

УДК 541.II

L. KUDRYAVTSEVA, M. KUUS, Helle KIRSS, I. VINK

## EFFECT OF MOLECULAR STRUCTURE ON THE EXCESS ENTHALPIES OF MIXTURES WITH UNSATURATED HYDROCARBONS

(Presented by O. Eisen)

In this work excess enthalpies,  $H^E$ , for unsaturated hydrocarbon mixtures with some solvents of different polarity have been measured. We aimed at making a comparison between experimental results for the binary mixtures containing unsaturated hydrocarbons of different structure.

A survey of literature revealed that experimental data are very scarce for this class of mixtures. At present the lack of suitable experimental results hinders the interpretation and prediction of  $H^E$  for the above mixtures using the models under development.

### Experimental

The procedure using a differential microcalorimeter has been described in [1]. The errors of the observed values were lower than 2 per cent over the whole mole fraction range. For all the mixtures investigated in this work, except 2-octyne—1-octanol,  $H^E$  was measured at 298.15 K only and at atmospheric pressure. For the 2-octyne—1-octanol mixtures the results at 318.15 K have been given too.

*cis*-4-Octene, methylcyclohexene, ethylcyclopentene, butylcyclopentene and isomeric octynes were synthesized in our laboratory \* and fractionally distilled in a 50-plate Teflon bristle-rotor column [2] to 98.6 per cent and higher purity as detected by gas liquid chromatography on PEG-20M and Squalan capillary columns using H<sub>2</sub> flame ionization detector. Reference should be made to earlier papers [3–5].

*n*-Heptane, *n*-nonane, 1-propanol («puriss grade») were purchased from Reakhim (USSR) and used without further purification.

Cyclohexane, 1-butanol, 2-propen-1-ol, 1-octanol, ketones and dimethyl sulphoxide («pure grade») were twice fractionally distilled with the same column to 99.8 per cent and higher estimated from their capillary chromatograms.

### Results and discussion

Table 1 presents experimental results for the molar excess enthalpies of the 24 binary systems formed by mixing methylcyclohexene and ethylcyclopentene with *n*-heptane, butylcyclopentene with *n*-nonane, *cis*-4-octene and methylcyclohexene with cyclohexane, 1-octene and 1-octyne with ethylbenzene, methylcyclohexene, 1-octene, 1-octyne and 2-octyne with methyl butyl ketone, 1-octene with methyl isobutyl ketone, 1-octene with

\* The laboratory of physicochemical investigations.

Table 1

Experimental excess molar enthalpies  $HE$  ( $\text{J}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ ) at 298.15 K

