], where $h$ is denoted by $\Lambda$ ). The main aim of our paper is to investigate how the derivative $\bar{\nabla} h$ varies with the change of the field of normals (of the 1st or 2nd species). The results are formulated in Propositions 1, 2 and 3 and show that $\bar{\nabla} h$ is not invariant by such changes (as $h$ is) and in many cases determines by a fixed field of normals of one species the field of normals of the other species uniquely.

In the euclidean or noneuclidean geometry of submanifolds, where $\bar{\nabla}$ is determined by $M$ itself through the metric of the space, the concept of parallelism of $h$ is known and has been investigated in many respects (see e.g. $\left[{ }^{10-12}\right]$ ): $h$ is said to be parallel (and $M$ the symmetric submanifold) if $\bar{\nabla} h=0$. This notion can be now generalized to the case of normalized submanifolds $M$ in $P^{n}$. The next problem arises: which are the submanifolds $M$ having a normalization with $\bar{\nabla} h=0$, and which are these normalizations? We solve here this problem for the case of the tangentially nondegenerated hypersurfaces $M$ (i.e. by $m=n-1=\operatorname{rank} h$ ) and show that $M$ is then a hyperquadric and the normalization is polar with respect to it (see Theorem in §4). In the affine geometry it means that such $M$ is a hyperquadric and the normal straight lines go through its centre (i.e. are its diameters; Corollary 3). Remind that in the euclidean geometry a tangentially nondegenerated hypersurface $M$ with ${ }^{\mathbf{\nabla}} h=0$ is a hypersphere in [ ${ }^{13}$ ].
2. Preliminaries. Let $\left\{A_{0}, A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}\right\}$ be a variable basis in $\mathrm{R}^{n+1}$. A projective frame in $P^{n}$ is a class of equivalent bases with respect to the following equivalence: $\left\{A_{0}^{\prime}, A_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, A_{n}^{\prime}\right\} \sim\left\{A_{0}, A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}\right\} \Leftrightarrow$ $\Leftrightarrow$ there exists a $\lambda \in \mathbf{R} \backslash\{0\}$ so that $A_{E}^{\prime}=\lambda A_{E} ; E, \ldots=0,1, \ldots, n$. Here $d A_{E}=A_{F} \theta_{E}^{F}$ and $d \theta_{E}^{F}=\theta_{E}^{G} \wedge \theta_{G}^{F}$; the last formulas are the Maurer-Cartan structure equations of $G L(n+1, \mathbf{R})$. For a variable projective frame in $P^{n}$ only the nonzero differences $\omega_{E}^{F}=\theta_{E}^{F}-\theta_{0}^{0} \delta_{E}^{F}$ play an essential role (note that $\omega_{0}^{0}=0$ ). For them

$$
\begin{align*}
& d \omega_{0}^{J}=\omega_{0}^{K} \wedge \omega_{K}^{J}, \quad d \omega_{K}^{0}=\omega_{K}^{J} \wedge \omega_{J}^{0},  \tag{2.1}\\
& d \omega_{K}^{J}=\omega_{K}^{L} \wedge \omega_{L}^{J}+2 \delta_{(K}^{J} \omega_{L)}^{0} \wedge \omega_{0}^{L} ; \quad J, \ldots=1, \ldots, n \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d A_{E}=\theta_{0}^{0} A_{E}+\omega_{E}^{F} A_{F}, \quad d \theta_{0}^{0}=\omega_{0}^{J} \wedge \omega_{J}^{0} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see $\left[{ }^{8}\right]$ ); the formulas (2.1) and (2.2) are the Maurer-Cartan structure equations for $G P(n, \mathbf{R})$.

