

Dual pairs of sequence spaces. II

Johann Boos^a and Toivo Leiger^b

^a Fachbereich Mathematik, FernUniversität Hagen, D-58084 Hagen, Germany;
Johann.Boos@FernUni-Hagen.de

^b Institute of Pure Mathematics, University of Tartu, Vanemuise 46, 51014 Tartu, Estonia;
leiger@math.ut.ee

Received 8 August 2001, in revised form 12 November 2001

Abstract. The authors proceed their investigation of dual pairs (E, E^S) , where E is a sequence space, S is a K -space on which a sum s is defined in the sense of Ruckle, and E^S is the space of all corresponding factor sequences. Here, the particular case is considered that the sum s has the representation $s(z) = \lim_{\gamma} \sum_k v_{\gamma k} z_k$ ($z \in S$), where Γ is a directed set of indices γ and $(v_{\gamma k})_k$ is a finite sequence for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$. On the basis of this representation the S -sections of any sequence $x = (x_k)$ and both, their convergence ($AK(S)$) and boundedness ($AB(S)$) in K -spaces E are studied. Further, inclusion theorems due to Bennett and Kalton are proved in this more general situation. Following an idea of Schaefer to consider “section convergence barrels”, the notion of $AK(S)$ -barrelled K -spaces is introduced which leads to the result that a Mackey K -space E containing all finite sequences is $AK(S)$ -barrelled if and only if $E^S \subset E'$. The paper covers some results concerning the Köthe–Toeplitz duals and related section properties, for example, the $\beta(T)$ -dual and the STK -property (considered by Buntinas and Meyers).

Key words: topological sequence spaces, Köthe–Toeplitz duals, section convergence, sum space, solid (normal) topology, inclusion theorems.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [1] the authors defined and investigated dual pairs (E, E^S) , where E is a sequence space, S is a K -space on which a sum s is defined in the sense of Ruckle [2], and E^S is the space of all corresponding factor sequences. Moreover, in generalization of the SAK -property in the case of the dual pair (E, E^β) and matrix maps, the SK -property and the quasi-matrix maps were introduced and studied. In that general situation well-known inclusion theorems due to Bennett and Kalton [3] and Grosse-Erdmann [4] were proved. The authors justified these

generalizations by several applications to different kinds of Köthe–Toeplitz duals and related section properties, for example, the $\beta(T)$ -dual and the STK -property (cf. Buntinas [5]).

In this note we consider dual pairs (E, E^S) , where the sum s has the representation

$$s(z) = \lim_{\gamma} \sum_k v_{\gamma k} z_k \quad (z \in S)$$

and Γ is a directed set of indices γ , $(v_{\gamma k})_k$ is a finite sequence for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$. This representation enables us to define in Section 2 the S -sections of any sequence $x = (x_k)$ and study in K -spaces E the properties $AK(S)$ and $AB(S)$. In Section 3 we complete an inclusion theorem which is proved in [1] and generalize a further inclusion theorem due to Bennett and Kalton [3]. These results are applied to certain dual pairs (E, E^S) , where S is c_T , bv_T , and fs , respectively. In Section 4, following Schaefer [6], we introduce the $AK(S)$ -barrelledness of K -spaces and show that a Mackey K -space E containing φ is $AK(S)$ -barrelled if and only if $E^S \subset E'$, i.e., the functional, defined by $x \mapsto s((u_k x_k))$, is continuous on E for each $u \in E^S$. The last result is verified for the particular cases $S = cs$ and $S = \ell$.

The terminology from the theory of locally convex spaces and summability is standard; we refer to Wilansky [7,8] and Boos [9].

Let ω be the space of all complex (or real) sequences and φ the subspace of all finitely nonzero sequences. Obviously, $\varphi = \text{span} \{e^k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where $e^k := (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$ with 1 in the k th position, and φ contains the *sections* $x^{[n]} := \sum_{k=1}^n x_k e^k$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) of all sequences $x \in \omega$.

A sequence space is a subspace of ω . If a sequence space E carries a locally convex topology such that the coordinate functionals π_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) defined by $\pi_n(x) := x_n$ ($x \in \omega$) are continuous, then E is called a K -space. Note that φ is $\sigma(E', E)$ -dense in the topological dual E' for each K -space E , where we identify φ with $\text{span} \{\pi_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. For any K -space E containing φ , the f -dual E^f is defined by

$$E^f := \left\{ u_f := (f(e^k)) \mid f \in E' \right\}.$$

A Fréchet (Banach) K -space is said to be an FK -(BK -)space. The following BK -spaces will be important in the sequel:

$$\begin{aligned} m &:= \left\{ x \in \omega \mid \sup_k |x_k| < \infty \right\}, & c &:= \left\{ x \in \omega \mid \lim x := \lim_k x_k \text{ exists} \right\}, \\ c_0 &:= \left\{ x \in c \mid \lim x = 0 \right\}, & bv &:= \left\{ x \in \omega \mid \sum_k |x_k - x_{k+1}| < \infty \right\}, \\ bs &:= \left\{ x \in \omega \mid \sup_n \left| \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \right| < \infty \right\}, & cs &:= \left\{ x \in \omega \mid \sum_k x_k \text{ converges} \right\}, \\ \ell &:= \left\{ x \in \omega \mid \sum_k |x_k| < \infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, ω is an FK -space under its product topology.