$x_1$	$HE$	$x_1$	$HE$	$x_1$	$HE$
1. 1-Methylcyclohexene(1)— <i>n</i> -heptane(2)		0.505 0.515 0.576 0.672 0.756	163 164 149 119 89	11. 1-Octyne(1)—methyl butyl ketone(2)	
0.104 0.192 0.255 0.320 0.417 0.468 0.532 0.735 0.884	33 63 64 75 94 98 86 80 38	0.152 0.176 0.278 0.338 0.387 0.611 0.616 0.733	—96 —113 —146 —159 —153 —117 —113 —87	12. 2-Octyne(1)—methyl butyl ketone(2)	
2. 1-Ethylcyclopentene(1)— <i>n</i> -heptane(2)		0.340 0.438 0.469 0.523 0.602 0.676 0.680 0.718 0.814 0.880	215 277 297 296 299 281 253 239 231 164 110	0.114 0.178 0.199 0.244 0.373 0.609 0.628 0.694 0.836 0.886	76 144 152 193 267 308 303 284 190 155
3. 1-Butylcyclopentene(1)— <i>n</i> -nonane(2)		0.225 0.340 0.438 0.469 0.523 0.602 0.676 0.680 0.718 0.814 0.880	193 215 277 297 296 299 281 253 239 231 164 110	0.087 0.174 0.270 0.352 0.481 —15 —25 —33 —38 —41	7. 1-Octyne(1)—ethylben- zene(2)
0.614 0.670 0.716 0.944	73 62 58 9	0.087 0.174 0.270 0.352 0.481 —15 —25 —33 —38 —41	—15 —25 —33 —38 —41	0.514 0.533 0.595 0.717	13. 1-Octyne(1)—1-propa- nol(2)
4. 1-Methylcyclohexene(1)— cyclohexane(2)		0.114 0.146 0.152 0.242 0.361 0.466 0.517 0.654 0.722 0.854 0.886 0.970	31 34 34 50 62 68 68 63 55 34 31 8	0.590 0.674 0.802 0.821 —40 —33 —24 —22	14. 1-Octene(1)—2-propen- 1-ol(2)
0.125 0.216 0.363 0.469 0.552 0.570 0.750 0.870	63 106 118 134 127 120 87 45	0.126 0.176 0.300 0.322 0.556 0.611 0.716 0.748 0.851	298 366 565 612 705 688 643 603 457	0.141 0.318 0.436 0.543 0.554 0.572 0.785 0.866	813 414 577 849 849 865 782 659
5. <i>cis</i> -4-Octene(1)—cyclo- hexane(2)		0.146 0.184 0.189 0.262 0.403 0.411 0.474 0.490	117 129 133 158 168 174 168 168	9. 1-Octene(1)—methyl isobutyl ketone(2)	15. 1-Butylcyclopentene(1)— 1-butanol(2)
0.146 0.184 0.189 0.262 0.403 0.411 0.474 0.490	117 129 133 158 168 174 168 168	0.126 0.176 0.300 0.322 0.556 0.611 0.716 0.748 0.851	298 366 565 612 705 688 643 603 457	0.055 0.270 0.401 0.490 0.501 0.706 0.859	72 378 506 581 584 627 460
0.146 0.184 0.189 0.262 0.403 0.411 0.474 0.490	117 129 133 158 168 174 168 168	0.142 0.225 0.414 0.509 0.590 0.727 0.863	250 383 573 587 649 580 414	0.112 0.247 0.395 0.515 0.555 0.615 0.730 0.798 0.954 0.981 0.990	16. 1-Octyne(1)—1-butanol(2)
0.146 0.184 0.189 0.262 0.403 0.411 0.474 0.490	117 129 133 158 168 174 168 168	0.142 0.225 0.414 0.509 0.590 0.727 0.863	250 383 573 587 649 580 414	0.247 0.524 0.805 0.948 0.977 1.017 0.990 0.888 0.379 0.237 0.155	524 977 1017 990 888 379 237 155

$x_1$	$H^E$	$x_1$	$H^E$	$x_1$	$H^E$
17. 2-Octyne(1)—1-butanol(2)		0.840	835	0.070	232
0.150	374	0.920	650	0.111	323
0.264	632	20. 2-Octyne(1)—1-octanol(2)	0.439	0.233	458
0.468	920	0.681	842	0.389	472
0.576	1019	$T = 318.15\text{ K}$	1017	0.473	469
0.596	1024			0.675	396
0.622	1045			0.693	389
0.727	1008			0.794	312
0.854	952			0.852	274
0.875	725			0.912	209
0.898	707			0.933	169
18. 1-Octene(1)—1-octanol(2)		0.635	1290		
0.100	131	0.641	1296	23. 1-Nonyne(1)—dimethyl sulphoxide(2)	
0.381	461	0.730	1277	0.080	350
0.443	526	0.874	1059	0.206	565
0.620	601	21. 1-Octene(1)—dimethyl sulphoxide(2)	0.029	0.403	652
0.640	598	0.038	203	0.647	493
0.720	598	0.063	210	0.762	373
0.771	591	0.335	214	24. 2-Octyne(1)—dimethyl sulphoxide(2)	
0.846	566	0.452	222	0.033	213
0.883	518	0.542	225	0.069	382
19. 1-Octyne(1)—1-octanol(2)		0.779	224	0.122	658
0.399	739	0.829	234	0.158	895
0.450	812	0.929	236	0.225	861
0.501	854	0.942	237	0.439	854
0.665	990	0.984	155	0.493	842
0.718	959	22. 1-Octyne(1)—dimethyl sulphoxide(2)	0.022	0.788	807
0.757	938	90	0.065	0.808	789
0.800	894	216		0.818	742
				0.866	540

2-propen-1-ol, 1-octyne with 1-propanol, butylcyclopentene, 1-octyne and 2-octyne with 1-butanol, 1-octene, 1-octyne and 2-octyne with 1-octanol, 1-octene, 1-octyne, 2-octyne and 1-nonyne with dimethyl sulphoxide (DMS). In all cases  $x_1$  denotes the mole fraction of unsaturated hydrocarbon in the binary.

