

УДК 517.5

<https://doi.org/10.3176/phys.math.1989.1.01>

J. LIPPUS

## ON THE PRESERVATION OF CLASSES OF FUNCTIONS

(Presented by G. Vainikko)

If  $X$  and  $Y$  are two classes of  $2\pi$ -periodic functions, we say that a two-way infinite series of complex numbers  $\lambda = \{\lambda_k\}$  ( $-\infty \leq k \leq \infty$ ) is a multiplier from  $X$  into  $Y$ , and we write  $\lambda \in (X, Y)$  if whenever

$$\sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} c_h e^{ihx} \quad (1)$$

is the Fourier series of a function  $f$  in  $X$ , the series

$$\sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda_h c_h e^{ihx} \quad (2)$$

is the Fourier series of a function  $f_\lambda$  in  $Y$ . Let  $C$  denote the class of  $2\pi$ -periodic continuous functions with the norm

$$\|f\|_C = \max_{0 \leq x \leq 2\pi} |f(x)|,$$

and  $L$  the class of  $2\pi$ -periodic integrable functions with the norm

$$\|f\|_L = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x)| dx.$$

Let  $\omega(\delta)$  be a given modulus of continuity and let  $C_\omega$  denote the class of continuous functions, for the moduli of continuity

$$\omega(f, \delta) = \sup_{|h| \leq \delta} \|f(\cdot + h) - f(\cdot)\|_C$$

of which we have

$$\omega(f, \delta) = O(\omega(\delta)).$$

It is well known (see e.g. [1], p. 176) that a necessary and sufficient condition for a sequence  $\lambda$  to be of the type  $(C, C)$  is that

$$\sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda_h e^{ihx} \quad (3)$$

be a Fourier-Stieltjes series. For the type  $(C_\omega, C_\omega)$  this condition while remaining sufficient ceases to be necessary. For the Lipschitz classes  $A$ . Zygmund [2] showed that a necessary and sufficient condition for  $\lambda$  to be of the type  $(C_\omega, C_\omega)$  with  $\omega(\delta) = \delta^\alpha$  ( $0 < \alpha < 1$ ) is that the indefinite integral of the series (3)

$$\mathcal{Q}(x) = \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_h}{ik} e^{ihx} \quad (4)$$

should belong to the Zygmund class in the integral metrics  $L_*$ , i. e.

$$\omega_2(\hat{f}, \delta)_L = \sup_{|h| \leq \delta} \|\hat{f}(\cdot + 2h) + \hat{f}(\cdot) - 2\hat{f}(\cdot + h)\|_L = \hat{O}(\delta).$$

Here the dash indicates that the term with the zero index is absent.

In the present paper we extend this result to a somewhat wider class of moduli of continuity, satisfying the condition

$$\int_0^\delta \frac{\omega(t)}{t} dt + \delta \int_\delta^{2\pi} \frac{\omega(t)}{t^2} dt = O(\omega(\delta)) \quad (5)$$

(see e. g. [3] or [4], p. 420). We also show that this extension is maximal in its terms.

Throughout this paper we suppose that  $\omega(\delta)/\delta \rightarrow \infty$  ( $\delta \rightarrow 0+$ ).

**Theorem 1.** *A necessary condition for the sequence  $\lambda = \{\lambda_k\}$  to be of the type  $(C_\omega, C_\omega)$  is that  $\mathfrak{Q}$  should belong to the class  $L_*$ . If the modulus of continuity  $\omega(\delta)$  is such that (5) holds, then this condition is also sufficient.*

**Proof.** Let  $X$  be either  $C$  or  $L$ . Let  $P_n$  denote the set of all trigonometric polynomials of an order not higher than  $n$ . For  $f \in X$  let

$$E_n(f)_X = \inf_{T \in P_n} \|f - T\|_X$$

denote the best approximation of the function  $f$ . Let  $f * g$  denote the convolution

$$(f * g)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(x-t)g(t) dt.$$

$v_n f$  the de la Vallée Poussin means of the series (1)

$$v_n f = (1/n)(s_n f + s_{n+1} f + \dots + s_{2n-1} f)$$

and  $v_n$  the corresponding kernel  $v_n f = v_n * f$ ,  $v_n(x) = 2K_{2n-1}(x) - K_{n-1}(x)$  where

$$K_n(x) = \sum_{k=-n}^n (1 - |k|/(n+1)) e^{ikx}$$

is the Fejér kernel. For any complex number let  $z$  define the function  $\text{sign } z = \bar{z}/|z|$  for nonzero  $z$ ,  $\text{sign } z = 0$  if  $z = 0$ .

