

M. LEVIN

ON OPTIMAL RECOVERY OF FUNCTIONS SATISFYING GIVEN BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

(Presented by A. Humal)

Let $W^r L_q$ be the set of all functions $f(x)$ satisfying conditions:

1. $f^{(r-1)}(x)$ is absolutely continuous on $[0, 1]$,

$$2. \|f^{(r)}(t)\|_q = \begin{cases} \left(\int_0^1 |f^{(r)}(t)|^q dt \right)^{1/q} \leq 1, & 1 \leq q < \infty, \\ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |f^{(r)}(t)| \leq 1, & q = \infty. \end{cases}$$

We denote by $W_U^r L_q$ the set of all functions $f(x)$ belonging to $W^r L_q$ and satisfying

$$U_i(f) = 0 \quad (i=1, \dots, s), \quad (1)$$

where $0 \leq s \leq 2r$ is given,

$$U_i(f) = \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} [\alpha_{ij} f^{(j)}(0) + \beta_{ij} f^{(j)}(1)] \quad (i=1, \dots, s)$$

are given linearly independent functionals.

If $s=0$, then sets $W^r L_q$ and $W_U^r L_q$ coincide.

Consider the problem of constructing an optimal formula

$$\hat{f}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j \in J_k} A_{kj} f^{(j)}(x_k) + R(\hat{f}; x), \quad (2)$$

where $0 \leq x_1 < \dots < x_n \leq 1$. The sets $J_k \subseteq J = \{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}$, $k=1, \dots, n$, are given.

This problem is considered in some papers, e.g. [1-3], for the set $W^r L_q$. Denote

$$R(x) = \sup_{\hat{f} \in W_U^r L_q} |R(\hat{f}; x)|, \quad (3)$$

$$R = \|R(x)\|_{p_1} \quad (1 \leq p_1 < \infty). \quad (4)$$

The formula (2) is called the best formula among formulas (2) with fixed nodes x_1, \dots, x_n and given $x \in (0, 1)$ for $W_U^r L_q$, if its coefficients $A_{kj} = A_{kj}(x)$ ($k=1, \dots, n$; $j \in J_k$) are chosen so that the quantity (3) has the least value.

The formula (2) is called the optimal formula for $W_U^r L_q$, if its nodes x_k and coefficients $A_{kj} = A_{kj}(x)$ ($k=1, \dots, n; j \in J_k$) are chosen so that the quantity (4) is of least value.

We use the following notation:

Let

$$V_i(g) = 0 \quad (i=1, \dots, 2r-s)$$

be boundary conditions adjoint (see [4]) of conditions (1); P_{r-1} denotes the set of all polynomials of the degree $\leq r-1$; $\pi_{r-1}(U)$ is the set of all polynomials $\pi_{r-1}(x) \in P_{r-1}$ satisfying conditions $U_i(\pi_{r-1}) = 0$ ($i=1, \dots, s$); $\pi_{r-1}(V)$ is the set of all polynomials $\pi_{r-1}(x) \in P_{r-1}$ satisfying $V_i(\pi_{r-1}) = 0$ ($i=1, \dots, 2r-s$); $Q_r(U)$ is the set of all formulas (2) with given n, x and finite value (3);

$K_r(V)$ is the set of splines (provided x is given)

$$K_x(t) = \varphi_x(t) + \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} c_{0j} t^j + \sum_{h=1}^n \sum_{j \in J_h} c_{hj} (t - x_h)_{+}^{r-j-1} \quad (5)$$

satisfying conditions

$$V_i(K_x) = 0 \quad (i=1, \dots, 2r-s); \quad (6)$$

$$\varphi_x(t) = (t-x)_{+}^{r-1} / (r-1)!;$$

$$u_{+}^j = u^j \quad \text{if } u \geq 0, \quad u_{+}^j = 0 \quad \text{if } u < 0;$$

$\hat{K}_r(V)$ is the quotient set $K_r(V) / \pi_{r-1}(V)$; $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$.

