



Representing the Banach operator ideal of completely continuous operators

Rauni Lillemets

Institute of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Tartu, J. Liivi 2, 50409 Tartu, Estonia; rauni.lillemets@ut.ee

Received 21 November 2016, accepted 25 January 2017, available online 4 May 2017

© 2017 Author. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>).

Abstract. Let \mathcal{V} , \mathcal{W}_∞ , and \mathcal{W} be the operator ideals of completely continuous, weakly ∞ -compact, and weakly compact operators, respectively. In a recent paper, William B. Johnson, Eve Oja, and the author proved that $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{W}_\infty \circ \mathcal{W}^{-1}$ (Johnson, W. B., Lillemets, R., and Oja, E. Representing completely continuous operators through weakly ∞ -compact operators. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 2016, **48**, 452–456). We show that this equality also holds in the context of Banach operator ideals.

Key words: mathematics, Banach operator ideals, completely continuous operators, weakly compact operators, weakly ∞ -compact operators.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{L} , \mathcal{K} , \mathcal{W} , and \mathcal{V} denote the operator ideals of bounded linear, compact, weakly compact, and completely continuous operators. Let X and Y be Banach spaces. Recall that a linear map $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is *completely continuous*, i.e. $T \in \mathcal{V}(X, Y)$, if T takes weakly null sequences in X to null sequences in Y . It is well known that operator ideals \mathcal{K} , \mathcal{V} , and \mathcal{W} are Banach operator ideals with the usual operator norm.

Let $(x_n) \subset X$ be a bounded sequence. It is well known and easy to see that (x_n) defines an operator $\Phi_{(x_n)} \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_1, X)$ through the equality

$$\Phi_{(x_n)}(a_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x_k, \quad (a_k) \in \ell_1.$$

Denote the classes of all null sequences and weakly null sequences in X by $c_0(X)$ and $c_0^w(X)$, respectively. Both of them are Banach spaces with the supremum norm. According to the Grothendieck compactness principle (see [3] or, e.g. [4, Proposition 1.e.2]), a subset $K \subset X$ is relatively compact if and only if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $(x_n) \in c_0(X)$, with $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n\| \leq \sup_{x \in K} \|x\| + \varepsilon$, such that $K \in \Phi_{(x_n)}(B_{\ell_1})$.

A subset K of X is *relatively weakly ∞ -compact* if $K \subset \Phi_{(x_n)}(B_{\ell_1})$ for some sequence $(x_n) \in c_0^w(X)$. An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is *weakly ∞ -compact* if $T(B_X)$ is a relatively weakly ∞ -compact subset of Y . Weakly ∞ -compact (more generally, weakly p -compact) operators were considered by Sinha and Karn [7] in 2002 (for an even more general version of weakly (p, r) -compact operators, see [2]). Denote by \mathcal{W}_∞ the class of all weakly ∞ -compact operators acting between arbitrary Banach spaces. An easy straightforward verification (as in [1, Proposition 2.1]) shows that \mathcal{W}_∞ is an operator ideal.

Recall that the *right-hand quotient* $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}^{-1}$ of two operator ideals \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} is the operator ideal that consists of all operators $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ such that $TS \in \mathcal{A}(X_0, Y)$ whenever $S \in \mathcal{B}(X_0, X)$ for some Banach space X_0 (see [6, 3.1.1]).

Let $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}})$ be quasi-Banach operator ideals. The quotient $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}^{-1}$ becomes a quasi-Banach operator ideal if for every operator $T \in \mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}^{-1}(X, Y)$ one puts

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}^{-1}} = \sup\{\|TS\|_{\mathcal{A}} \mid S \in \mathcal{B}(X_0, X), \|S\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq 1\},$$

where the supremum is taken over all Banach spaces X_0 (see [6, 7.2.1]).

In [5] Johnson, Oja, and the author proved that $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{W}_{\infty} \circ \mathcal{W}^{-1}$ as operator ideals. Now, we will show that this equality holds in the context of Banach operator ideals. For this, we introduce a norm on the operator ideal \mathcal{W}_{∞} . Let $T \in \mathcal{W}_{\infty}(X, Y)$ and put

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\infty}} = \inf\{\|(x_n)\|_{c_0^w(X)} \mid (x_n) \in c_0^w(Y), T(B_X) \subset \Phi_{(x_n)}(B_{\ell_1})\}.$$

As Proposition 1 below shows, \mathcal{W}_{∞} is a Banach operator ideal with this norm. The main result of this paper (Theorem 3) is that the equality $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{W}_{\infty} \circ \mathcal{W}^{-1}$ indeed holds in the context of Banach operator ideals.