Suppose  $\mathfrak{Q} \in L_*$  and let  $F_\lambda$  denote the indefinite integral of  $f_\lambda$ . Then we may write

$$E_n(F_\lambda)_C = E_n(f * \mathfrak{Q})_C \leq E_n(f)_C E_n(\mathfrak{Q})_L.$$

Applying Jackson's theorem twice we see that the first term on the right is  $O(\omega(1/n))$  and the second term is  $O(1/n)$ . Thus

$$E_n(F_\lambda)_C = O((1/n)\omega(1/n)).$$

In view of (5) (see e. g. [3] or [4], p. 423) this is equivalent to

$$\omega(\hat{f}_\lambda, \delta) = O(\omega(\delta)),$$

i. e.  $\hat{f}_\lambda$  is in  $C_\omega$ . This proves the sufficiency part of the theorem. To prove the necessity part suppose that  $\mathfrak{Q} \in L_*$ . In that case there exists a sequence of indices  $\{n(k)\}$  such that

$$\|v_{2n(k)} \mathfrak{Q} - v_{n(k)} \mathfrak{Q}\|_L \geq \frac{2^k}{n_k}. \quad (6)$$

If it were not true, i. e. if  $\|v_{2n} \mathfrak{Q} - v_n \mathfrak{Q}\|_L = O(1/n)$  we could write for any  $n$

$$\|\mathfrak{Q} - v_n \mathfrak{Q}\|_L = \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \{v_{2^{k+1}n} \mathfrak{Q} - v_{2^k n} \mathfrak{Q}\} \right\|_L \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} O\left(\frac{1}{2^k n}\right) = O(1/n),$$

which contradicts the presumption that  $\mathfrak{Q} \in L_*$ .

Moreover, since we have presumed that  $\omega(\delta)/\delta \rightarrow \infty$  ( $\delta \rightarrow 0_+$ ), we may suppose that the sequence  $\{n(k)\}$  satisfies the conditions

$$n(k) \geq 8n(k-1), \quad (7)$$

$$\omega(1/n(k)) \leq (1/2)\omega(1/n(k-1)), \quad (8)$$

$$n(k) \cdot \omega(1/n(k)) \geq \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} n(l) \cdot \omega(1/n(l)). \quad (9)$$

Consider the functions ( $k=1, 2, \dots$ )

$$G_k(x) = \overline{\text{sign}} \{v_{2n(k)} \mathfrak{Q}(-x) - v_{n(k)} \mathfrak{Q}(-x)\}$$

and

$$\varphi_k(x) = G_k(x) * \{v_{2n(k)}(x) - v_{n(k)}(x)\}.$$

The functions  $\varphi_k$  are trigonometric polynomials of the order  $4n(k)$ . Since  $\|v_n\|_L = O(1)$  (see e. g. [1], p. 88) we have by Young's inequality that  $\|\varphi_k\|_C = O(1)$ . Define a function  $f$  by the series

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega(1/n(k)) \varphi_k(x).$$

In view of (8) this series converges uniformly, hence  $f \in C$ . Let us estimate the modulus of continuity of  $f$ . Let  $1/n(k+1) \leq \delta \leq 1/n(k)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(\cdot + \delta) - f(\cdot)\|_C \leq \\ & \leq \left\| \sum_{l=1}^k \omega(1/n(l)) \{\varphi_l(\cdot + \delta) - \varphi_l(\cdot)\} \right\|_C + 2 \sum_{l=k+1}^{\infty} \omega(1/n(l)) \|\varphi_l\|_C. \end{aligned}$$