If a submanifold $M$ in $P^{n}$ is given, the projective frame can be adapted to it so that $A_{0}$ will represent the point $x \in M \subset P^{n}$ and $A_{i}$ will give the points on the tangent plane to $M$ at $x ; i, j, \ldots=1, \ldots, m$. Then $\omega_{0}^{\alpha}=0 ; \alpha, \beta, \ldots=m+1, \ldots, n$, and due to $(2.1), \omega_{0}^{i} \wedge \omega_{i}^{\alpha}=0$. Thus by Cartan lemma

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{i}^{\alpha}=h_{i j}^{\alpha} \omega_{0}^{j}, \quad h_{i j}^{\alpha}=h_{j i}^{\alpha}, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the subscript 0 is omitted. The exterior differentiation gives now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(d h_{i j}^{\alpha}-h_{k j}^{\alpha} \omega_{i}^{k}-h_{i k}^{\alpha} \omega_{j}^{k}+h_{i j}^{\beta} \omega_{\beta}^{\alpha}\right) \wedge \omega^{j}=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\nabla} h_{i j}^{\alpha}=h_{i j h}^{\alpha} \omega^{k}, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the left hand side denotes the first multiplier in (2.5) and $h_{i j k}^{\alpha}$ is symmetric with respect to the subscripts. The same procedure applied to (2.6) gives (see [4])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\nabla} h_{i j k}^{\alpha}+3 h_{(i j}^{\alpha} \omega_{k)}^{0}-3 h_{(i j}^{\beta} h_{k) l}^{\alpha} \omega_{\beta}^{l}=h_{i j k l}^{\alpha} \omega^{l}, \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\nabla} h_{i j k}^{\alpha}=d h_{i j k}^{\alpha}-h_{l j k}^{\alpha} \omega_{i}^{l}-h_{i l k}^{\alpha} \omega_{j}^{l}-h_{i j l}^{\alpha} \omega_{k}^{l}+h_{i j k}^{\beta} \omega_{\beta}^{\alpha} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us join to tangent vectors $X=X^{i} A_{i}, Y=Y^{j} A_{j}$, i. e. to the elements of $T_{x} M$, the element of $\mathrm{R}^{n+1} / T_{x} M$ given by

$$
h_{i j}^{\alpha} X^{i} Y^{j} A_{\alpha} .
$$

So a symmetric bilinear map $h:\left(T_{x} M\right)^{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1} / T_{x} M$ is defined invariantly under every change of the adapted frame. This map is called the second fundamental form of the submanifold $M$ in $P^{n}$.

Let $M$ be a hypersurface in $P^{n}$, i. e. $m=n-1$, and let $\operatorname{det}\left|h_{i j}^{n}\right| \neq 0$, i. e. $M$ is tangentially nondegenerated. Then for every adapted frame a matrix $\left\|h_{n}^{j i}\right\|$ exists so that $h_{n}^{i k} h_{k j}^{n}=\delta_{j}^{i}$. For

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{i j k}=h_{i j h}^{n}-h_{(i j}^{n} h_{k)}, \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{k}=h_{n}^{i j} h_{i j k}^{n}$, it is shown by Laptev ([ $\left.{ }^{2}\right]$, Ch. 5) that

$$
d D_{i j k}=D_{i j k} \omega_{i}^{l}+D_{i l k} \omega_{j}^{l}+D_{i j l \omega_{k}^{l}}-D_{i j k} \omega_{n}^{n}+\mathrm{D}_{i j k l \omega^{l}}
$$

and that the invariant condition $D_{i j k}=0$ characterizes the hyperquadrics in $P^{n}$. The invariantly defined trilinear form $D_{i j k} X^{i} Y^{j} Z^{k} A_{n}$ is called the Darboux form of the tangentially nondegenerated hypersurface $M$ in $P^{n}$.