For sequence spaces E and F we define

$$E \cdot F := \left\{ ux := (u_k x_k) \mid u \in E, x \in F \right\}, \quad E^F := \left\{ u \in \omega \mid \forall x \in E : ux \in F \right\}.$$

The α -dual and β -dual of E are defined as $E^\alpha := E^\ell$ and $E^\beta := E^{cs}$. If $A = (a_{nk})$ is an infinite matrix such that $Ax := (\sum_k a_{nk} x_k)_n$ exists and $Ax \in F$ for each $x \in E$, then the linear map

$$A : E \rightarrow F, \quad x \mapsto Ax \tag{1.1}$$

is called a *matrix map*.

Let S be a K -space with $\varphi \subset S$ and let $s \in S'$ be a sum on S , that is,

$$s(z) = \sum_k z_k \quad \text{for each } z \in \varphi$$

(cf. Ruckle [2]). If E is a sequence space containing φ , then (E, E^S) is a dual pair under the bilinear functional

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : E \times E^S \rightarrow \mathbb{K} : (x, u) \mapsto \langle x, u \rangle := s(ux).$$

Since $\varphi \subset E^S$, $(E, \sigma(E, E^S))$ is a K -space. E is called an *SK-space* if $E = E_{SK}$, where

$$E_{SK} := \{x \in E \mid \forall f \in E' : u_f x \in S \text{ and } f(x) = s(u_f x)\}.$$

For example, $(E, \tau(E, E^S))$ is an *SK-space*. If we put

$$S := cs \quad \text{and} \quad s(z) := \sum_k z_k \quad (z \in cs), \tag{1.2}$$

then E_{SK} is the subspace of all elements of E which are the weak limits of their sections.

Let $A = (a_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix. We put $a^{(n)} := (a_{nk})_k$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) and

$$\omega_{\mathfrak{A}} := \bigcap \left\{ \{a^{(n)}\}^S \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

For a sequence space F we define

$$F_{\mathfrak{A}} := \left\{ x \in \omega_{\mathfrak{A}} \mid \mathfrak{A}x := (s(a^{(n)} x)) \in F \right\}.$$

If E is a sequence space with $E \subset F_{\mathfrak{A}}$, then the linear map

$$\mathfrak{A} : E \rightarrow F, \quad x \mapsto \mathfrak{A}x$$

is called a *quasi-matrix map*.

Proposition 1.1 (cf. Proposition 4.2 in [1]). *Let E and F be K -spaces. Each of the following statements implies the continuity of any quasi-matrix map $\mathfrak{A} : E \rightarrow F$:*

(a) *S and F are separable FK -spaces, E is a Mackey space and $(E', \sigma(E', E))$ is sequentially complete.*

(b) *S and F are FK -spaces and E is barrelled.*

Remark 1.2. In Proposition 4.2 of [1] the statements in Proposition 1.1 are proved in a more general situation where S and F are assumed to be L_φ - and A_φ -spaces, respectively. Note that any FK -space is an A_φ -space and each separable FK -space is an L_φ -space. For the notion of L_φ - and A_φ -spaces we refer to [10].

If S and E are (separable) FK -spaces, topologized, respectively, by families \mathcal{Q} and \mathcal{P} of seminorms, then $E_{\mathfrak{A}}$ is a (separable) FK -space with the family of seminorms (cf. [1], Proposition 4.4 and Remark 4.5)

$$\begin{aligned} r_k : E_{\mathfrak{A}} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad x \mapsto r_k(x) := |x_k| && (k \in \mathbb{N}), \\ q \circ \text{diag}_{a^{(n)}} : E_{\mathfrak{A}} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad x \mapsto q(a^{(n)}x) && (q \in \mathcal{Q}, n \in \mathbb{N}), \\ p \circ \mathfrak{A} : E_{\mathfrak{A}} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad x \mapsto p(\mathfrak{A}x) && (p \in \mathcal{P}). \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\text{diag}_{a^{(n)}}$ denotes the diagonal matrix with the diagonal $a^{(n)}$.

Obviously, $\varphi \subset c_{\mathfrak{A}}$ holds if and only if $a_k := \lim_n a_{nk}$ exists for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Further, A is said to be an Sp_1 -matrix if $a := (a_k) = e := (1, 1, \dots)$, and it is called an Sp_1^* -matrix if, in addition, each column of A belongs to bv . For example, the summation matrix $\Sigma = (\sigma_{nk})$, with $\sigma_{nk} = 1$ for $k \leq n$ and $\sigma_{nk} = 0$ otherwise, is an Sp_1^* -matrix. Note that in the case of (1.2) a quasi-matrix map \mathfrak{A} is the matrix map A (cf. (1.1)) and we write E_A instead of $E_{\mathfrak{A}}$. It is well known that the convergence domain c_A of any matrix A is a separable FK -space.

2. PROPERTIES $AK(S)$ AND $AB(S)$

A typical sum s on a K -space S has often the representation

$$s(z) = \lim_{\gamma \in \Gamma} s_\gamma(z) \quad \text{with} \quad s_\gamma(z) := \sum_k v_{\gamma k} z_k \quad (z \in S), \quad (2.1)$$

where Γ is a directed index set and $v_\gamma := (v_{\gamma k})_k \in \varphi$ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$. (Note, since s is a sum, we have $1 = s(e^k) = \lim_\gamma v_{\gamma k}$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$).) In particular, s is of that type if Δ is any directed set and R is a further non-empty set, and if s satisfies for all $z \in S$ the condition

$$s(z) := \lim_{\delta \in \Delta} \sum_k v_{\delta k}^\rho z_k \quad \text{uniformly in } \rho \in R, \quad (2.2)$$

where $(v_{\delta k}^\rho)_k \in \varphi$ for $\delta \in \Delta$ and $\rho \in R$. (To see this, consider in (2.1) the set $\Gamma := \Delta \times R$ with the natural partial order defined by that of Δ .)