The results were fitted with the following equation

$$H^E (\text{J}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}) = x_1 x_2 \sum_{p=1}^n A_p (x_1 - x_2)^{p-1}. \quad (1)$$

The values of the coefficients  $A_p$ , determined by the least squares method, and standard deviation  $\sigma$  are summarized in Table 2. Smooth representations of the results and experimental points for systems with cyclohexane, ketones, alkanols and DMS are also presented in Figs 1—4 together with the curves and points taken from our previous works [6, 7] (Figs 1 and 3b).

In our earlier work we have measured the excess enthalpies of *n*-alkane mixtures with *n*-alkenes and *n*-alkynes [1, 8, 9]. The excess enthalpies of the alkyl cyclene mixtures with *n*-alkane (Table 1, Nos 1—3) with a given number of carbon atoms are higher than those of *n*-alkene mixtures ( $H^E_{x=0.5} = 49 \text{ J}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  for 1-heptene—*n*-heptane [9]) and much lower than those of *n*-alkyne mixtures ( $H^E_{x=0.5} = 555 \text{ J}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  for 1-heptyne—*n*-heptane [8]). In such systems, with increasing number of carbon atoms in the cyclene molecule the  $H^E$  increases. The opposite is observed with the cyclene alkyl length.

Table 2

Parameters  $A_P$  of equation (1) and standard deviations  $\sigma^*$ 

System	$A_0$	$A_1$	$A_2$	$A_3$	$\sigma$
1-Methylcyclohexene(1)—n-heptane(2)	380.0	49.6	-12.0	-73.6	6.5
1-Ethylcyclopentene(1)—n-heptane(2)	301.9	4.1	-95.2	-72.2	2.9
1-Butylcyclopentene(1)—n-nonane(2)	271.2	31.6	20.9	-60.7	1.9
1-Methylcyclohexene(1)—cyclohexane(2)	520.1	124.0	-34.0	3.7	7.1
cis-4-Octene(1)—cyclohexane(2)	658.2	-277.2	-31.4	-231.8	2.4
1-Octene(1)—ethylbenzene(2)	1213.6	-230.8	-195.1	316.9	6.8
1-Octyne(1)—ethylbenzene(2)	-162.6	10.8	3.7	24.2	1.1
1-Octene(1)—methyl isobutyl ketone(2)	2801.3	385.5	640.0	601.8	13.7
1-Methylcyclohexene(1)—methyl butyl ketone(2)	2444.0	670.5	642.0	601.4	20.9
1-Octyne(1)—methyl butyl ketone(2)	-564.1	410.3	-125.1	-445.7	3.0
2-Octyne(1)—methyl butyl ketone(2)	1243.7	188.8	-167.6	470.5	6.2
1-Butylcyclopentene(1)—1-butanol(2)	2345.3	1185.8	712.3	651.5	5.7
1-Octene(1)—1-octanol(2)	2141.1	678.8	1855.6	2625.5	20.5
1-Octyne(1)—1-butanol(2)	3743.1	2085.0	986.8	872.9	5.9
2-Octyne(1)—1-butanol(2)	3808.5	1822.4	2225.7	1972.4	49.5
1-Octyne(1)—dimethyl sulphoxide(2)	1747.2	581.6	1860.1	3.5	12.8
2-Octyne(1)—dimethyl sulphoxide(2)	5268.8	-1874.1	876.5	1811.0	33.4**

\* For alkanol systems for  $x_1=0$  to 0.9 only.

\*\* For homogeneous region only.




Fig. 1. Excess molar enthalpies at 298.15 K for mixtures of  $x_1$  unsaturated hydrocarbons with  $(1-x_1)$  cyclohexane: ● — cis-4-octene (curve 2), ○ — 1-methylcyclohexene (curve 1), △ — trans-4-octene [6], □ — 1-heptene [6], △ — 1-octene [6], + — 1-nonenne [6], — — Eq. (1) with parameters from Table 2.




Fig. 2. Excess molar enthalpies at 298.15 K for mixtures of  $x_1$  unsaturated hydrocarbons with  $(1-x_1)$  methyl butyl ketone (curves 2, 3, 4 and points N 5) and methyl isobutyl ketone (curve 1):  $\circ$ ,  $\Delta$  — 1-octene,  $\bullet$  — 1-methylcyclohexene,  $\blacksquare$  — 1-octyne,  $\square$  — 2-octyne, — Eq. (1) with parameters from Table 2.