Throughout this paper, let \mathbb{K} denote the scalar field \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} .

2. BANACH OPERATOR IDEAL \mathcal{W}_{∞}

In this section we verify that \mathcal{W}_{∞} is indeed a Banach operator ideal endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\infty}}$.

Proposition 1. *\mathcal{W}_{∞} is a Banach operator ideal with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\infty}}$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that $\|I_{\mathbb{K}}\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\infty}} = 1$. Indeed, put $(\beta_n) = (1, 0, 0, \dots) \in c_0^w(\mathbb{K})$ and observe that $B_{\mathbb{K}} \subset \Phi_{(\beta_n)}(B_{\ell_1})$. Therefore $\|I_{\mathbb{K}}\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\infty}} \leq 1$. On the other hand, let $B_{\mathbb{K}} \subset \Phi_{(\beta_n)}(B_{\ell_1})$ for some $(\beta_n) \in c_0^w(\mathbb{K})$. Then there exists a sequence $(\alpha_n) \in B_{\ell_1}$ so that $1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \beta_n$. Therefore

$$1 \leq \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \beta_n \right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n \beta_n| \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\beta_n| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n| \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\beta_n|,$$

and we have shown that $\|I_{\mathbb{K}}\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\infty}} \geq 1$.

Let $S, T \in \mathcal{W}_{\infty}(X, Y)$. We need to prove that $\|S + T\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\infty}} \leq \|S\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\infty}} + \|T\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\infty}}$. For this, take $\varepsilon > 0$ and sequences $(x_n), (y_n) \in c_0^w(Y)$ such that $S(B_X) \subset \Phi_{(x_n)}(B_{\ell_1})$ and $T(B_X) \subset \Phi_{(y_n)}(B_{\ell_1})$ with $\|(x_n)\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|S\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\infty}}$ and $\|(y_n)\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|T\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\infty}}$.

Assume that $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n\| \neq 0$ and that $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|y_n\| \neq 0$ (otherwise, either $S = 0$ or $T = 0$, and the proof is trivial). Put

$$q := \frac{\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|y_n\|}{\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n\|}.$$

Define $(z_n) \in c_0^w(Y)$ by

$$z_n = \begin{cases} (q + 1)x_k & \text{if } n = 2k - 1, \\ \frac{q+1}{q}y_k & \text{if } n = 2k. \end{cases}$$

We check that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|z_n\| \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n\| + \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|y_n\|.$$

For this purpose, we use the fact that

$$(q + 1) \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n\| = \frac{q + 1}{q} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|y_n\|.$$

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|z_n\| &= \max \left\{ (q+1) \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n\|, \frac{q+1}{q} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|y_n\| \right\} \\ &= (q+1) \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n\| = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n\| + q \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n\| = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n\| + \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|y_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that $(S+T)(B_X) \subset \Phi_{(z_n)}(B_{\ell_1})$. Let $z \in B_X$, let $Sz = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n x_n$, and let $Tz = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n y_n$. Define $(\gamma_n) \in B_{\ell_1}$ by

$$\gamma_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{q+1} \alpha_k & \text{if } n = 2k-1, \\ \frac{q}{q+1} \beta_k & \text{if } n = 2k. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$(S+T)(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n x_n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n y_n = \sum_{\substack{n=2k-1, \\ k \in \mathbb{N}}} \gamma_n z_n + \sum_{\substack{n=2k, \\ k \in \mathbb{N}}} \gamma_n z_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n z_n.$$

Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X_0, X)$, $S \in \mathcal{W}_{\infty}(X, Y)$, and $R \in \mathcal{L}(Y, Y_0)$ be given. We prove that $\|RST\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\infty}} \leq \|R\| \|S\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\infty}} \|T\|$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $(y_n) \in c_0^w(Y)$ be given such that $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|y_n\| \leq \|S\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\infty}} + \varepsilon$ and $S(B_X) \subset \Phi_{(y_n)}(B_{\ell_1})$. Put $(z_n) := (\|T\| R y_n)$. Then $(z_n) \in c_0^w(Y_0)$ because the operator R (as every bounded linear operator) is weakly-weakly continuous. Therefore

$$RST(B_{X_0}) \subset \|T\| RS(B_X) \subset \|T\| R(\Phi_{(y_n)}(B_{\ell_1})) = \|T\| \Phi_{(R y_n)}(B_{\ell_1}) = \Phi_{(z_n)}(B_{\ell_1}).$$