We mention that if formula (2) has a finite value (3), then by [5]

$$\pi_{r-1}(x) = \sum_{h=1}^n \sum_{j \in J_h} A_{hj}(x) \pi_{r-1}^{(j)}(x_h)$$

for any $\pi_{r-1}(x) \in \pi_{r-1}(U)$.

Let $K_x(t)$ be a spline (5) satisfying conditions (6), $f(x) \in W_U^r L_q$. Integrating by parts in the right side of equality

$$\int_0^1 f^{(r)}(t) K_x(t) dt = \sum_{h=0}^n \int_{x_h}^{x_{h+1}} f^{(r)}(t) K_x(t) dt,$$

where $x_0=0, x_{n+1}=1$, gives

$$f(x) = \sum_{h=1}^n \sum_{j \in J_h} (-1)^j f^{(j)}(x_h) [K_x^{(r-j-1)}(x_h-0) - K_x^{(r-j-1)}(x_h+0)] + (-1)^r \int_0^1 f^{(r)}(t) K_x(t) dt. \quad (7)$$

Taking this into account and repeating the arguments of proving the theorem 1 in [6], we obtain the following result:

Theorem 1. *The sets $Q_r(U)$ and $\hat{K}_r(V)$ are isomorphic. For any formula from $Q_r(U)$ and for $f(x) \in W_U^r L_q$:*

$$A_{kj}(x) = (-1)^j [K_x^{(r-j-1)}(x_k-0) - K_x^{(r-j-1)}(x_k+0)]$$

$$(k=1, \dots, n; j \in J_k),$$

$$R(f; x) = (-1)^r \int_0^1 f^{(r)}(t) K_x(t) dt,$$

$$\sup_{f \in W_U^r L_q} |R(f; x)| = \min_{K_x(t) \in \bar{K}} \|K_x(t)\|_p,$$

where \bar{K} is the element from $\hat{K}_r(V)$ corresponding to considered formula from $Q_r(U)$, $K_x(t)$ is an arbitrary spline from \bar{K} .

Below we consider formulas

$$\hat{f}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} A_{kj}(x) f^{(j)}(x_k) + R(f; x) \tag{8}$$

and the set $W_{I_0 I_1}^r L_q$ ($1 < q \leq \infty$) of functions $f(x)$ belonging to $W^r L_q$ and satisfying conditions

$$f^{(i)}(0) = 0 \quad (i \in I_0), \quad f^{(j)}(1) = 0 \quad (j \in I_1), \tag{9}$$

where $I_0, I_1 \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}$ are given.

The adjoint boundary conditions of conditions (9) are

$$g^{(i)}(0) = 0 \quad (i \in I_0^c), \quad g^{(j)}(1) = 0 \quad (j \in I_1^c), \tag{10}$$

where $I_k^c = \{i : i \in \{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}, r-i-1 \notin I_k\}$, $k=0, 1$.

Splines (5), provided $J_k = \{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}$, $k=1, \dots, n$, satisfying conditions (10) are of the form:

1. If $0 < x < x_1$, then

$$K_x(t) = \begin{cases} \varphi_x(t) - \sum_{j \in I_0} c_{0j} t^{r-j-1}, & t \in [0, x_1), \\ p_{0i}(t), & t \in [x_i, x_{i+1}), \quad i=1, \dots, n, \\ p_{0n}^{(j)}(1) = 0 & (j \in I_1^c); \end{cases}$$

2. If $x_l < x < x_{l+1}$ ($l=1, \dots, n-1$), then

$$K_x(t) = \begin{cases} p_{li}(t), & t \in [x_i, x_{i+1}), \quad i \neq l, \\ \varphi_x(t) - p_{ll}(t), & t \in [x_l, x_{l+1}), \\ p_{l0}^{(j)}(0) = 0 & (j \in I_0^c), \quad p_{ln}^{(j)}(1) = 0 \quad (j \in I_1^c); \end{cases}$$