Let us return to the general submanifold $M$ in $P^{n}$ and assume that this $M$ is normalized by Norden [ ${ }^{6}$ ]. Let the projective frame be adapted to the normalization so that the points of $A_{m+1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ lie on the normal of the 1st species and the points of $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m}$ lie on the normal of the 2nd species. Then in a fixed point $x \in M$, i.e. if $\omega^{i}=0$, we have $\omega_{\alpha}^{i}=$ $=\omega_{i}^{0}=0$. It follows that there exist functions $C_{\alpha j}^{i}$ and $a_{i k}$ on the bundle space of adapted frames so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\alpha}^{i}=C_{\alpha j}^{i} \omega^{j}, \quad \omega_{i}^{0}=a_{i k} \omega^{k} . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now (2.1) and (2.2), give

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d \omega^{i}=\omega^{j} \wedge \omega_{j}^{i}, & d \omega_{j}^{i}=\omega_{j}^{k} \wedge \omega_{k}^{i}+\Omega_{j}^{i}, \\
d \omega_{\alpha}^{0}=\omega_{\alpha}^{\beta} \wedge \omega_{\beta}^{0}+\Omega_{\alpha}^{0}, & d \omega_{\beta}^{\alpha}=\omega_{\beta}^{\gamma} \wedge \omega_{\gamma}^{\alpha}+\Omega_{\beta}^{\alpha}, \tag{2.12}
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega_{j}^{i}=\omega_{j}^{\alpha} \wedge \omega_{\alpha}^{i}+\omega_{j}^{0} \wedge \omega^{i}+\delta_{j}^{i}\left(\omega_{k}^{0} \wedge \omega^{k}\right)=\frac{1}{2} R_{j k l}^{i} \omega^{k} \wedge \omega^{l}, \\
& \Omega_{\alpha}^{0}=\omega_{\alpha}^{i} \wedge \omega_{i}^{0}=\frac{1}{2} T_{\alpha k l} \omega^{k} \wedge \omega^{l}, \\
& \Omega_{\beta}^{\alpha}=\omega_{\beta}^{i} \wedge \omega_{i}^{\alpha}+\delta_{\beta}^{\alpha} \omega_{k}^{0} \wedge \omega^{k}=\frac{1}{2} R_{\beta k l}^{\alpha} \omega^{k} \wedge \omega^{l}
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{j k l}^{i}=2\left(h_{j[k}^{\alpha} C_{|\alpha| l]}^{i}+a_{j[k} \delta_{l]}^{i}-\delta_{j}^{i} a_{[k l]}\right) \\
& T_{\alpha k l}=2 C_{\alpha[k}^{i} a_{|i| l]}, \\
& R_{\beta k l}^{\alpha}=2\left(C_{\beta[k}^{i} h_{|i| l]}^{\alpha}-\delta_{\beta}^{\alpha} a_{[k l]}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Formulas (2.11) show, owing to the Cartan-Laptev theorem (see e.g. [ $\left.{ }^{8}\right]$ ), that in the tangent bundle of $M$ an affine connection $\nabla$ without torsion is given for which $R_{j k l}^{i}$ are the components of the curvature tensor (the result of Norden $\left[{ }^{6}\right]$ ). With the same argumentation we get the «normal» connections $\nabla^{\perp}$ and $\nabla^{\perp}$ explained in $\S 1$. The 2 -forms $\Omega_{\alpha}^{0}$ in (2.12) are the cotorsion forms for $\nabla^{\perp}$. The 2 -forms $\Omega_{\beta}^{\alpha}$ are the curvature forms for $\nabla^{\perp}$ and $\nabla^{\perp}$; only the differences $\Omega_{\beta}^{\alpha}-\delta_{\beta}^{\alpha} \Omega_{n}^{n}$ play an essential role for the projective connection $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}^{\perp}$.