Since $RST(B_{X_0}) \subset \Phi_{(z_n)}(B_{\ell_1})$, we have $\|RST\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\infty}} \leq \|(z_n)\|_{c_0^w(Y_0)}$. This gives us that

$$\|(z_n)\|_{c_0^w(Y_0)} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|z_n\| \leq \|T\| \|R\| \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|y_n\| \leq \|R\| (\|S\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\infty}} + \varepsilon) \|T\|$$

and therefore $\|RST\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\infty}} \leq \|R\| \|S\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\infty}} \|T\|$.

We have shown that $(\mathcal{W}_{\infty}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\infty}})$ is a normed operator ideal. To prove that it is a Banach operator ideal, we need to verify that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R_k \in \mathcal{W}_{\infty}(X, Y)$ whenever $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|R_k\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\infty}} < \infty$. Clearly,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|R_k\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|R_k\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\infty}} < \infty.$$

Therefore we may define $R = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R_k \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. It remains to show that $R \in \mathcal{W}_{\infty}(X, Y)$. Put $S_1 := \sum_{k=1}^{m_1} R_k$, $S_2 := \sum_{k=m_1+1}^{m_2} R_k$, etc., so that $\|S_m\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\infty}} < \frac{1}{4^m}$ for every $m \geq 2$. Notice that

$$R = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} S_k.$$

Since $S_1 \in \mathcal{W}_{\infty}(X, Y)$, there exists a sequence $(y_k^1) \in c_0^w(Y)$ such that $S_1(B_X) \subset \Phi_{(y_k^1)}(B_{\ell_1})$. Furthermore, for every $m \geq 2$ there exists a sequence $(y_k^m)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in c_0^w(Y)$ so that $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|y_k^m\| \leq \frac{1}{4^m}$ and $S_m(B_X) \subset \Phi_{(y_k^m)}(B_{\ell_1})$.

We define the sequence (z_n) as any permutation of the following elements:

$$\begin{aligned} &2y_1^1, 2y_2^1, \dots, 2y_n^1, \dots, \\ &4y_1^2, 4y_2^2, \dots, 4y_n^2, \dots, \\ &\dots, \\ &2^m y_1^m, 2^m y_2^m, \dots, 2^m y_n^m, \dots, \\ &\dots, \end{aligned}$$

where $z_n = 2^{j_n} y_{i_n}^{j_n}$. To prove that $(z_n) \in c_0^w(Y)$, we take any $f \in Y^*$, let $\varepsilon > 0$, and show that the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid |f(z_n)| > \varepsilon\}$ is finite. It is so because $2^m \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|y_k^m\| \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} 0$ and each of the sequences $(y_k^m)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ contains only a finite number of elements such that $|2^m f(y_k^m)| > \varepsilon$.

We claim that $R(B_X) \subset \Phi_{(z_n)}(B_{\ell_1})$. Let $x \in B_X$. For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $S_m x = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_k^m y_k^m$ for some sequence $(\alpha_k^m)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in B_{\ell_1}$. Put

$$\beta_n := \frac{1}{2^{j_n}} \alpha_{i_n}^{j_n}.$$

Notice that $(\beta_n) \in B_{\ell_1}$, because

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\beta_n| = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^m} |\alpha_k^m| \leq 1.$$

We complete the proof by observing that

$$Rx = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} S_m x = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k^m y_k^m = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_{i_n}^{j_n}}{2^{j_n}} (2^{j_n} y_{i_n}^{j_n}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n z_n. \quad \square$$

3. THE MAIN RESULT

Proposition 2. *Let $T \in \mathcal{K}(X, Y)$. Then $T \in \mathcal{W}_{\infty}(X, Y)$ and $\|T\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\infty}} = \|T\|$.*

Proof. Clearly, $T \in \mathcal{W}_{\infty}(X, Y)$. The Grothendieck compactness principle allows us to write

$$\|T\| = \inf\{\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n\| \mid (x_n) \in c_0(Y), T(B_X) \subset \Phi_{(x_n)}(B_{\ell_1})\}.$$

Therefore $\|T\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\infty}} \leq \|T\|$, since infimum in the definition of $\|T\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\infty}}$ is taken over a larger set than in the previous formula. On the other hand, $\|T\| \leq \|T\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\infty}}$ because \mathcal{W}_{∞} is a Banach operator ideal. \square

For the proof of the next theorem, recall that $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{K} \circ \mathcal{W}^{-1}$ as Banach operator ideals (see [6, 3.1.3] and [6, 3.2.3], respectively).