In the sequel we assume that the sum $s \in S'$ is defined by (2.1) and, in addition, that

$$S = \left\{ z \in \omega \mid \text{the net } \left(\sum_k v_{\gamma k} z_k \right)_\gamma \text{ is bounded and convergent} \right\}. \quad (2.3)$$

We observe that $(S, \|\cdot\|_S)$ is a *BK*-space, where

$$\|z\|_S := \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |s_\gamma(z)| \quad (z \in S).$$

Let ω_Γ denote the Hausdorff locally convex space of all scalar nets $(u_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ equipped with the product topology. The vector subspace c_Γ of all bounded convergent nets is a Banach space with the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ defined by $\|(u_\gamma)\|_\infty := \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |u_\gamma|$ ($(u_\gamma) \in c_\Gamma$). Obviously, $S = V^{-1}(c_\Gamma)$ and $\|\cdot\|_S = \|\cdot\|_\infty \circ V$, where V is the continuous linear map

$$V : \omega \longrightarrow \omega_\Gamma, \quad z \longmapsto (s_\gamma(z)).$$

By Theorem 5 of [11] S , together with the family of seminorms $\{\|\cdot\|_S\} \cup \{r_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$, is an *FK*-space. Since $\sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |v_{\gamma k}| \neq 0$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we get that $(S, \|\cdot\|_S)$ is even a *BK*-space.

We illustrate this situation with the following examples.

Example 2.1. If $\Gamma := \mathbb{N}$, then the sum (2.1) has the form

$$s(z) = \lim_n \sum_k v_{nk} z_k \quad (z \in S),$$

and from (2.3) we get $S = c_V$. Thereby $V = (v_{nk})$ is a row-finite Sp_1 -matrix (cf. Case 1 in [1]).

Example 2.2. We consider another important example of this situation (cf. Case 2 in [1]). Let $\Gamma := \Phi$, the collection of all finite subsets of \mathbb{N} directed by the set inclusion, and let $T = (t_{nk})$ be a row-finite Sp_1^* -matrix. Put

$$S := bv_T \quad \text{and} \quad s(z) := \lim_{F \in \Phi} \sum_{i \in F} (t_{ik} - t_{i-1,k}) z_k \quad (z \in bv_T). \quad (2.4)$$

Then the sum s has the representation (2.1) with $v_{Fk} := \sum_{i \in F} (t_{ik} - t_{i-1,k})$ ($F \in \Phi$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$), and the condition (2.3) is satisfied. Note that $\sum_k |(Tz)_i - (Tz)_{i-1}| = \sum_i |\sum_k (t_{ik} - t_{i-1,k}) z_k| < \infty$, which implies $s(z) = \sum_i ((Tz)_i - (Tz)_{i-1}) = \lim_i (Tz)_i = \lim_T z$ for each $z \in bv_T$.

In the particular case of $T = \Sigma$ we obviously have

$$S = \ell \quad \text{and} \quad s(z) = \lim_{F \in \Phi} \sum_{i \in F} z_i = \sum_i z_i \quad (z \in \ell). \quad (2.5)$$

Example 2.3. We use the notation (cf. [12])

$$\mathcal{M}_u := \left\{ y = (y_{nr})_{n,r \in \mathbb{N}} \mid \sup_{n,r} |y_{nr}| < \infty \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{F} := \left\{ y \in \mathcal{M}_u \mid \exists b_y \in \mathbb{K} : \lim_n y_{nr} = b_y \text{ uniformly in } r \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{V} = (V^{(r)})$ be a sequence of row-finite matrices with the property

$$\lim_n v_{nk}^{(r)} = 1 \text{ uniformly in } r \in \mathbb{N} \text{ for each } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For any $z = (z_k) \in \omega$ we put $\mathcal{V}z := \left(\sum_k v_{nk}^{(r)} z_k \right)_{nr}$ and use the notation

$$S := \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{V}} := \left\{ z \in \omega \mid \mathcal{V}z \in \mathcal{F} \right\},$$

$$s(z) := \mathcal{F}\text{-}\lim \mathcal{V}z := \lim_n \sum_k v_{nk}^{(r)} z_k \text{ uniformly in } r \in \mathbb{N} \quad (z \in S). \quad (2.6)$$

Then the sum s is defined in the sense of (2.2) and the condition (2.3) is satisfied.

Let a K -space S be equipped with a sum (2.1). Then, for each $x = (x_k)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the sequence

$$P_\gamma(x) := \sum_k v_{\gamma k} x_k e^k \quad (\gamma \in \Gamma)$$

is called the γ th S -section of x . If E is a K -space containing φ , we define

$$E_{AB(S)} := \left\{ x \in \omega \mid (P_\gamma(x))_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \text{ is a bounded net in } E \right\},$$

$$E_{AK(S)} := \left\{ x \in E_{AB(S)} \cap E \mid \lim_\gamma P_\gamma(x) \text{ exists in } E \right\}.$$