3. If $x_n < x < 1$, then

$$K_x(t) = \begin{cases} p_{ni}(t), & t \in [x_i, x_{i+1}), \quad i \neq n, \\ (-1)^r (x-t)_{+}^{r-1} / (r-1)! - \sum_{j \in I_1} c_{nj} (t-1)^{r-j-1}, & t \in [x_n, 1], \\ p_{n0}^{(j)}(0) = 0 & (j \in I_0^c), \end{cases}$$

where p_{ik} are polynomials of the degree $\leq r-1$.

By theorem 1 and formula (7) it is sufficient to choose the spline $K_x^*(t)$ of least norm in $L_p(0, 1)$ among above described splines for constructing the best formula (8) for the set $W_{I_0 I_1}^r L_q$.

It is easy to verify that

$$K_x^*(t) = \begin{cases} \varphi_x(t) - u_0(t), & t \in [0, x_1], x \in (0, x_1), \\ 0, & t \notin [0, x_1], x \in (0, x_1), \\ \varphi_x(t) - v_l(t), & t \in [x_l, x_{l+1}], x \in (x_l, x_{l+1}), \\ 0, & t \notin [x_l, x_{l+1}], x \in (x_l, x_{l+1}), 1 \leq l < n-1, \\ (-1)^r (x-t)_{+}^{r-1} / (r-1)! - u_1(t), & t \in [x_n, 1], x \in (x_n, 1), \\ 0, & t \notin [x_n, 1], x \in (x_n, 1), \end{cases}$$

where $u_0(t)$ is the polynomial of the form $\sum_{j \in I_0} c_{0j} t^{r-j-1}$ and of least deviation from $\varphi_x(t)$ in $L_p(0, x_1)$, $v_l(t)$ is the polynomial of the degree $\leq r-1$ and of least deviation from $\varphi_x(t)$ in $L_p(x_l, x_{l+1})$, $u_1(t)$ is of the form $\sum_{j \in I_1} c_{nj} (t-1)^{r-j-1}$ and of least deviation from $(-1)^r (x-t)_{+}^{r-1} / (r-1)!$ in $L_p(x_n, 1)$.

Applying this, theorem 1 and (7), we obtain

Theorem 2. The best formula (8) for the set $W_{I_0, I_1}^r L_q$ provided $x \in (x_l, x_{l+1})$ ($l=0, 1, \dots, n$) is

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} [A_{lj} f^{(j)}(x_l) + B_{lj} f^{(j)}(x_{l+1})] + R(f; x),$$

where

$$A_{0j} = 0,$$

$$B_{0j} = \frac{(x-x_1)^j}{j!} - (-1)^j u_0^{(r-j-1)}(x_1),$$

$$A_{lj} = (-1)^j v_l^{(r-j-1)}(x_l),$$

$$B_{lj} = \frac{(x-x_{l+1})^j}{j!} - (-1)^j v_l^{(r-j-1)}(x_{l+1}), \quad l=1, \dots, n-1,$$

$$A_{nj} = \frac{(x-x_n)^j}{j!} + (-1)^j u_1^{(r-j-1)}(x_n),$$

$$B_{nj} = 0, \quad j=0, \dots, r-1.$$

For this:

$$R(x) = \begin{cases} \|\varphi_x(t) - u_0(t)\|_{L_p(0, x_1)}, & x \in (0, x_1), \\ \|\varphi_x(t) - v_l(t)\|_{L_p(x_l, x_{l+1})}, & x \in (x_l, x_{l+1}), \quad l=1, \dots, n-1, \\ \|(-1)^r (x-t)_{+}^{r-1} / (r-1)! - u_1(t)\|_{L_p(x_n, 1)}, & x \in (x_n, 1). \end{cases}$$

Here the notation

$$\|f(t)\|_{L_p(\alpha, \beta)} = \left(\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p}$$

is used.