Theorem 3. *The equality $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{W}_{\infty} \circ \mathcal{W}^{-1}$ holds in the context of Banach operator ideals.*

Proof. Fix an operator $T \in \mathcal{V}(X, Y) = \mathcal{W}_{\infty} \circ \mathcal{W}^{-1}(X, Y)$. By definition,

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\infty} \circ \mathcal{W}^{-1}} = \sup\{\|TW\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\infty}} \mid W \in \mathcal{W}(X_0, X), \|W\|_{\mathcal{W}} \leq 1\},$$

where the supremum is taken over all Banach spaces X_0 .

Therefore $TW \in \mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{W}(X_0, Y) = \mathcal{K}(X_0, Y)$ for any $W \in \mathcal{W}(X_0, X)$. According to Proposition 2,

$$\|TW\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\infty}} = \|TW\| = \|TW\|_{\mathcal{K}}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\infty} \circ \mathcal{W}^{-1}} &= \sup\{\|TW\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\infty}} \mid W \in \mathcal{W}(X_0, X), \|W\|_{\mathcal{W}} \leq 1\} \\ &= \sup\{\|TW\|_{\mathcal{K}} \mid W \in \mathcal{W}(X_0, X), \|W\|_{\mathcal{W}} \leq 1\} = \|T\|_{\mathcal{K} \circ \mathcal{W}^{-1}} = \|T\|_{\mathcal{V}}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author thanks the referees for their insightful remarks, which have considerably improved the exposition and readability of the paper. This note is a part of the PhD thesis which is being prepared by the author at the University of Tartu under the supervision of Eve Oja and Aleksei Lissitsin. The author thanks them warmly for their suggestions and guidance. The research was supported by institutional research funding IUT20-57 of the Estonian Ministry of Education and Research. The publication costs of this article were covered by the Estonian Academy of Sciences.

REFERENCES

1. Ain, K., Lillemets, R., and Oja, E. Compact operators which are defined by ℓ_p -spaces. *Quaest. Math.*, 2012, **35**, 145–159.
2. Ain, K. and Oja, E. On (p, r) -null sequences and their relatives. *Math. Nachr.*, 2015, **288**, 1569–1580.
3. Grothendieck, A. Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires. *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.*, 1955, **16**.
4. Lindenstrauss, J. and Tzafriri, L. *Classical Banach Spaces I*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 92. Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 1977.
5. Johnson, W. B., Lillemets, R., and Oja, E. Representing completely continuous operators through weakly ∞ -compact operators. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 2016, **48**, 452–456.
6. Pietsch, A. *Operator Ideals*. Deutsch. Verlag Wiss., Berlin, 1978; North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam–New York–Oxford, 1980.
7. Sinha, D. P. and Karn, A. K. Compact operators whose adjoints factor through subspaces of ℓ_p . *Studia Math.*, 2002, **150**, 17–33.

Täielikult pidevate operaatorite Banachi operaatorideaali kirjeldus

Rauni Lillemets

Olgu \mathcal{V} , \mathcal{W}_∞ ja \mathcal{W} operaatorideaalid, mis koosnevad vastavalt täielikult pidevatest, nõrgalt ∞ -kompaktsetest ning nõrgalt kompaktsetest operaatoritest. Hiljutises artiklis [5], mille autoriteks on William B. Johnson, Eve Oja ja käesoleva artikli autor, tõestasime, et kehtib võrdus $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{W}_\infty \circ \mathcal{W}^{-1}$. On teada, et \mathcal{V} ja \mathcal{W} on Banachi operaatorideaalid tavalise operaatornormi suhtes. Antud artiklis varustame ka operaatorideaali \mathcal{W}_∞ normiga ja veendume, et see on selle normi suhtes Banachi operaatorideaal. Seejärel näitame, et võrdus $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{W}_\infty \circ \mathcal{W}^{-1}$ kehtib ka Banachi operaatorideaalide kontekstis.