E is said to be an $AB(S)$ -space if $E \subset E_{AB(S)}$ and an $AK(S)$ -space if $E = E_{AK(S)}$. Obviously, $\lim_\gamma P_\gamma(x) = x$ in E for every $x \in E_{AK(S)}$. This implies that

$$f(x) = \lim_\gamma \sum_k v_{\gamma k} x_k f(e^k) \quad (x \in E_{AK(S)})$$

for every $f \in E'$. From (2.3) we get $E_{AK(S)} \cdot E^f \subset S$ and $f(x) = s(u_f x)$ ($x \in E_{AK(S)}$, $f \in E'$). Consequently, $(E, \sigma(E, E'))_{AK(S)} = (E, \sigma(E, E'))_{SK} = (E, \tau_E)_{SK}$ and $(E, \sigma(E, E^S))$ is an $AK(S)$ -space. On account of (2.3) we have $E_{SK} \subset E_{AB(S)}$. Therefore

$$\varphi \subset E_{AK(S)} \subset E_{SK} \subset E_{AB(S)}.$$

We remark that $E_{AB(S)} = (E^f)^{\tilde{S}}$, where

$$\tilde{S} := \left\{ z \in \omega \mid \sup_{\gamma} \left| \sum_k v_{\gamma k} z_k \right| < \infty \right\}.$$

The following proposition is a direct generalization of the corresponding result in the “classical” case (1.2) (cf. [13], Corollary 1 of Proposition 5). Therefore we omit the proof.

Proposition 2.4. *For a barrelled K -space E containing φ the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) E is an $AK(S)$ -space.
- (b) E is an SK -space.
- (c) E is an AD - and $AB(S)$ -space.

3. INCLUSION THEOREMS

From Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 of [1] we verify the following inclusion theorems of Bennett–Kalton type.

Theorem 3.1 (cf. [1], Theorem 5.1). *Let S be a separable FK -space with a sum $s \in S'$. For a sequence space E containing φ the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) $(E^S, \sigma(E^S, E))$ is sequentially complete.
- (b) Any quasi-matrix map $\mathfrak{A} : (E, \tau(E, E^S)) \rightarrow F$ is continuous whenever F is a separable FK -space.
- (c) The implication $E \subset F \Rightarrow E \subset F_{SK}$ holds whenever F is a separable FK -space.

Theorem 3.2 (cf. [1], Theorem 5.2). *Let S be an FK -space with a sum $s \in S'$. For a sequence space E containing φ the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) $(E, \tau(E, E^S))$ is barrelled.
- (b) Any quasi-matrix map $\mathfrak{A} : (E, \tau(E, E^S)) \rightarrow F$ is continuous whenever F is an FK -space.
- (c) The implication $E \subset F \Rightarrow E \subset F_{SK}$ holds whenever F is an FK -space.

Now we assume that S and the sum s are given by (2.1) and (2.3). This enables us to complete Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 3.3. *For any sequence space E containing φ each of the statements (a), (b), and (c) of Theorem 3.2 is equivalent to*

- (d) The implication $E \subset F \Rightarrow E \subset F_{AK(S)}$ holds whenever F is an FK -space.

Proof. Clearly, (d) \Rightarrow (c). Conversely, if (c) holds, then $(E, \tau(E, E^S))$ is barrelled. Thus, since $(E, \tau(E, E^S))$ is an SK -space, it has the

$AK(S)$ -property by Proposition 2.4. Therefore $E \subset F_{AK(S)}$ since the inclusion map $i : (E, \tau(E, E^S)) \rightarrow F$ is continuous. \square

The next theorem extends a further inclusion theorem due to Bennett and Kalton (cf. [3], Theorem 6, and also [14,15]).

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose that a BK -space S and a sum $s \in S'$ is defined by (2.1) and (2.3), where the index set Γ contains a cofinal sequence (γ_n) . Let S be separable. For a sequence space E containing φ the following statements are equivalent:*

(a) $(E, \tau(E, E^S))$ is an $AK(S)$ -space and $(E^S, \sigma(E^S, E))$ is sequentially complete.

(b) The implication $E \subset F \Rightarrow E \subset F_{AK(S)}$ holds whenever F is a separable FK -space.

(c) The implication $E \subset c_{\mathfrak{A}} \Rightarrow E \subset (c_{\mathfrak{A}})_{AK(S)}$ holds for every quasi-matrix map \mathfrak{A} .

Proof.

(a) \Rightarrow (b): By Theorem 3.1, the inclusion map $i : (E, \tau(E, E^S)) \rightarrow F$ is continuous for each separable FK -space F . Since $(E, \tau(E, E^S))$ has the $AK(S)$ -property, we get $E \subset F_{AK(S)}$.

(b) \Rightarrow (c) is valid, since $c_{\mathfrak{A}}$ is a separable FK -space.

(c) \Rightarrow (a): We first remark that (c) implies the sequential completeness of $(E^S, \sigma(E^S, E))$ on account of Theorem 3.1. Assume that $(E, \tau(E, E^S))$ is not an $AK(S)$ -space. Then there exist an $x \in E$ and an absolutely convex $\sigma(E^S, E)$ -compact subset $K \subset E^S$ such that

$$\sup_{a \in K} |s(a(x - P_{\gamma_n}(x)))| \not\rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Therefore we may choose an index sequence (n_ν) and a sequence $(a^{(\nu)})$ in K such that

$$|s(a^{(\nu)}(x - P_{\gamma_{n_\nu}}(x)))| \geq \varepsilon > 0 \quad (\nu \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (3.1)$$

Since K is $\sigma(E^S, E)$ -compact, it is $\sigma(E^S, E)$ -sequentially compact (cf. [16], Theorem 3.10). Thus we may assume without loss of generality that $(a^{(\nu)})$ is $\sigma(E^S, E)$ -convergent. (Otherwise we switch over to a subsequence of $(a^{(\nu)})$.)