The corresponding result from the paper [1] for the set $W^r L_q$ is a particular case of theorem 2, if we take there $I_0 = I_1 = \emptyset$. A similar result for 1-periodical functions from $W^r L_{\infty}$ is obtained in [7].

Consider the problem of the optimal choice of the nodes of the formula (8). For this we investigate the error $R(x)$ obtained in theorem 2.

Introduce the following notation:

$u_0(t, y)$ is the function which for every fixed $y \in [0, 1]$ is a polynomial of the form $\sum_{j \in I_0} a_j t^{r-j-1}$ and of least deviation from $\varphi_y(t)$ in $L_p(0, 1)$;

$v(t, y)$ is the function which for every fixed $y \in [0, 1]$ is a polynomial of the degree $\leq r-1$ and of least deviation from $\varphi_y(t)$ in $L_p(0, 1)$;

$u_1(t, y)$ is the function which for every fixed $y \in [0, 1]$ is a polynomial $\sum_{j \in I_1} a_j (t-1)^{r-j-1}$ of least deviation from $(-1)^r (y-t)^{r-1} / (r-1)!$ in $L_p(0, 1)$;

$$h_l = x_{l+1} - x_l, \quad l = 1, \dots, n-1; \quad p_2 = p_1/p;$$

$$\delta = \left[\int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 |\varphi_y(t) - u_0(t, y)|^p dt \right)^{p_2} dy \right]^{1/p_1};$$

$$q = \left[\int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 |\varphi_y(t) - v(t, y)|^p dt \right)^{p_2} dy \right]^{1/p_1};$$

$$\sigma = \left[\int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 \left| (-1)^r \frac{(y-t)^{r-1}}{(r-1)!} - u_1(t, y) \right|^p dt \right)^{p_2} dy \right]^{1/p_1}.$$

In virtue of change of the variables, we justify that the quantity (4) for the function $R(x)$ from theorem 2 can be written as

$$R = (x_1^v \delta^{p_1} + q^{p_1} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} h_l^v + (1-x_n)^v \sigma^{p_1})^{1/p_1}, \quad (11)$$

where $v = (r-1)p_1 + p_2 + 1$.

Thus the optimal formula (8) for the set $W_{I_0 I_1}^r L_q$ has nodes for which (11) is of least value. It is easy to find such nodes. They are

$$x_k = \left[\left(\frac{q}{\delta} \right)^{\frac{1}{r-1+1/p}} + k - 1 \right] h, \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \quad (12)$$

where

$$h = \left[n - 1 + \left(\frac{q}{\delta} \right)^{\frac{1}{r-1+1/p}} + \left(\frac{q}{\sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{r-1+1/p}} \right]^{-1}.$$

The quantity (11), provided the nodes are equal to (12), is equal to

$$R = q h^{r-1+1/p}. \quad (13)$$

Therefore we have

Theorem 3. *The optimal formula (8) for $W_{I_0 I_1}^r L_q$ has nodes (12) and coefficients defined in theorem 2. For this formula the quality (4) is equal to (13).*

We can notice that applying the limit process $p \rightarrow \infty$ one may prove theorem 3 in the case $p_1 = \infty$, too.

In a particular case $p_1 = \infty$, $I_0 = I_1 = \emptyset$ this theorem was obtained in paper [1].

Denote by $W_{01}^r L_q$ the set $W_{I_0 I_1}^r L_q$ with $I_0 = I_1 = \{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}$.

We have no difficulty noticing that $\delta = \sigma = \rho$ in the case $I_0 = I_1 = \{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}$. This leads to

Theorem 4. The optimal formula (8) for the set $W_{01}^r L_q$ has nodes

$$x_k = \frac{k}{n+1} \quad (k=1, \dots, n)$$

and estimate

$$R = \frac{\rho}{(n+1)^{r+1+1/p}}.$$

Remark. Similar results can be obtained for evaluation derivatives of $f(x)$, too.