As  $\|\varphi_l\|_C = O(1)$  the last sum is  $O(\omega(\delta))$ . The first sum we estimate applying Bernstein's inequality to the polynomials  $\varphi_k$  and using (9)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=1}^k \omega(1/n(l)) \|\varphi_l(\cdot + \delta) - \varphi_l(\cdot)\|_C &= O\left(\sum_{l=1}^k n(l) \omega(1/n(l)) \delta\right) = \\ &= O(\delta n(k+1) \omega(1/n(k+1))). \end{aligned}$$

Since any modulus of continuity has the property  $\omega(t_1)/t_1 \leq 2\omega(t_2)/t_2$  for  $t_2 \leq t_1$  we conclude that the first sum is also  $O(\omega(\delta))$ , hence  $f \in C_\omega$ .

Let  $m(k) = [n(k)/2]$ , where  $[x]$  denotes the entire part of  $x$ . Consider the difference

$$v_{4n(k)} F_\lambda - v_{m(k)} F_\lambda.$$

If  $f_\lambda \in C_\omega$  then we should have by Jackson's theorem (see e. g. [4], p. 275)

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_{4n(k)} F_\lambda - v_{m(k)} F_\lambda\|_C &\leq 4 \{E_{4n(k)}(F_\lambda)_C + E_{m(k)}(F_\lambda)_C\} = \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{n(k)} \omega\left(\frac{1}{n(k)}\right)\right). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

On the other hand, we have constructed  $f$  in such a way that by virtue of (7)

$$v_{4n(k)} F_\lambda - v_{m(k)} F_\lambda = \{v_{4n(k)} f - v_{m(k)} f\} * \mathfrak{X} = \omega(1/n(k)) \{\varphi_k * \mathfrak{X}\}.$$

Since  $\varphi_k$  in its turn is a convolution we may write

$$\varphi_k * \mathfrak{Q} = \overline{\text{sign}} \{v_{2n(k)} \mathfrak{Q}(-x) - v_{n(k)} \mathfrak{Q}(-x)\} * \{v_{2n(k)} \mathfrak{Q}(x) - v_{n(k)} \mathfrak{Q}(x)\}.$$

Applying (6) obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\varphi_h * \mathfrak{Q}\|_C \geq (\varphi_h * \mathfrak{Q})(0) \geq \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \text{sign} \{v_{2n(h)}\mathfrak{Q}(-t) - v_{n(h)}\mathfrak{Q}(-t)\} * \{v_{2n(h)}\mathfrak{Q}(-t) - v_{n(h)}\mathfrak{Q}(-t)\} dt = \\ & = \|v_{2n(h)}\mathfrak{Q} - v_{n(h)}\mathfrak{Q}\|_L \geq 2^h/n(k). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|v_{4n(k)}F_\lambda - v_{n(k)}F_\lambda\|_C \geq 2^k\omega(1/n(k))/n(k),$$

which contradicts (10). Hence  $f_\lambda \in C_\omega$ . This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

To show that without condition (5) theorem 1 ceases to be true let us prove the following

**Theorem 2.** *Let the sequence  $\lambda = \{\lambda_k\}$  be such that  $\mathfrak{Q} \in L_*$  but (3) is not a Fourier-Stieltjes series. Then there exists a modulus of continuity  $\omega(\delta)$  not satisfying the condition (5), and a function  $f \in C_\omega$  such that  $f_\lambda$  does not belong to  $C_\omega$ .*

To prove the theorem we shall use some K. I. Oskolkov's results ([5], [6]).

