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#### Abstract

Back-calculated body lengths of perch can be obtained from the relationship $L_{n}=L \times\left(R_{n} / R\right)^{0.872}$, where $L_{n}$ is the back-calculated total body length ( $T L$ ), $L$ is the final $T L, R$ is the operculum bone radius, and $R_{n}$ is the corresponding intermediate operculum radius.
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## INTRODUCTION

The perch Perca fluviatilis L. is an important commercial fish both in fresh waters and brackish coastal waters of the Baltic Sea and, as a relatively sedentary fish species, one of the most important fishes in coastal fish monitoring programmes (Thoresson, 1993). The age distribution and growth rate are important indices in monitoring perch populations. The age of perch is usually determined by reading the annual rings on the operculum bone, and the same structure is used in the back-calculation of the growth (Le Cren, 1947; Agnedal, 1968). As in several other fish species, the relationship between the sizes of these organs is best described by an exponential function:

$$
L=a \times R^{b}
$$

where $L$ is the fish length, $R$ the radius of operculum, $a$ the intercept of the line, and $b$ the slope of the regression line log-fish length on the logoperculum radius. Back-calculated body lengths can be obtained from the relationship

$$
L_{n}=L \times\left(R_{n} / R\right)^{b},
$$

where $L_{n}$ is the back-calculated body length and $R_{n}$ is the corresponding intermediate operculum radius.

The values of $b$ have been determined for freshwater perch from Lake Windermere (Le Cren, 1947) and Lake Erken in Sweden (Agnedal, 1968). However, the difference in back-calculated lengths obtained when using these $b$ values ( 0.9202 and 0.861 , respectively) may reach 10 mm in larger fish.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

We determined the body length ( $T L, \mathrm{~mm}$ )-operculum radius $(R)$ relationship for perch from the coastal waters of the Baltic Sea (western coast of Estonia), captured during the coastal fish monitoring programme in July-August 1993, using gill nets ( $17-40 \mathrm{~mm}$ mesh size). This material covers 1628 specimens ( 958 females and 670 males) with $T L$ from 116 to 420 mm and age from $1+$ to $13+$ years. The centre for operculum measurements was the same as in Le Cren (1947) and Agnedal (1968). The operculum radius was measured using a binocular microscope of the magnification of four times. Fish were divided into length groups of 5 mm , and mean log lengths ( $T L$ ) of the fish in these length groups and the corresponding mean log-operculum radius values were used in further calculations. The length groups $116-120$ to $415-420 \mathrm{~mm}$ for females and 116-120 to $340-345 \mathrm{~mm}$ for males were covered.


The relationship between the perch total length ( $T L, \mathrm{~mm}$ ) and the operculum radius ( $R$, arbitrary units) in logarithmic coordinates.

Coefficients of the regression line $\log T L=\log a+b \times \log R$ for perch
$T L$, fish total body length; $R$, radius of operculum. The values of $a$ are adjusted to the magnification $\times 16$

| Sex, length range | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Intercept } \\ & \quad \log a \end{aligned}$ | Slope $b$ |  | $P$ | Number of length groups, $n$ | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All fish (118-418) | 1.3366 | 0.8724 | 0.996 | $<0.001$ | 57 | Our data |
| Females (118-418) | 1.3282 | 0.8763 | 0.995 | $<0.001$ | 57 | Our data |
| Males (118-344) | 1.3338 | 0.8741 | 0.994 | $<0.001$ | 44 | Our data |
| All fish (15-440) | 1.357 | 0.9202 |  |  | 67 | Le Cren, 1947 |
| Females (80-440) | 1.3761 | 0.8899 | 0.998 | $<0.001$ | 52 | Recalculated |
| Males (80-395) | 1.3688 | 0.8941 | 0.996 | $<0.001$ | 31 | from Le Cren |
| Juveniles (15-75) | 1.3218 | 0.9877 | 0.996 | $<0.001$ | 13 | (1947, Table 4) |
| All fish | 1.289 | 0.8610 |  |  |  | Agnedal, 1968 |

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The relationship between logarithmic values of $T L$ and $R$ is linear (Figure). The coefficients of the regression line are presented in the Table. The slope (b) of the regression line was similar in females and males, and close to that obtained by Agnedal (1968). The values of $T L_{n}$ calculated using our and Agnedal's $b$ values are rather similar even in larger fish (e. g. 218.5 and 220 mm , respectively, for $T L=400 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $R_{n} / R=0.5$ ).