The pair $\bar{\nabla}=\nabla \oplus \stackrel{*}{\nabla} \perp$ as a generalization of the van der WaerdenBortolotti connection gives a possibility to interpret the expressions $\bar{\nabla} h_{i j}^{\alpha}$ as the components of the covariant differential of $h$ with respect to the connection $\bar{\nabla}$. Denoting $h_{i j k}^{\alpha}=\bar{\nabla}_{k} h_{i j}^{\alpha}$ in (2.6) we can introduce invariantly a symmetric trilinear map $\bar{\nabla} h:\left(T_{x} M\right)^{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1} / T_{x} M$ which is called the covariant derivative of the second fundamental form $h$ of $M$.
3. Transformation rules for $\bar{\nabla} \boldsymbol{h}$. We see from (2.7) and (2.10) that

$$
\bar{\nabla} h_{i j k}^{\alpha}=\hat{h}_{i j k l}^{\alpha} \omega^{l}
$$

where, by a given normalization,

$$
\hat{h}_{i j k l}^{\alpha}=h_{i j k l}^{\alpha}+3 h_{(i j}^{\beta} h_{k) p}^{\alpha} C_{\beta l}^{p}-3 h_{(i j}^{\alpha} a_{k) l}
$$

This shows that by a given normalization of $M$ the condition $h_{i j k}^{\alpha}=0$ is invariant under the transformation of the frame adapted to the normalization at a fixed point $x \in M$. We will analyse this condition in $\S 4$, now we are interested how its left side depends on the choice of the normalization.

By means of the frame, adapted to a given normalization, a new normalization can be fixed by points of

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\alpha}^{*}=A_{\alpha}+N_{\alpha}^{i} A_{i}, \quad A_{i}^{*}=A_{i}+v_{i} A_{0} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\bar{\nabla} N_{\alpha}^{i}=N_{\alpha j}^{i} \omega^{j}, \quad \nabla v_{i}=v_{i j} \omega^{j}
$$

the last equations are equivalent to the invariant conditions: if $\omega^{j}=0$ then $d A_{\alpha}^{*}=\theta_{\alpha}^{0} A_{0}+\theta_{\alpha}^{\beta} A_{\beta}^{*}, \quad d A_{i}^{*}=\theta_{i}^{k} A_{k}^{*}$.

Let us change only the field of the normals of the 1st species. In this case

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d A_{i}=\theta_{0}^{0} A_{i}+a_{i j} \omega^{j} A_{0}+{ }^{*} \omega_{i}^{j} A_{j}+h_{i j}^{\alpha} \omega^{j} A_{\alpha}^{*} \\
& d A_{\alpha}^{*}=\theta_{0}^{0} A_{\alpha}^{*}+{ }^{*} \omega_{\alpha}^{0} A_{0}+{ }^{*} N_{\alpha j}^{i} \omega^{j} A_{i}+{ }^{*} \omega_{\alpha}^{\beta} A_{\beta}^{*},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
* \omega_{i}^{j}=\omega_{i}^{j}-h_{i k}^{\alpha} N_{\alpha}^{j} \omega^{k}, & { }^{*} \omega_{\alpha}^{\beta}=\omega_{\alpha}^{\beta}+N_{\alpha}^{i} h_{i j}^{\beta} \omega^{j}, \\
* \omega_{\alpha}^{0}=\omega_{\alpha}^{0}+N_{\alpha}^{i} a_{i j} \omega^{j}, & { }^{*} N_{\alpha j}^{i}=N_{\alpha j}^{i}+C_{\alpha j}^{i}-N_{\alpha}^{k} N_{\beta}^{i} h_{k j}^{\beta} .
\end{array}
$$