**Lemma** ([5], lemma 2). For any sequence  $\{\delta_k\}$  ( $0 \leq k \leq \infty$ ) with the properties

$$\delta_0 = \pi, \quad \delta_k > 0, \quad 4\delta_{k+1}/\delta_k \leq 1 \quad (k=0, 1, 2, \dots),$$

there exists a modulus of continuity  $\omega(\delta)$  such that

$$\delta_{k+1} = \min \left\{ \delta : \max \left( \frac{\omega(\delta)}{\omega(\delta_k)}, \frac{\delta\omega(\delta_k)}{\delta_k\omega(\delta)} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \right\} \quad (k \geq 0)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{C} \omega(\delta) \leq \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \omega(\delta_h) \min \{1, \delta/\delta_h\} \leq C\omega(\delta) \quad (\delta > 0); \quad (11)$$

$\omega(\delta)$  may be selected such that

$$\omega(\delta_{k+1}) = (1/2)\omega(\delta_k). \quad (12)$$

Moreover, the condition

$$\delta_k/\delta_{k+1} = O(1) \quad (k \rightarrow \infty)$$

is equivalent to (5) ([5], remark 2).

Let  $v_n A$  denote the de la Vallée Poussin means of the series (3). Since the latter is not a Fourier-Stieltjes series we have

$$\limsup_n \|v_n A\|_L = \infty$$

(see e.g. [1], p. 137). Hence there exists a sequence of indices  $\{n(k)\}$  ( $k=1, 2, \dots$ ) such that

$$n(k+1)/n(k) \rightarrow \infty \quad (k \rightarrow \infty) \quad (13)$$

and

$$\|v_{n(k+1)} A - v_{n(k)} A\|_L \geq k. \quad (14)$$

We may suppose that  $n(1) > 4\pi$  and  $n(k+1) > 4n(k)$ . Consider the sequence  $\{\delta_k\}$ , where

$$\delta_0 = \pi, \quad \delta_k = 1/n(k) \quad (k=1, 2, \dots).$$

Let  $\omega(\delta)$  be the corresponding modulus of continuity according to the lemma. In view of (13) observe that (5) does not hold.

Let

$$G_h(x) = \overline{\text{sign}} \{v_{n(h+1)}\Lambda(-x) - v_{n(h)}\Lambda(-x)\}$$

and

$$\varphi_h(x) = G_h(x) * \{v_{n(h+1)}(x) - v_{n(h)}(x)\}.$$

These functions are trigonometric polynomials of the order  $2n(k+1)$  orthogonal to trigonometric polynomials of an order less than  $n(k)$ . We also have  $\|\varphi_h\|_C = O(1)$ . Let

$$f(x) = \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \omega(\delta_h) \varphi_h(x).$$

By (12) this series converges uniformly, hence  $f \in C$ . To estimate the modulus of continuity of  $f$  notice that by Bernstein's inequality we have

$$\omega(\varphi_h, \delta) = O(\min\{1, n(k+1)\delta\}) = O(\min\{1, \delta/\delta_k\}).$$

Applying (12) and (11) we see that  $f \in C_{\omega}$ .

Next prove that  $f_{\lambda} \in C_{\omega}$ . If  $f$  is to belong to  $C_{\omega}$  we should have  $(m(k) = [n(k)/2])$

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_{2n(h+1)}f_{\lambda} - v_{m(k)}f_{\lambda}\|_C &\leq 4(E_{2n(h+1)}(f_{\lambda})_C + E_{m(k)}(f_{\lambda})_C) = \\ &= O(\omega(1/n(k))). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

On the other hand we have defined  $\varphi_h$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} v_{2n(h+1)}f_{\lambda} - v_{m(k)}f_{\lambda} &= \\ &= \{\omega(\delta_{h-1})\varphi_{h-1} + \omega(\delta_h)\varphi_h + \omega(\delta_{h+1})\varphi_{h+1}\} * \{v_{2n(h+1)}\Lambda - v_{m(k)}\Lambda\}. \end{aligned}$$

Considering that all terms in the convolution are trigonometric polynomials of appropriately chosen order we get

$$\begin{aligned} v_{2n(h+1)}f_{\lambda} - v_{m(k)}f_{\lambda} &= \omega(\delta_h)(\varphi_h)_{\lambda} + \omega(\delta_{h-1})G_{h-1} * \{v_{n(h)}\Lambda - v_{m(k)}\Lambda\} + \\ &+ \omega(\delta_{h+1})G_{h+1} * \{v_{2n(h+1)}\Lambda - v_{n(h+1)}\Lambda\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|G_h\|_C \leq 1$  the application of Young's inequality gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_{2n(h+1)}f_{\lambda} - v_{m(k)}f_{\lambda}\|_C &\geq \omega(\delta_h)(\varphi_h)_{\lambda}(0) - \omega(\delta_{h-1})\|v_{n(h)}\Lambda - v_{m(k)}\Lambda\|_L - \\ &- \omega(\delta_{h+1})\|v_{2n(h+1)}\Lambda - v_{n(h+1)}\Lambda\|_L. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