We re-calculated the data presented in Table 4 of Le Cren (1947) for juveniles and adults of both sexes (Table). The values for adults appeared to be rather similar to our data, but $b=0.988$ for juveniles appeared to be remarkably higher. However, when we excluded juveniles with $T L<50 \mathrm{~mm}$ (the length reached in the fast-growing populations during their first year of life), we got $b=0.892$ instead of 0.9202 , which is close to our and Agnedal's data.

The growth rate of perch in the study area was higher than that in fresh waters, and most fish reached 100 mm (TL) at the age of 1 or $1+$ years (Eschbaum, 1994). The length of one-year-old perch in all investigated Estonian lakes also exceeded 50 mm (Pahkla, 1962: standard length $>40 \mathrm{~mm}$ in all lakes). Therefore, the $b$ value obtained for the Baltic perch can probably also be used in most freshwater populations. Care must be taken in the case of perch populations showing extremely slow growth rates ( $T L_{1}<50 \mathrm{~mm}$ ).

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank our colleagues Markus Vetemaa, Andrus Trahv, Väino Vaino, and Mart Mölder (Estonian Marine Institute) and Aleksei Lotman's family for kind assistance during the field studies. Financial support from the Estonian Environmental Fund (grant 93/28) and Estonian Science Foundation (grant 893) is acknowledged. Support from the Institute of Coastal Research (Öregrund, Sweden) is highly appreciated.

## REFERENCES

Agnedal, P. O. 1968. Studier av abborre och fiskets avkastning i Erken. (Unpublished). Department of Limnology, Uppsala University. (Cited from Thoresson, 1993.) Eschbaum, R. 1994. MSc thesis, Department of Zoology and Hydrobiology, University of Tartu (in preparation).
Le Cren, E. D. 1947. The determination of the age and growth of the perch (Perca fluviatilis) from the opercular bone. - J. Animal Ecol., 166, 188-204.
Pahkla, O. 1962. Andmeid ahvena kasvu kohta mōnedes Eesti NSV järvedes. - Eesti NSV Riikliku Loodusteaduste Muuseumi Töid, I. Tallinn, 111-122.
Thoresson, G. 1993. Guidelines for Coastal Monitoring. Fisheries Biology. Kustarapport, 1. National Board of Fisheries, Institute of Coastal Research, Öregrund, Sweden.

## KEHA PIKKUSE JA KAANELUU (OPERCULUM) RAADIUSE SUHE AHVENAL PERCA FLUVIATILIS L.

Toomas SAAT, Redik ESCHBAUM

Eesti rannikumere ahvena keha täispikkuse ( $L$ ) ja lõpusekaane kaaneluu raadiuse $(R)$ seost kirjeldab vôrrand $\log L=a+0,872 \log R$, kus $a=1,3366$ suurendusel 16 korda. Täispikkuse vanuses $n\left(L_{n}\right)$ saab arvutada valemist $L_{n}=L \times\left(R_{n} / R\right)^{0,872}$, kus $R_{n}$ on vastava aastaringi kaugus kaaneluu tsentrist.

## СООТНОШЕНИЕ МЕЖДУ ОБЩЕЙ ДЛИНОЙ ТЕЛА И РАДИУСОМ ОПЕРКУЛУМА У ОКУНЯ PERCA FLUVIATILIS L.

## Тоомас СААТ, Рэдик ЭШБАУМ

Соотношение между общей длиной тела ( $L$ ) и радиусом оперкулума $(R)$ окуня прибрежных вод Балтийского моря (Западная Эстония) описывается уравнением $\log L=a+0,872 \log R$, где $a=1,3366$ при увеличении в 16 раз. Общую длину окуня в возрасте $n\left(L_{n}\right)$ можно вычислить по формуле $L_{n}=L \times\left(R_{n} / R\right)^{0,872}$, где $R_{n}$ - расстояние от центра оперкулума до соответствующего годичного кольца.