Now, if A denotes the matrix given by $a_{ik} := a_k^{(i)}$ ($i, k \in \mathbb{N}$), then the last assumption gives us $E \subset c_{\mathfrak{A}}$. From (c) we get $E \subset (c_{\mathfrak{A}})_{AK(S)}$ contradicting (3.1). So (c) \Rightarrow (a). \square

We now examine the $AK(S)$ -property of K -spaces for certain K -spaces S . For that, throughout this section, let E be a sequence space containing φ .

Example 3.5. Let $S = c_T$ and $s(z) = \lim_T z$ ($z \in c_T$), where $T = (t_{nk})$ is a row-finite S_{p_1} -matrix. The S -sections introduced above are the Toeplitz sections. Then

the $AK(c_T)$ -property is just the TK -property, that is the T -sectional convergence in the sense of Buntinas [5] and Meyers [17]. Recall that

$$F_{TK} := \left\{ x \in F \mid \sum_k t_{nk} x_k e^k \longrightarrow x \text{ in } (F, \tau_F) \right\},$$

where (F, τ_F) is a K -space containing φ . Furthermore, we have

$$E^{c_T} = E^{\beta(T)} := \left\{ u \in \omega \mid \forall x \in E : \lim_n \sum_k t_{nk} u_k x_k \text{ exists} \right\}.$$

As a consequence of Theorem 3.3 we get:

$(E, \tau(E, E^{\beta(T)}))$ is barrelled if and only if the implication $E \subset F \Rightarrow E \subset F_{TK}$ holds for every FK -space F .

From Theorem 3.4 we conclude:

$(E, \tau(E, E^{\beta(T)}))$ enjoys the TK -property and $(E^{\beta(T)}, \sigma(E^{\beta(T)}, E))$ is sequentially complete if and only if the implication $E \subset F \Rightarrow E \subset F_{TK}$ holds for each separable FK -space F .

Example 3.6. In the situation of (2.4) we have

$$E^{bv_T} = E^{\alpha(T)} := \left\{ u \in \omega \mid \forall x \in E : \sum_i \left| \sum_k (t_{ik} - t_{i-1,k}) u_k x_k \right| < \infty \right\}.$$

Moreover, the $AK(bv_T)$ -property is just the UTK -property, which is, in turn, the unconditional T -sectional convergence (cf. Fleming [18], DeFranza and Fleming [19]). It is easy to establish the Inclusion Theorems 3.3 and 3.4 in this context. We consider here the important special case of (2.5). Then $E^\ell = E^\alpha$ and the $AK(\ell)$ -property is the UAK -property (cf. Sember [20], Sember and Raphael [21]). Remember, for any K -space (F, τ_F) containing φ , the notation

$$E_{UAK} := \left\{ x \in E \mid \sum_{k \in \mathcal{F}} x_k e^k \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} x(\tau_E) \right\},$$

where \mathcal{F} is the collection of all finite subsets of \mathbb{N} directed by the set inclusion.

From Theorem 3.3 we derive:

$(E, \tau(E, E^\alpha))$ is barrelled if and only if the implication $E \subset F \Rightarrow E \subset F_{UAK}$ holds for every FK -space F .

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.4.

Corollary 3.7. *The implication $E \subset F \Rightarrow E \subset F_{UAK}$ holds for every separable FK -space F if and only if $(E, \tau(E, E^\alpha))$ has the UAK -property and $(E^\alpha, \sigma(E^\alpha, E))$ is sequentially complete.*

Example 3.8. Let \mathcal{A} be the sequence of the matrices $A^{(r)} = (a_{nk}^{(r)})_{n,k}$ with

$$a_{nk}^{(r)} := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } r \leq k \leq r + n - 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (n, k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

We define

$$S := fs := \left\{ z \in \omega \mid \exists b_z \in \mathbb{K} : \lim_n \sum_k a_{nk}^{(r)} \sum_{i=1}^k z_i = b_z \text{ uniformly in } r \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

and put $f\text{-}\sum_i z_i = b_z$ when $z \in fs$. Note that $S = \Sigma^{-1}f$, where f stands for the BK -space of all almost convergent sequences. We have

$$E^S = E^{fs} = \left\{ u \in \omega \mid \forall x \in E : f\text{-}\sum_k u_k x_k \text{ exists} \right\},$$

and particularly $bv^{fs} = fs$ in the case $E = bv$. Now, if we put $s(z) := f\text{-}\sum_i z_i$ ($z \in fs$), then s has the representation (2.6) with $\mathcal{V} = (A^{(r)}\Sigma)$.

If F is a K -space containing φ , then

$$\begin{aligned} F_{AB(fs)} &= \left\{ x \in \omega \mid \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=r}^{r+n-1} \sum_{i=1}^k x_i e^i \right)_{n,r} \text{ is bounded in } F \right\} \\ &= \left\{ x \in \omega \mid \forall f \in F' : \sup_{n,r} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=r}^{r+n-1} \sum_{i=1}^k x_i f(e^i) \right| < \infty \right\} \\ &= \left\{ x \in \omega \mid \forall f \in F' : \sup_k \left| \sum_{i=1}^k x_i f(e^i) \right| < \infty \right\} = F_{AB}. \end{aligned}$$