As  $\mathfrak{Q} \in L_*$  we have by Bernstein's inequality for arbitrary  $n$  and  $m$  ( $n > m$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_n\Lambda - v_m\Lambda\|_L &\leq 2n\|v_n\mathfrak{Q} - v_m\mathfrak{Q}\|_L \leq \\ &\leq nO(E_n(\mathfrak{Q})_L + E_m(\mathfrak{Q})_L) = nO(1/n + 1/m). \end{aligned}$$

If  $n/m = O(1)$  this yields  $\|v_n\Lambda - v_m\Lambda\|_L = O(1)$ . Noting that  $m(k) = [n(k)/2]$  and (12) holds we deduce from (16)

$$\|v_{2n(h+1)}f_{\lambda} - v_{m(k)}f_{\lambda}\|_C \geq \omega(\delta_h)(\varphi_h)_{\lambda}(0) + O(\omega(\delta_h)).$$

Using the construction of  $\varphi_h$  we obtain by virtue of (14)

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi_h)_{\lambda}(0) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \overline{\text{sign}} \{v_{n(h+1)}\Lambda(-t) - v_{n(h)}\Lambda(-t)\} * \\ &* \{v_{n(h+1)}\Lambda(-t) - v_{n(h)}\Lambda(-t)\} dt = \|v_{n(h+1)}\Lambda - v_{n(h)}\Lambda\|_L \geq k. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|v_{2n(k+1)}f_\lambda - v_{m(k)}f_\lambda\|_C \geq \omega(\delta_k)k + O(\omega(\delta_k))$$

which contradicts (15). Therefore  $f_\lambda$  does not belong to  $C_\omega$ .

Remark. Analogous statements are also true for the class  $(L_\omega, L_\omega)$ . In that case while constructing the counterexamples we may suppose  $G_k \equiv 1$  ( $k=1, 2, \dots$ ). The rest of the proof remains essentially the same.

#### REFERENCES

1. Zygmund, A. Trigonometric Series. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1959, 1.
2. Zygmund, A. // J. Math. Mech., 1959, 8, № 6, 889—895.
3. Барн Н. К., Стечкин С. Б. // Тр. Моск. Мат. об-ва, 1956, 5, 434—522.
4. Тиман А. Ф. Теория приближения функций действительного переменного. М., Физматгиз, 1960.
5. Осколков К. И. // Тр. МИАН СССР, 1983, 164, 124—135.
6. Осколков К. И. // Мат. сб., 1977, 103, № 4, 536—589.

Academy of Sciences of the Estonian SSR,  
Institute of Cybernetics

Received  
Dec. 1, 1987

J. LIPPUS

#### FUNKTSIOONIDE KLASSIDE SÄILITAMISEST

Artiklis on leitud tarvilikud ja piisavad tingimused selleks, et kompleksarvude jada  $\lambda = \{\lambda_k\}$  oleks  $(C_\omega, C_\omega)$ -tüüpi multiplikaatoriks eeldusel, et pidevuse moodul  $\omega(\delta)$  rahuldab tingimust (5). On näidatud, et kui hinnang (5) ei ole täidetud, siis teoreem enam ei kehti.

Ю. ЛИППУС

#### О СОХРАНЕНИИ КЛАССОВ ФУНКЦИЙ

Находятся необходимые и достаточные условия для того, чтобы последовательность комплексных чисел  $\lambda = \{\lambda_k\}$  являлась мультипликатором типа  $(C_\omega, C_\omega)$  в предположении, что модуль непрерывности  $\omega(\delta)$  удовлетворяет условию (5). Также показывается, что без условия (5) теорема перестает быть верной.