It follows that by this transformation

$$
\bar{\nabla}^{*} h_{i j}^{\alpha}=\bar{\nabla} h_{i j}^{\alpha}+3 h_{(i j}^{\beta} h_{k) l}^{\alpha} N_{\beta}^{l} \omega^{k}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i j k}^{* \alpha}=h_{i j k}^{\alpha}+3 h_{(i j}^{\beta} h_{k) l}^{\alpha} N_{\beta}^{l} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogously, if we change only the field of the normals of the 2nd species then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d A_{0}={ }^{*} \theta_{0}^{0} A_{0}+\omega^{i} A_{i}^{*} \\
& d A_{i}^{*}={ }^{*} \theta_{0}^{0} A_{i}^{*}+{ }^{*} a_{i j} \omega^{j} A_{0}+{ }^{*} \omega_{i}^{j} A_{j}^{*}+h_{i j}^{\alpha} \omega^{j} A_{\alpha} \\
& d A_{\alpha}={ }^{*} \theta_{0}^{0} A_{\alpha}+{ }^{*} \omega_{\alpha}^{0} A_{0}+C_{\alpha j}^{i} \omega^{j} A_{i}^{*}+{ }^{*} \omega_{\alpha}^{\beta} A_{\beta},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
* \theta_{0}^{0}=\theta_{0}^{0}-v_{i} \omega^{i}, \quad{ }^{*} \omega_{i}^{j}=\omega_{i}^{j}+v_{i} \omega^{j}, \quad{ }^{*} \omega_{\alpha}^{\beta}=\omega_{\alpha}^{\beta}+\delta_{\alpha}^{\beta} v_{i} \omega^{i}, \\
* a_{i j}=a_{i j}+v_{i j}-v_{i} v_{j} .
\end{gathered}
$$

By this transformation

$$
\bar{\nabla}^{*} h_{i j}^{\alpha}=\bar{\nabla} h_{i j}^{\alpha}-3 h_{(i j}^{\alpha} v_{k)} \omega^{k}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i j k}^{* \alpha}=h_{i j k}^{\alpha}-3 h_{(i j}^{\alpha} v_{k)} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 1. The second fundamental form $h$ of a submanifold $M$ in $P^{n}$ has by a given normalization of $M$ a covariant derivative $\bar{\nabla} h$, which in general depends essentially on the choice of the normalization.

Proof follows immediately from the final part of $\S 2$ and from (3.2) and (3.3).

In some cases the expression «in general» of the preceding proposition can be made more precise.

Let $M$ lie in its 1 st (i.e. 2nd order) osculating space at an arbitrary point $x \in M$. In this case we can assume that $P^{n}$ coincides with this space. Analytically this means that the rank of the system of $\frac{1}{2} m(m+1)$ vectors $H_{i j}=h_{i j}^{\alpha} A_{\alpha}$ is $n-m$.

In the affine differential geometry Weise [ ${ }^{14}$ ] constructed an invariant $W$ on $M$ as an algebraic function of $h_{i j}^{\alpha}$. Ostianu showed that this function is an invariant in the projective space, too (see [4]). A submanifold $M$ in $P^{n}$ with the rank $\left\{H_{i j}\right\}=n-m$ and $W \neq 0$ is said to be a Weise submanifold. For such an $M$ an object $h_{\alpha}^{i j}$, inverse $h_{i j}^{\alpha}$, can be introduced by means of the function $W[14,4]$ using

$$
h_{\alpha}^{i j}=\frac{\partial \ln W}{\partial h_{i j}^{\alpha}}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{\alpha}^{i j} h_{j h}^{\alpha}=(n-m) \delta_{k}^{i}  \tag{3.4}\\
& h_{\alpha}^{i j} h_{i j}^{\beta}=m \delta_{\alpha}^{\beta} . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 2. Let $M$ be a Weise submanifold in $P^{n}$ and let a field of the normals of the 1 st species be given on $M$. Then the field of the normals of the 2 nd species is determined by the covariant derivative $\bar{\nabla} h$ uniquely.