We introduce the properties $fSAK$ and fAK with respect to F as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{fSAK} &:= F_{fsK} \\ &= \left\{ x \in F \mid \forall f \in F' : u_f x \in fs \text{ and } f(x) = f - \sum_k x_k f(e^k) \right\}, \\ F_{fAK} &:= F_{AK(fs)} \\ &= \left\{ x \in F \mid \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=r}^{r+n-1} \sum_{i=1}^k x_i e^{(i)} \rightarrow x(\tau_E) \text{ uniformly in } r \in \mathbb{N} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the fAK -property, that is, *the almost sectional convergence*, differs from the AK -property: If, for instance, $E := c_B$ with $B := \Sigma^{-1}$, then $e = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$ belongs to $(c_B)_{fAK}$ but not to $(c_B)_{AK} = c_0$. For a

matrix $A = (a_{nk})$ the corresponding quasi-matrix map \mathfrak{A} is defined by $\mathfrak{A}x = (f - \sum_k a_{nk}x_k)_n$. From Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 we conclude:

Theorem 3.9. *For a sequence space E containing φ the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) $(E, \tau(E, E^{fs}))$ is barrelled.
- (b) Any quasi-matrix map $\mathfrak{A} : (E, \tau(E, E^{fs})) \rightarrow F$, $x \mapsto (f - \sum_k a_{nk}x_k)_n$ is continuous whenever F is an FK -space.
- (c) The implication $E \subset F \Rightarrow E \subset F_{fSAK}$ holds whenever F is an FK -space.
- (d) The implication $E \subset F \Rightarrow E \subset F_{fAK}$ holds whenever F is an FK -space.

Remark 3.10. Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 and the corresponding assertions in Examples 3.5, 3.6, and 3.8 (including Theorem 3.9) remain true if we replace “ FK -space” by “ A_φ -space”. Analogously, in Theorems 3.1 and 3.4 and in the corresponding statements in 3.5 and 3.6 we may replace “separable FK -space” by “ L_φ -space”.

4. $AK(S)$ -BARRELLED SPACES

If E is a K -space, then we have $\varphi \subset E'$, that is, more precisely, $\text{span}\{\pi_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset E'$. Moreover, if $(E', \sigma(E', E))$ is sequentially complete (in particular, if E is barrelled), then $E^\beta \subset E'$, that is, for each $u \in E^\beta$, the linear functional, defined by $x \mapsto \sum_k u_k x_k$, is continuous on E . Obviously, the sequential completeness of $(E', \sigma(E', E))$ is not a necessary condition for that inclusion. The aim of this section is to give a topological characterization of the inclusion $E^S \subset E'$, where S is a BK -space equipped with a sum $s \in S'$ given by (2.1) and (2.3). *In addition, we assume that S is an $AK(S)$ -space.*

Let E be a K -space containing φ . Let U be a barrel in E and let E_U denote the seminormed space (E, p_U) , where p_U is the Minkowski functional with respect to U .

Definition 4.1. *A barrel U in a K -space E is called an $AK(S)$ -barrel if E_U is an $AK(S)$ -space.*

Let U^\oplus denote the polar of U with respect to the dual pair (E, E^*) , where E^* is the algebraic dual of E . Then we have $E'_U = \text{span } U^\oplus$ for each barrel U in E . Now, if U is an $AK(S)$ -barrel, then

$$\forall x \in E_U \forall f \in E'_U : u_f x \in S \text{ and } f(x) = s(u_f x).$$

Thus, $U^\oplus \subset E^S$ and

$$\lim_\gamma \sup_{u \in U^\oplus} |\lim_{\rho \in \Gamma} \sum_k v_{\rho k} u_k (x - P_\gamma(x))_k| = \lim_\gamma p_U(x - P_\gamma(x)) = 0$$

for each $x \in E$.

Let now $u \in E^S$ be fixed. We put $B := \{P_\gamma(u) \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\} \subset \varphi$ and $U := B^\circ$, where $^\circ$ stands for the polar with respect to the dual pair (E, E') . Since

S is an $AK(S)$ -space, $\{s \circ P_\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ is bounded in $(S', \sigma(S', S))$. Hence $\sup_\gamma |\langle x, P_\gamma(u) \rangle| = \sup_\gamma |s \circ P_\gamma(ux)| < \infty$ for each $x \in E$. Then B is $\sigma(E', E)$ -bounded; thus U is a barrel in E . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} p_U(x - P_\rho(x)) &= \sup_{y \in B^{\circ\circ}} |s(y(x - P_\rho(x)))| = \sup_\gamma |s(P_\gamma(u)(x - P_\rho(x)))| \\ &= \sup_\gamma \left| \lim_{\eta \in \Gamma} \sum_k v_{\eta k} v_{\gamma k} u_k [x - P_\rho(x)]_k \right| \\ &= \sup_\gamma \left| \sum_k v_{\gamma k} [ux - P_\rho(ux)]_k \right| \\ &= \|ux - P_\rho(ux)\|_S \xrightarrow{\rho} 0 \end{aligned}$$

for each $x \in E$. Therefore, U is an $AK(S)$ -barrel. We summarize our observations in the following proposition.

Proposition 4.2. *Let E be a K -space with $\varphi \subset E$. Then for every $u \in E^S$, the polar $\{P_\gamma(u) \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}^\circ$ is an $AK(S)$ -barrel in E .*

Definition 4.3. *A K -space E containing φ is said to be $AK(S)$ -barrelled if each $AK(S)$ -barrel in E is a τ_E -neighbourhood of 0 .*

The following theorem answers the question stated above.

Theorem 4.4. *For any K -space E with $\varphi \subset E$ the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) $E^S \subset E'$, i.e., the functional, defined by $x \mapsto s(ux)$, is continuous on E for each $u \in E^S$.
- (b) $(E, \tau(E, E'))$ is $AK(S)$ -barrelled.
- (c) $\{P_\gamma(u) \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ is $\tau(E, E')$ -equicontinuous for each $u \in E^S$.