For cyclohexane systems the excess enthalpy strongly depends on the unsaturated hydrocarbon molecule structure and length. The strong effect of the triple bond in the molecule and the length of the latter can be demonstrated by the results obtained earlier [10] for equimolar mixtures with cyclohexane,  $\text{J}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ : 1-heptyne  $H^E_{x=0.5}=676$ , 2-heptyne  $H^E_{x=0.5}=-515$ , 1-octyne  $H^E_{x=0.5}=581$ . As shown in Fig. 1, the location of the double bond and configuration of the *n*-alkene molecule affect the  $H^E$  values significantly too. But in the *n*-alkene—cyclohexane systems  $H^E$  increases with the increasing chain length and flexibility of the *n*-alkene molecule. This may be attributed to the existence of the structural ordering effect, the so-called correlation of molecular order [11], a packing effect favoured between the groups of segments of *n*-alkane chains. Its destruction contributes to the endothermic excess enthalpy. In the *n*-alkyne—cyclohexane mixtures this effect is low or does not exist at all. The contribution of the *n*-alkyne self-associate destruction predominates here.

Remarkably different are the excess enthalpies for the 1-octene and 1-octyne mixtures with ethylbenzene (Table 1, Nos 6, 7). With a more or less parabolic dependence upon  $x$  the  $H^E$  of the 1-octene mixtures is positive, whereas that of 1-octyne mixtures is negative. Not only the sign but also the magnitude of  $H^E$  is different.

The excess enthalpic behaviour summarized above can be qualitatively explained by postulating that  $H^E$  is the resultant of two opposing effects: the breaking of self-associates and structural order leads to endothermic contribution and the exothermic one arises from interactions between unlike molecules. In the 1-octyne—ethylbenzene system the contribution




Fig. 3. Excess molar enthalpies for mixtures of  $x_1$  unsaturated hydrocarbons  
 a) with  $(1-x_1)$  1-octanol at 298.15 K (curves 1–3) and 318.15 K (curve 4):  $\square$  — 1-octene, ● — 1-octyne, ○ — 2-octyne;  
 b) with  $(1-x_1)$  1-butanol at 298.15 K (curves 5–7):  $\triangle$  — 1-butylcyclopentene, — Eq. (1) with parameters from Table 2, ■ — 1-nonene, ○ — 1-nonyne.

of the interaction between the 1-octyne and ethylbenzene molecules to  $H^E$  is predominant.

In Fig. 2 the variation type of  $H^E - x_1$  curves for the ketone mixtures with unsaturated hydrocarbon is qualitatively similar to that found for the ethylbenzene mixtures. Thus, the  $H^E$  is negative only for the mixture with 1-octyne due to the interaction with ketone. For the mixtures with other components the  $H^E$  is positive, decreasing in the order 1-octene > methylcyclohexene > 2-octyne. The positive values of  $H^E$  in the 2-octyne mixtures result from the breaking of associates which predominates over the whole concentration range.




Fig. 4. Excess molar enthalpies at 298.15 K for mixtures of  $x_1$  unsaturated hydrocarbons with  $(1-x_1)$  dimethyl sulphoxide (lines 1—4):  $\triangle$  — 1-octene, + — 1-octyne, ● — 1-nonyne, ○ — 2-octyne, \_\_\_\_\_ — Eq. (1) with parameters from Table 2, — — — Eq. (2) with parameters from the text.

The  $H^E$  values for the  $n$ -alkanol mixtures (Table 1, Nos 13—20) are dependent on the unsaturated hydrocarbon structure much more than on the molecule length of  $n$ -alkanols.