Proof. Suppose $h_{i j k}^{* \alpha}=h_{i j k}^{\alpha}$ in (3.3). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i j v_{k}}^{\alpha}+h_{j h}^{\alpha} v_{i}+h_{h i}^{\alpha} v_{j}=0 . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If it is convoluted by $h_{\alpha}^{i j}$, we will get due to (3.4)

$$
m(n-m) v_{k}+(n-m) v_{k}+(n-m) v_{k}=0
$$

thus $v_{k}=0$.
Remark 1. The assertion of Proposition 2 is true for an arbitrary $m$-dimensional submanifold $M$ in $P^{n}$ which is not a part of an $m$-plane, i. e. for which at most one of $h_{i j}^{\alpha}$ is nonzero. The proof is more tiresome, and in case $m \geqslant 3$ is based on the fact that for every value of $\alpha$ and for every triple $(a, b, c)$ of the values of $i, j, k$ the matrix of the system (3.6) for $v_{a}, v_{b}, v_{c}$ has among its determinants of the 3rd ordef the following expressions: $3\left(h_{i i}^{\alpha}\right)^{3}, h_{i j}^{\alpha} \cdot\left[4\left(h_{i j}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}-h_{i i}^{\alpha} h_{j j}^{\alpha}\right]$, where $i$ is one value from among $a, b$, or $c$, and $i, j$ are some two different values from among $a, b, c$. If $m \leqslant 2$, the argumentation is analogous.

A hypersurface $M$ in $P^{n}$ is a Weise submanifold iff $M$ is tangentially nondegenerated, i.e. iff $\operatorname{det}\left|h_{i j}^{n}\right| \neq 0$. Then $\left\|h_{n}^{i j}\right\|$ is the inverse matrix for $\left\|h_{i j}^{n}\right\|$. For this case Proposition 2 can be complemented by

Proposition 3. Let $M$ be a tangentially nondegenerated hypersurface in $P^{n}$ and let a field of the normals of the 2nd species be given on $M$. Then the field of the normals of the 1st species is determined by the covariant derivative $\bar{\nabla} h$ uniquely.

Proof. Suppose $h_{i j k}^{* n}=h_{i j k}^{n}$ in (3.2). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i j}^{n} h_{k l}^{n} N_{n}^{l}+h_{j k}^{n} h_{i l}^{n} N_{n}^{l}+h_{k i}^{n} h_{j l}^{n} N_{n}^{l}=0 . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we convolute it by $h_{n}^{i j}$, we will get $(n+1) h_{k l}^{n} N_{n}^{l}=0$, and thus $N_{n}^{l}=0$.
Corollary 1. If $M$ is a tangentially nondegenerated hypersurface in the $n$-dimensional affine space then the covariant derivative $\bar{\nabla} h$ determines the field of the normals on $M$ uniquely.

In fact, in this case the normals of the 2nd species are the intersections of the tangent planes of $M$ with the infinitive hyperplane.

Remark 2. Let $M$ be a tangentially degenerated hypersurface with rank $\left\|h_{i j}^{n}\right\|=r<m=n-1$. Then $M$ consists of $(m-r)$-planes or their parts which are the characteristics of the family of tangent $m$-planes. In case of a given field of the normals of the 2 nd species on $M$ the covariant derivative $\bar{\nabla} h$ does not change if and only if the normal of the 1st species at an arbitrary $x \in M$ changes in the span of this normal and the characteristic $(m-r)$-plane through $x$. This follows from (3.7) by the argumentation of Remark 1.
4. Parallelism of $h$. The second fundamental form $h$ of a normalized submanifold $M$ in $P^{n}$ is said to be parallel for the given normalization if for it $\bar{\nabla} h=0$. The next problem arises: which are the submanifolds $M$ in $P^{n}$ having a normalization with $\bar{\nabla} h=0$ ? Below we will solve this problem for a class of hypersurfaces.

Theorem. A tangentially nondegenerated hypersurface $M$ in the projective space $P^{n}$ has the normalization with $\bar{\nabla} h=0$ iff $M$ is a hyperquadric and the normalization is polar with respect to it.