Proof.

(a) \Rightarrow (b): Let $E^S \subset E'$ and let U be an $AK(S)$ -barrel in E . Then $U^\circ \subset U^\oplus \subset E^S$. We have to prove the $\sigma(E^S, E)$ -compactness of U° . Since in K -spaces compactness and sequential compactness coincide (cf. [16], Theorem 3.10), it is sufficient to show that U° is $\sigma(E^S, E)$ -sequentially compact in E^S .

To that end, let $(a^{(n)})$ with $a^{(n)} = (a_k^{(n)})_k$ be a sequence in U° . It is $\sigma(E^S, E)$ -bounded and therefore coordinatewise bounded. Thus, without loss of generality, we may assume that $(a^{(n)})$ converges coordinatewise to an $a \in \omega$. We have $\sup_n |s(a^{(n)}x)| < \infty$ ($x \in E$), therefore $E \subset m_{\mathfrak{A}}$, where \mathfrak{A} is the quasi-matrix map defined by the matrix $A := (a_k^{(n)})$. We show that $E \subset (m_{\mathfrak{A}})_{AK(S)}$. Obviously,

for every $x \in m_{\mathfrak{A}}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} x \in (m_{\mathfrak{A}})_{AK(S)} &\iff \text{(i)} \quad \sup_n |s(a^{(n)}(x - P_{\gamma}(x)))| \xrightarrow{\gamma} 0, \\ &\text{(ii)} \quad \left\| a^{(n)}x - P_{\gamma}(a^{(n)}x) \right\|_S \xrightarrow{\gamma} 0 \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}), \\ &\text{(iii)} \quad r_k(x - P_{\gamma}(x)) \xrightarrow{\gamma} 0 \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}). \end{aligned}$$

Thereby, condition (iii) is clearly satisfied, and (ii) holds by the $AK(S)$ -property of S . Since U is an $AK(S)$ -barrel, condition (i) is satisfied. Namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_n |s(a^{(n)}(x - P_{\gamma}(x)))| &= \sup_n \left| \lim_{\rho \in \Gamma} \sum_k v_{\rho k} a_k^{(n)} [x - P_{\gamma}(x)]_k \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{v \in U^{\oplus}} \left| \lim_{\rho} \sum_k v_{\rho k} v_k [x - P_{\gamma}(x)]_k \right| \\ &= p_U(x - P_{\gamma}(x)) \xrightarrow{\gamma} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Altogether, $E \subset (m_{\mathfrak{A}})_{AK(S)} = (c_{\mathfrak{A}})_{AK(S)} \subset (c_{\mathfrak{A}})_{SK}$. Then $ax \in S$ and $\lim_{\mathfrak{A}} x = s(ax)$ for each $x \in E$. This implies $a \in E^S$ and $a^{(n)} \rightarrow a(\sigma(E^S, E))$. Hence U° is $\sigma(E^S, E)$ -sequentially compact.

(b) \Rightarrow (c): By Proposition 4.2, $\{P_{\gamma}(u) \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}^{\circ}$ is an $AK(S)$ -barrel for each $u \in E^S$. On account of (b) it is a $\tau(E, E')$ -neighbourhood of 0; thus $\{P_{\gamma}(u) \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ is $\tau(E, E')$ -equicontinuous.

(c) \Rightarrow (a): Let $u \in E^S$, then $\{P_{\gamma}(u) \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ is $\tau(E, E')$ -equicontinuous. For each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\tau(E, E')$ -neighbourhood V of 0 in E with $|\sum_k v_{\gamma k} u_k x_k| \leq \epsilon$ ($x \in V, \gamma \in \Gamma$). That yields $|s(ux)| = |\lim_{\gamma} \sum_k v_{\gamma k} u_k x_k| \leq \epsilon$ ($x \in V$), which proves that the linear functional, defined by $x \mapsto s(ux)$, is continuous on $(E, \tau(E, E'))$. \square

It is an easy task to verify Theorem 4.4 for the particular spaces $S = c_T$ and $S = bv_T$ discussed above. We consider here the two most important special cases of (1.2) and (2.5).

If $S = cs$, $AK(S)$ -barrels are said to be AK -barrels (cf. Schaefer [6]). A barrel U in a K -space E is an AK -barrel if and only if $U^{\oplus} \subset E^{\beta}$ and the series $\sum_k u_k x_k$ converges uniformly in $u \in U^{\oplus}$ for each $x \in E$. The AK -barrels differ from the other barrels by their ‘‘toleration’’ of the sectional convergence. If \mathcal{B} is a neighbourhood basis of 0 in E consisting of barrels, then E is an AK -space if and only if each $U \in \mathcal{B}$ is an AK -barrel. This yields that the strongest AK -topology on a sequence space E is defined by the neighbourhood basis \mathcal{B}_0 of 0, where $\mathcal{B}_0 := \{U \subset E \mid U \text{ is a } \sigma(E, E^{\beta})\text{-}AK\text{-barrel}\}$ (cf. [6]). So we can formulate Theorem 4.4 in the special case $S = cs$.

Theorem 4.5. For any K -space E containing φ the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) $E^\beta \subset E'$.
- (b) $(E, \tau(E, E'))$ is AK -barrelled.
- (c) $\{u^{[n]} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is $\tau(E, E')$ -equicontinuous for each $u \in E^\beta$.