The typical curves of  $H^E$  against  $x_1$  for the  $n$ -alkanol systems studied are shown in Figs 3a, b. Fig. 3b presents the results together with the curves for 1-nonene and 1-nonyne mixtures with 1-butanol taken from our previous work [7]. Not only the shape but also the magnitude of  $H^E$  is similar to that found in series with the other  $n$ -alkanols. The  $H^E$  is positive over the whole mole fraction range and the maximum  $H^E$  value for all systems occurred in the alcohol-poor region. The positive values of  $H^E$  result from the breaking of the H-bonds of alkanol which is evident from a sharp rise of  $H^E$  in the  $x_1 \rightarrow 1$  range. For a given  $n$ -alkanol  $H^E$  increases in the order: alkyl cyclopentene < 1-alkene < 1-alkyne < 2-alkyne. It is tempting to associate the different  $H^E$  values of isomeric  $n$ -alkyne mixtures with the different interactions of these isomers with  $n$ -alkanol, taking into account the change in  $H^E$  in the cyclohexane series.

As noted for the other unsaturated hydrocarbon—alkanol mixtures [7], equation (1) does not represent the experimental results of  $H^E$  very closely for  $x_1$  values higher than 0.9. In the present work each set of results was fitted using equation (1) only in the  $x_1=0.0-0.9$  range. Data on  $H^E$  were few for 1-octyne—1-propanol and 2-octyne—1-octanol to be fitted.

In the DMS series (Table 1, Nos 21—24) the  $H^E$  is positive for all the mixtures and as it can be seen in Fig. 4, the systems containing 1-octene and 2-octyne have a central immiscibility gap. The results in this two-phase region are represented by the linear form

$$H^E(\text{J} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}) = b_0 + b_1 x_1, \quad (2)$$

where  $b_0=207.3$ ,  $b_1=31.6$ ,  $\sigma=2.2$  and  $b_0=919.8$ ,  $b_1=-178.1$ ,  $\sigma=20.7$  for 1-octene and 2-octyne mixtures with DMS respectively. The results for the two homogeneous regions of 2-octyne—DMS were combined and fitted by a smoothing function (1). By simultaneous solution of equations (1) and

(2) the two-phase region limits were found; 0.17 and 0.79 mole fraction of 2-octyne. In the homogeneous region 1-octene—DMS we have few data on  $H^E$  to be correlated. The shape of the  $H^E$  curves for *n*-alkyne is different from that found in the latter series with alkanols. Apparently, the  $H^E$  maximum shift in the DMS-rich region is the result of the destruction of the *n*-alkyne associate occurring predominantly in this region. The different  $H^E$  values of the isomeric *n*-alkyne mixtures are due to quite a different interaction of these isomers with DMS and to the capability of 1-alkyne for hydrogen bonding to the solvent, which decreases with the *n*-alkyne molecule length.

#### REFERENCES

1. Отса Э. К., Михельсон В. Я., Кудрявцева Л. С. Терлоты смешения в системах *n*-алкан—*n*-алкин-1 // Ж. физ. химии, 1979, **53**, № 4, 899—901.
2. Михельсон В., Кыбу А. Исследование работы колонки со щеточным ротором // Изв. АН ЭССР. Хим., 1980, **29**, № 2, 109—112.
3. Эльвельт А., Отса Э., Эйзен О. Физико-химические характеристики изомерных *n*-октинов и *n*-ионинов // Изв. АН ЭССР. Хим., 1979, **28**, № 4, 287—289.
4. Куус М., Кудрявцева Л., Кирсс Х. Энталпии смешения изомеров *n*-октена с некоторыми органическими соединениями // Изв. АН ЭССР. Хим., 1982, **31**, № 1, 54—57.
5. Винк И. О синтезе 1-алкилцикlopентенов // Изв. АН ЭССР. Хим., 1988, **37**, № 1, 44—46.
6. Кудрявцева Л., Куус М., Эльвельт А. О межмолекулярных взаимодействиях в системах, содержащих нормальные алкены // Изв. АН ЭССР. Хим., 1983, **32**, № 4, 259—267.
7. Кудрявцева Л., Куус М., Харсинг Н. Энталпии смешения и сольватации в системах алифатический углеводород—спирт // Изв. АН ЭССР. Хим., 1987, **36**, № 3, 186—193.
8. Otsa, E., Kudrjawzewa, L. S., Eisen, O. Thermodynamische Untersuchungen an *n*-Alkan/*n*-Alkin Systemen. I. Die Mischungswärmen // Monatsh. Chem., 1980, H. 111, 37—42.
9. Kuus M., Kudrjavtseva L., Эйзен О. Термодинамические свойства смесей *n*-октана с изомерами *n*-октена. I. Терлоты смешения // Изв. АН ЭССР. Хим., 1980, **29**, № 1, 25—31.
10. Otsa, E., Kudrjawzewa, L. Zur zwischenmolekularen Wechselwirkung in den *n*-Alkine enthaltenden Systemen. Abstracts. 5th Conference on Mixtures of Non-Electrolytes and Intermolecular Interactions. 1983, April 18—22, Halle (GDR).
11. Lam, V. T., Picker, P., Patterson, D., Tancrede, P. Thermodynamic effects of oriental order in chain-molecule mixtures. 1. Heats of mixing of globular and normal alkanes // J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans., 1974, **70**, 1465—1478.