As we saw above, the results on optimal recovery of functions are similar to the results on optimal quadrature formulas [6,8]. We can say the same about the two-dimensional case.

We shall give an example.

Suppose for $k=1, 2$ the boundary value problems

$$f^{(r_k)}(x) = 0, \quad U_{ih}(f) = 0 \quad (i=1, \dots, r_k) \quad (14)$$

have Green's function. Let $W_{U^k}^{r_k} L_2$ be the set of functions $f(x)$ belonging to $W^{r_k} L_2$ and satisfying conditions $U_{ih}(f) = 0$ ($i=1, \dots, r_k$). Let, further, $W_{U^{(1,2)}}^{r_1, r_2} L_2$ be the set of functions $h(x, y)$ which have piecewise continuous derivatives

$$h^{(j,l)}(x, y) = \frac{\partial^{j+l}}{\partial x^j \partial y^l} h(x, y) \quad (j=0, \dots, r_1; \quad l=0, \dots, r_2)$$

on $D = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ and satisfy conditions

$$U_{i1}(h(\cdot, y)) \equiv 0 \quad (i=1, \dots, r_1), \quad U_{i2}(h(x, \cdot)) \equiv 0 \quad (i=1, \dots, r_2), \quad (15)$$

$$\|h^{(r_1, r_2)}(x, y)\|_{L_2(D)} \leq 1.$$

The formula

$$h(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \sum_{k=1}^{n_2} \sum_{j \in J_i} \sum_{l \in L_k} A_{ih}^{jl} f^{(j,l)}(x_i, y_k) + R(h; x, y) \quad (16)$$

is called the optimal formula for the set H of functions $h(x, y)$ if its nodes (x_i, y_k) and coefficients A_{ih}^{jl} are chosen so that the quantity

$$R = \sup_{h \in H} |R(h; x, y)| \|_{L_2(D)} \quad (17)$$

is of least value.

Let $J_i \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, r_1 - 1\}$, $L_k \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, r_2 - 1\}$ ($i=1, \dots, n_1$; $k=1, \dots, n_2$), $p_1=2$. Suppose then x_k^* , A_{ij}^* ($k=1, \dots, n_1$; $j \in J_k$), R_1 are nodes, coefficients and value (4) of the optimal formula (2) with $n=n_1$ for $W_{U^1}^{r_1} L_2$. Let y_k^* , B_{kj}^* ($k=1, \dots, n_2$; $j \in L_j$), R_2 be nodes, coefficients and value (4) of the optimal formula (2) (where we change n by n_2 , J_k by L_k) for $W_{U^2}^{r_2} L_2$.

One can prove the following statement in a manner analogous to the way we used in [9]:

Theorem. 5. The optimal formula (16) for the set $W_{U^{(1,2)}}^{r_1, r_2} L_2$ has nodes and coefficients

$$(x_i, y_k) = (x_i^*, y_k^*), \quad A_{ik}^{jl} = A_{ij}^* B_{kl}^* \\ (i=1, \dots, n_1; k=1, \dots, n_2; j \in J_i; l \in L_k)$$

and estimate

$$R = (R_1^2 g_2 + R_2^2 g_1 - R_1^2 R_2^2)^{1/2},$$

where

$$g_k = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_k(x, y) dx dy, \quad k=1, 2,$$

$G_k(x, y)$ is the Green's function for the problem (14).

In the same way one can extend another results to the formula (16), e. g. results similar to those obtained in papers [10, 11].