In the case $S = \ell$, $AK(S)$ -barrels are called UAK -barrels. A barrel U in a K -space E is a UAK -barrel if and only if $U^\oplus \subset E^\alpha$ and the series $\sum_k u_k x_k$ converges unconditionally and uniformly in $u \in U^\oplus$ for each $x \in E$. Obviously, E is a UAK -space if and only if there exists a neighbourhood basis of 0 consisting of UAK -barrels. The strongest UAK -topology on a sequence space E is defined by the neighbourhood basis of 0 consisting of all $\sigma(E, E^\alpha)$ - UAK -barrels. By that, Theorem 4.4 has the following form:

Theorem 4.6. For any K -space E containing φ the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) $E^\alpha \subset E'$.
- (b) $(E, \tau(E, E'))$ is UAK -barrelled.
- (c) $\{\sum_{k \in F} u_k e^k \mid F \in \Phi\}$ is $\tau(E, E')$ -equicontinuous for each $u \in E^\alpha$.
- (d) $\{u^{[n]} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is $\tau(E, E')$ -equicontinuous for each $u \in E^\alpha$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The present work was supported by Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst (DAAD) and the Estonian Science Foundation (grant No. 3991).

REFERENCES

1. Boos, J. and Leiger, T. Dual pairs of sequence spaces. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 2001 (in press).
2. Ruckle, W. H. An abstract concept of the sum of a numerical series. *Can. J. Math.*, 1970, **22**, 863–874.
3. Bennett, G. and Kalton, N. J. Inclusion theorems for K -spaces. *Can. J. Math.*, 1973, **25**, 511–524.
4. Grosse-Erdmann, K.-G. Matrix transformations involving analytic sequence spaces. *Math. Z.*, 1992, **209**, 499–510.
5. Buntinas, M. On Toeplitz sections in sequence spaces. *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.*, 1975, **78**, 451–460.
6. Schaefer, H. H. Sequence spaces with a given Köthe β -dual. *Math. Ann.*, 1970, **189**, 235–241.
7. Wilansky, A. *Modern Methods in Topological Vector Spaces*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1978.
8. Wilansky, A. Summability through functional analysis. *North-Holland Math. Stud.*, 1984, **91** (also: *Notas Mat.* (Amsterdam, Netherlands), 1984, **85**).
9. Boos, J. *Classical and Modern Methods in Summability*. Oxford Univ. Pr., New York, 2000.

10. Boos, J. and Leiger, T. “Restricted” closed graph theorems. *Z. Anal. Anw.*, 1997, **16**, 503–518.
11. Wilansky, A. and Zeller, K. FH-spaces and intersections of FK-spaces. *Michigan Math. J.*, 1959, **6**, 349–357.
12. Boos, J., Leiger, T. and Zeller, K. Consistency theory for SM-methods. *Acta Math. Hung.*, 1997, **76**, 83–116.
13. Garling, D. J. H. On topological sequence spaces. *Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.*, 1967, **63**, 997–1019.
14. Boos, J. and Fleming, D. J. Gliding hump properties and some applications. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 1995, **18**, 121–132.
15. Boos, J., Fleming, D. J. and Leiger, T. Sequence spaces with oscillating properties. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 1996, **200**, 519–537.
16. Kamthan, P. K. and Gupta, M. *Sequence Spaces and Series*. Marcel Dekker, New York, 1981.
17. Meyers, G. On Toeplitz sections in FK -spaces. *Studia Math.*, 1974, **51**, 23–33.
18. Fleming, D. J. Unconditional Toeplitz sections in sequence spaces. *Math. Z.*, 1987, **194**, 405–414.
19. DeFranza, J. and Fleming, D. J. Sequence spaces and summability factors. *Math. Z.*, 1988, **199**, 99–108.
20. Sember, J. On unconditional section boundedness in sequence spaces. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 1977, **7**, 699–706.
21. Sember, J. and Raphael, M. The unrestricted section properties of sequences. *Can. J. Math.*, 1979, **31**, 331–336.

Jadaruumide duaalsed paarid. II

Johann Boos ja Toivo Leiger

Autorid jätkavad varasemas töös [1] alustatud duaalsete paaride (E, E^S) uurimist. E tähistab jadaruumi, S on selline K -ruum, milles on defineeritud Ruckle'i üldistatud summa s , ja E^S on vastavate faktorjadade ruum. Siinses artiklis on vaadeldud kõige sagedamini esinevat erijuhtu, kus summa on esitatud kujul $s(z) = \lim_{\gamma} \sum_k v_{\gamma k} z_k$ ($z \in S$), indeksite γ hulk Γ on suunatud ja $(v_{\gamma k})_k$ on iga $\gamma \in \Gamma$ puhul lõplik jada. Niisuguse esituse abil on defineeritud jadade S -lõiked ning uuritud nende koonduvust $(AK(S))$ ja tõkestatust $(AB(S))$ mingis K -ruumis E . Selles kontekstis on tõestatud kõigepealt tuntud Bennetti–Kaltoni sisalduvusteoreemid. Teiseks, lähtudes Schaeferi “lõikekoonduvustünni” (*section convergence barrel*) mõistest, on defineeritud $AK(S)$ -tünniruumid ja tõestatud, et Mackey K -ruum E on $AK(S)$ -tünniruum parajasti siis, kui kehtib sisalduvus $E^S \subset E'$. Artikli põhitulemuste rakendamisel saadakse rida väiteid Köthe–Toeplitzi kaasruumide ja lõigetega seotud omaduste kohta konkreetsetes situatsioonides, näiteks $\beta(T)$ -kaasruumi ja STK -omaduse seostest (need mõisted on tuntud Buntinase ja Meyersi töödest).