Academy of Sciences of the Estonian SSR,  
Institute of Chemistry

Received  
Nov. 30, 1988

L. KUDRJAVTSEVA, M. KUUS, Helle KIRSS, I. VINK

#### MOLEKULI STRUKTUURI MÖJU KÜLLASTUMATUID SÜSIVESINIKKE SISALDAVATE SEGUDE LIIGENTALPIATELE

Mikrokalorimeetris DAK-1-1 on määratud temperatuuril 298,15 K liigentalpiad  $H^E$  24 binaarse süsteemi jaoks: *n*-heptaan 1-metüülsüklohekseni ja 1-etüülsüklopenteeniga; *n*-nonaan 1-butüülsüklopenteeniga; tsükloheksaan 1-metüülsüklohekseni ja *cis*-4-okteeniga; etüülbenseen 1-okeeni ja 1-oktüüninga; metüülbuteülketoon 1-metüülsüklohekseni, 1-okeeni, 1-oktüüni ja 2-oktüüninga; metüülisobutüülketoon 1-okeeniga; 2-propeen-1-ool 1-okeeniga; 1-propanool 1-oktüüninga; 1-butanol 1-butüülsüklopenteeni, 1-oktüüni ja 2-oktüüninga; 1-oktanool 1-okeeni, 1-oktüüni ja 2-oktüüninga; dimetüülsulfoksiid 1-okeeni, 1-oktüüni, 2-oktüüni ja 1-nonüüninga. Süsteemides dimetüülsulfoksiid—1-okeen ja dimetüülsulfoksiid—2-oktüün on vedel faas kihistunud. Homogeensete lahuste  $H^E$  sõltuvus kontsentratsioonist on aproksimeeritud Redlich-Kisteri võrrandiga, heterogeenses piirkondas aga sirge võrrandiga. On näidatud, et iga lahusti puhul sõltuvad liigentalpiad küllastumate süsivesinike molekulide kujust ja suurusest, küllastumuse astmest ja kordse sideme asukohast molekulis.

## ВЛИЯНИЕ МОЛЕКУЛЯРНОЙ СТРУКТУРЫ НА ИЗБЫТОЧНЫЕ ЭНТАЛЬПИИ СМЕСЕЙ С НЕНАСЫЩЕННЫМИ УГЛЕВОДОРОДАМИ

В микрокалориметре ДАК-1-1 при 298,15 К определены энталпии смешения,  $HE$ , в 24 бинарных системах, образованных 1-метилциклогексеном и 1-этилцикlopентеном с *n*-гептаном, 1-бутилцикlopентеном с *n*-нонаном, 1-метилциклогексеном и *cis*-4-октеном с циклогексаном, 1-октеном и 1-октином с этилбензолом, 1-метилциклогексеном, 1-октено-  
ном, 1-октином и 2-октином с метилбутилкетоном, 1-октеном с метилизобутилкетоном, 1-октеном с 2-пропен-1-олом, 1-октином с 1-пропанолом, 1-бутилцикlopентеном, 1-окти-  
ном и 2-октином с 1-бутанолом, 1-октеном, 1-октином, 2-октином с 1-октанолом, 1-окте-  
ном, 1-октином, 2-октином и 1-нонином с диметилсульфоксидом (ДМС). В системах,  
образованных ДМС с 1-октеном и 2-октином, жидкая фаза расслаивается. Концентра-  
ционная зависимость  $HE$  гомогенных растворов аппроксимирована уравнением Редли-  
ха—Кистера, гетерогенной области — уравнением прямой линии. Показано, что избы-  
точные энталпии смесей данного растворителя с ненасыщенными углеводородами  
зависят от формы и величины молекул последних, их степени ненасыщенности и положе-  
ния в них кратной связи.