REFERENCES

1. Bojanov, B. D., Докл. Болг. АН, 27, № 7, 885—888 (1974).
2. Micchelli, C. A., Rivlin, T. J., Winograd, S., Numer. Math., 26, № 4, 191—200 (1976).
3. Optimal estimation in approximation theory (Ed. by C. A. Micchelli and T. J. Rivlin), New York—London, Plenum Press, 1976.
4. Наймарк М. А., Линейные дифференциальные операторы, М., «Наука», 1969.
5. Левин М., Изв. АН ЭССР, Физ. Матем., 20, № 1, 90—91 (1971).
6. Гиршович Ю. М., Левин М. И., Тр. Таллинск. политехн. ин-та, сер. А, № 393, 21—30 (1976).
7. Forst, W., J. Approxim. Theory, 20, № 4, 333—347 (1977).
8. Никольский С. М., Квадратурные формулы, М., «Наука», 1974.
9. Левин М., Изв. АН ЭССР, Физ. Матем., 25, № 4, 331—337 (1976).
10. Girshovich, Y., Levin, M., ENSV TA Toim., Füüs. Matem., 27, № 2, 151—158 (1978).
11. Левин М. И., Гиршович Ю. М., Докл. АН СССР, 236, № 6, 1303—1306 (1977).

Tallinn Polytechnic
Institute

Received
February 20, 1978

M. LEVIN

ANTUD RAJATINGIMUSI RAHULDAVATE FUNKTSIOONIDE PARIMATEST TAASTAMISVALEMITEST

On vaadeldud parimate valemite (2), s. o. vähima väärtusega (3) (x on fikseeritud) ja vähima väärtusega (4) (x on suvaline) leidmist funktsioonide $f(x)$ hulgal $W_U^r L_q$, mis rahuldavad tingimusi $\|f^{(r)}\|_{L_q(0,1)} \leq 1$ ja (1). Teisel juhul on otsitud nii sõlmi kui ka kaale. On leitud seos püstitatud ülesande lahendi ja tingimuste (6) kaastingimusi (1) rahuldavate splineide (5) vahel.

Valemile (8) ja hulgale $W_U^r L_q$, kus $U_i(f)$ on määratud avaldisega (9), on leitud sõlmed, kaalud ja parima valemi jäägi hinnang. Saadud tulemused on üldistatud ka kahe-mõõtmelisele juhule. Näitena on vaadeldud parimat valemit (16) (vähima väärtusega (17)) funktsioonide $h(x, y)$ hulgal, mis rahuldavad piirkonnas $D = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ tingimusi (15).

М. ЛЕВИН

О НАИЛУЧШИХ ФОРМУЛАХ ВОССТАНОВЛЕНИЯ ДЛЯ ФУНКЦИЙ,
УДОВЛЕТВОРЯЮЩИХ ЗАДАНЫМ КРАЕВЫМ УСЛОВИЕМ

Пусть $W_{U^r}^r L_q$ — множество функций $f(x) \in W^r L_q$ и удовлетворяющих линейно-независимым краевым условиям $U_i(f) = 0$ ($i=1, \dots, s$) на отрезке $[0, 1]$. Рассматривается задача построения на этом множестве наилучшей формулы

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j \in J_k} A_{kj}(x) f^{(j)}(x_k) + R(f; x),$$

т. е. формулы с наименьшим значением величины

$$\| \sup_{f \in W_{U^r}^r L_q} |R(f; x)| \|_{L_{p_1}(0,1)}.$$

Изучается связь между сплайнами и решением этой задачи. Для случаев $J_k = \{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}$ ($k=1, \dots, n$) и $U_i(f) = f^{(i)}(0)$ ($i \in I_0$), $U_i(f) = f^{(i)}(1)$ ($i \in I_1$), $I_0, I_1 \subseteq \{0, \dots, r-1\}$ находятся узлы, веса и оценка остатка наилучшей формулы. В случае $I_0 = I_1 = \{0, \dots, r-1\}$ эти узлы имеют вид

$$x_k = k/(n+1) \quad (k=1, \dots, n).$$

Показывается, как полученные результаты могут быть распространены на двумерный случай.