Proof. We use the result of Laptev [ ${ }^{2}$ ], cited in $\S 2: D_{i j k}=0$ characterizes the hyperquadrics. The other result needed in the following is given implicitly by Norden (see [ ${ }^{6}$ ], Ch. VI) and in an explicit form in $\left[{ }^{7}\right], \S 6$ : if $M$ is a hypersurface with $\operatorname{det}\left|h_{i j}^{n}\right| \neq 0$, then the necessary and
sufficient condition for a normalization, given by (3.1), to be polar with respect to the field of osculating Darboux hyperquadrics (which coincide with $M$ itself, if $M$ is a hyperquadric) is

$$
v_{i}=N_{i}+\frac{n-1}{n+1} h_{i},
$$

where $N_{i}=h_{i j}^{n} N_{n}^{j}$ and $h_{i}$ is defined in connection with (2.9). For the initial normalization this condition is $h_{i}=0$.

Let now $\bar{\nabla} h=0$, i. e. $h_{i j k}^{n}=0$. Then $h_{k}=0$ and $D_{i j k}=0$ due to (2.9). Thus $M$ is a hyperquadric and its normalization is polar with respect to it.

Conversely, let $M$ be a hyperquadratic, i. e. $D_{i j h}=0$, and let its normalization be polar with respect to it, i. e. $h_{k d}=0$. From (2.9) it follows that $h_{i j h}^{n}=0$, thus $\bar{\nabla} h=0$.

Corollary 2. If the normals of the 2 nd species of a normalized tangentially nondegenerated hypersurface with parallel second fundamental form lie in a fixed hyperplane in $P^{n}$ then $M$ is a hyperquadric and the normals of the 1 st species go through the pole of this hyperplane with respect to this hyperquadric.

In fact, the last assertion follows immediately from the polarity of the normalization.

Corollary 3. A normalized tangentially nondegenerated hypersurface $M$ with a parallel second fundamental form in the affine space is a hyperquadric and the normals go through the centre of this hyperquadric, i.e. are its diameters.

In fact, in this case the normals of the 2 nd species are the intersections of the tangent hyperplanes with the infinite hyperplane, but the pole of this infinite hyperplane in affine geometry is the centre of the hyperquadric.
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## NORMALISEERITUD ALAMMUUTKONNA TEISE FUNDAMENTAALVORMI KOVARIANTNE TULETIS JA PARALLEELSUS PROJEKTIIVSES RUUMIS

On näidatud, et teise fundamentaalvormi $h$ kovariantne tuletis $\bar{\nabla} h$ sõltub üldjuhul normaliseeringu valikust, ning eristatud juhud, mil see üht liiki normaalvälja fikseerimisel määrab teist liiki normaalivälja üheselt. On tõestatud, et tangentsiaalselt mittekidunud hüperpinnal $M$ on normaliseering, milles $h$ on paralleelne (s.t. $\bar{\nabla} h=0$ ) parajasti siis, kui $M$ on hüperkvadrik ja normaliseering on polaarne selle suhtes. On tehtud järeldus afiinse ruumi juhu kohta.

Арто ЧАКМАЗЯН, Юло ЛУМИСТЕ

## КОВАРИАНТНОЕ ПРОИЗВОДНОЕ И ПАРАЛЛЕЛЬНОСТЬ ВТОРОЙ ФУНДАМЕНТАЛЬНОЙ ФОРМЫ НОРМАЛИЗОВАННОГО ПОДМНОГООБРАЗИЯ В ПРОЕКТИВНОМ ПРОСТРАНСТВЕ

Устанавливается, что ковариантное производное $\overline{\nabla h}$ второй фундаментальной формы $h$ в общем случае зависит от выбора нормализации. Выделяются случаи, когда $\bar{\nabla} h$ при фиксации поля нормалей одного рода определяет поле нормалей другого рода однозначно. Доказывается, что тангенциально невырожденная гиперповерхность $M$ в проективном пространстве $P^{n}$ имеет нормализацию с параллельной $h$ (т. е. с $\bar{\nabla} h=0$ ) тогда и только тогда, когда $M$ является гиперквадрикой и нормализация полярна относительно ее. В случае аффинного пространства последнее утверждение означает, что нормальные прямые проходят через центр гиперквадрики, т. е. являются ее диаметрами.
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